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Notice of Entry of Order (03/03/2020)
Notice of Entry of Order (03/12/2020)
XVII

Notice of Entry of Order (04/01/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order (04/01/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order (04/28/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Admitting to Practice (11/15/2018)

Notice of Entry of Order Denying Counter
Defendant Jennifer Piazza's Motion for Summary
Judgment (06/08/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Denying Counter Defendants VNV Dynasty Trust I and VNV Dynasty Trust II's Motion for Summary Judgment (06/08/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Denying Front Sight
Management LLC's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment With Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (06/22/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions Related to Defendant EB5IA's
Accounting Records (12/19/2019)
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion
for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary
Injunction related to Investor Funds and Interest
Payments (09/13/2019)
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion XII

2847-2853
XIV
3430-3436
XIV
3437-3441
XVI 3892-3896
I 0064-0068

XVIII 4288-4293

XVIII
4282-4287

XVIII 4318-4327

XII
2854-2860

VII
1585-1591 to Quash Subpoenas to Morales Construction, Top Rank Builders and All American Concrete and Masonry (12/19/2019)

| Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion <br> to Quash Subpoenas to Plaintiff's Bank and <br> Accountant $(12 / 6 / 2019)$ | XII | $2817-2822$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion <br> to Quash Subpoenas to Summit Financial Group and <br> US Capital Partners, Inc. $(06 / 08 / 2020)$ | XVIII | $4276-4281$ |
| Notice of Entry of Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion <br> to Stay Enforcement of Order Denying Plaintiff's | XII | $2861-2866$ |
| Motion to Quash Subpoenas to Bank of America and <br> Lucas Horsfall (01/02/2020) |  |  |
| Notice of Entry of Order Denying Without Prejudice <br> Plaintiff s Motion for Sanctions for Violation of | XVIII | $4343-4349$ |
| Court Orders Related to Defendants Responses to <br> Plaintiffs Requests for Production of Documents to <br> Defendants $(07 / 06 / 2020)$ |  |  |

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendant and Counterclaimant Las Vegas Development Fund, LLC's Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to Amend the Countercomplaint (06/04/2020)

$$
\text { Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendant Las } \quad \text { XVIII } \quad 4263-4268
$$

Vegas Development Fund, LLC's Motion for Clarification on Order Shortening Time (06/05/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendant's
Motions to Quash Plaintiff's Subpoenas to NonParty Banks (12/6/2019)

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants’ XVIII 4350-4356
Motion for Protective Order Regarding the Defendants' Private Financial Information (07/10/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Defendants’
Motion to Advance Hearing regarding Plaintiff's Motion to Quash Subpoenas (11/08/2019)

Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and
Denying in Part Counterdefendants' Motions to
Dismiss Counterclaim (09/13/2019)
Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part and
XII 2786-2793
Denying in Part Defendants' Motions to Quash
Plaintiff's Subpoenas to Non-Parties Empyrean
West, Jay Carter and David Keller (12/6/2019)
Notice of Entry of Order Granting in Part Motion for XVIII 4328-4333
Sanctions and/or to Compel Actual Responses to
Plaintiff's First Sets of Interrogatories to Defendants (06/22/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Las Vegas XVII 4062-4067
Development Fund, LLC's Motion to Compel
Production of Documents or, in the Alternative,
Motion for Preliminary Injunction to Address Front
Sight's Continuing Violation of Section 5.10 of the Construction Loan Agreement and Request for Limited Relief From the Protective Order (05/18/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order (11/27/2018)

Notice of Entry of Order Granting Temporary
Restraining Order and Expunging Notice of Default (11/27/2018)

Notice of Entry of Order on Defendants' Motion to
II
0333-0337 Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (01/17/2019)

| Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiff's Motion for <br> Preliminary Injunction (01/17/2019) | II | $0323-0327$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Disqualify C. Keith Greer as Attorney of Record for <br> Defendants $(01 / 25 / 2019)$ | II | $0338-0343$ |

Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiff's Petition for I 0069-0074 Appointment of Receiver and for an Accounting (11/27/2018)

Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiff's Renewed
II
0328-0332
Motion for an Accounting Related to Defendants Las
Vegas Development Fund LLC and Robert Dziubla and for Release of Funds (01/17/2019)

Notice of Entry of Order on Status Check Regarding XIII 3092-3095
Discovery Responses/Plaintiff's Motion to Compel
(01/23/2020)
Notice of Entry of Order Regarding February 5, XIV
3381-3385 2020 Status Check (02/19/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time (02/15/2019)

Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time
XII (11/15/2019)

Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time (12/11/2019)

Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time
XIV
0629-0658 (02/11/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time XVIII

4294-4305 (06/12/2020)

Notice of Entry of Order Staying All Subpoenas For Documents and Depositions which were Served on Non-Parties by Plaintiff (09/13/2019)

Notice of Entry of Protective Order (11/27/2018)
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order (12/18/2019)

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding Defendants' Judicial Foreclosure Cause of Action (06/25/2019)
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Regarding $\quad$ XII 2801-2816
Exhibit $(12 / 6 / 2019)$

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Resetting
XIV
3386-3391 Hearings and Briefing Schedule (02/25/2020)

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend XVIII 4390-4403 Discovery Deadlines (09/02/2020)

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Extend XVII 4046-4056 Discovery Deadlines and Continue Trial (Second Request) (05/13/2020)

Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Replace XV / XVI 3693-3891 Exhibit "A" to Defendant's Motion for Leave to
Amend the Countercomplaint [redacted in district court filing] (04/20/2020)

Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena to Bank of X
2379-2459
America, N.A. (10/22/2019)
Notice of Intent to Issue Subpoena to Lucas Horsfall, X 2298-2378

| Opposition Memorandum of Defendant Las Vegas <br> Development Fund, LLC to Plaintiff's Motion to <br> Seal and/or Redact Pleadings and Exhibits <br> $(02 / 19 / 2019)$ | III | $0659-0669$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Opposition to Defendant Las Vegas Development <br> Fund LLC's Motion for Appointment of Receiver <br> (02/22/2019) | III | $0670-0730$ |
| Opposition to Defendant Las Vegas Development <br> Fund LLC's Motion for Clarification on Order <br> Shortening Time (05/11/2020) | XVII | $4017-4045$ |
| Order Re Rule 16 Conference, Setting Civil Jury <br> Trial, Pre-Trial/Calendar Call and Deadlines for <br> Motions; Discovery Scheduling Order (08/20/2019) | VII | $1573-1577$ |
| Order Scheduling Hearing (09/27/2019) | VIII | $1931-1932$ |
| Order Setting Settlement Conference (12/06/2018) | I | $0105-0106$ |
| Order Setting Settlement Conference (06/04/2019) | VI | $1314-1315$ |
| Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions (09/17/2019) | VII | $1600-1643$ |
| Plaintiff's Motion to Quash Subpoenas (10/29/2019) |  |  |$\quad$ X | $2460-2478$ |
| :--- |
| Plaintiff's Second Motion for Temporary Restraining <br> Order and Preliminary Injunction, Motion for Order <br> Shortening Time, and Order Shortening Time <br> (03/01/19) |
| IV |

Reply in Support of Defendant and Counterclaimant XVI / XVII 3897-4006 Las Vegas Development Fund, LLC's Motion for Leave to Amend the Counterclaim [redacted in district court filing] (04/29/2020)

Reply to Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoenas XI / XII 2661-2776 (11/15/2019)
Reply to Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for
Sanctions (10/18/2019)

Reporter's Transcript of Hearing (Preliminary
VII / VIII 1644-1930 Injunction Hearing) (09/20/2019)

Reporter's Transcript of Motion (Preliminary
Injunction Hearing) (06/03/2019)
Reporter's Transcript of Motions (Defendants'
IX
2045-2232
Motions to Quash Subpoena to Wells Fargo Bank, Signature Bank, Open Bank and Bank of Hope) (10/09/2019)

| Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Injunction | VI / VII | 1331-1513 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hearing (07/22/2019) |  |  |

Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Injunction (07/23/2019)

Response to Defendant LVDF's Objections to XIV
3392-3411
Statement of Undisputed Facts and Countermotion to Strike (02/28/2020)

Second Amended Complaint (01/04/2019)
I / II
0107-0322
Statement of Undisputed Facts (01/17/2020)
Supplemental Declaration of Defendant Robert
Dziubla in Support of Defendant Las Vegas Development Fund, LLC's Opposition to Plaintiff's Second Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (03/19/2019)

Supplemental Declaration of Robert W. Dziubla in
IV
0756-0761

Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Appointment of Receiver (02/26/2019)

| M | 118/6 120/14 123/4 | 24/21 25/1 25/19 | 11 | notation [4] 94/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 123/18 132/22 | 25/20 25/24 26/1 | normally [3] 12/14 | 94/14 95/15 96/16 |
| $4 \text { 69/22 }$ | 132/23 134/22 | 26/11 | 33/9 150/16 | note [4] 37/9 96/6 |
| $70 / 471 / 13$ | 137/19 137/23 | Nevermind [1] | North [1] 86/16 | 124/21 135/21 |
| Mr. Rogan' | 138/22 139/16 | 110/21 | not [144] 5/25 6/7 | noted [1] 142/12 |
| 69/18 69/20 | 139/25 140/6 144/9 | new [10] 18/23 | 6/17 8/2 8/12 11/1 | notes [2] 55/10 |
| Ms [2] 5/2 64/8 | 145/16 145/17 | 19/7 22/23 38/21 | 12/12 12/22 13/17 | 152/8 |
| Ms. [4] 4/16 5/23 | 146/4 146/19 | 38/25 76/2 77/3 | 13/23 14/11 15/23 | nothing [4] 18/23 |
| 71/14 146/24 | 146/20 147/5 | 85/16 85/16 146/3 | 18/8 19/1 20/23 | 32/24 36/7 113/12 |
| Ms. Holbert [2] | 147/16 148/4 | next [26] 8/16 | 20/23 20/24 20/25 | notice [11] 34/21 |
| 4/16 5/23 | 148/22 149/1 | 11/3 64/3 64/15 | 21/1 22/12 22/15 | 40/3 40/3 40/6 |
| Ms. Stanwo | 149/13 150/19 | 70/8 74/10 75/4 | 22/17 22/17 22/20 | 47/20 58/21 59/14 |
| Ms. Sta | 152/9 152/11 | 76/6 78/5 78/6 | 25/21 25/24 26/9 | 107/1 108/10 |
| Ms. Williams | 152/14 152/14 | 78/22 80/14 80/16 | 27/23 28/20 29/9 | 109/19 137/14 |
| 71/14 | myself [6] 9/10 | 80/16 82/22 86/15 | 29/13 30/2 30/12 | noticed [2] 57/24 |
| 7/14 | 10/1 18/11 78/9 | 94/8 94/19 94/20 | 31/3 31/7 31/10 | 109/1 |
| 8/19 9/1 9/3 16/20 | 83/25 148/13 | 95/25 102/12 107/1 | 31/24 32/25 33/24 | notify [4] 60/21 |
| 18/18 18/20 19/4 | N | 131/17 137/23 | 34/24 35/23 36/2 | 61/15 61/20 61/23 |
| 22/8 34/1 48/15 |  |  |  | November [5] |
| 98/24 104/25 | name [20] 4/24 | no | 16 | 107/7 |
| 119/23 132/17 | 30/4 35/7 35/9 | 7/17 9/11 10/14 | 46/7 46/19 47/10 | November 4 [1] |
| 132/25 143/18 | 35/11 63/20 63/24 | 16/14 21/15 22/3 | 51/17 52/8 56/17 | 107/7 |
|  | 64/23 64/24 66/25 | 25/19 28/9 38/4 | 58/1 58/6 61/3 | now [34] 10/3 |
| 148/18 | 71/16 72/18 74/16 | 41/14 41/24 42/5 | 61/20 61/23 62/8 | 12/22 14/12 20/5 |
|  | 74/21 75/7 75/22 | 44/6 46/9 51/6 | 62/10 62/11 62/12 | 22/11 32/9 33/19 |
|  | 77/3 104/8 104/14 | 51/10 52/2 53/3 | 62/16 62/21 66/20 | 33/23 40/18 46/8 |
|  | 152/14 | 53/24 54/10 54/19 | 70/7 71/15 74/21 | 46/20 47/24 53/23 |
|  | named [1] 63/20 | 54/24 56/9 58/8 | 76/19 76/24 79/4 | 54/25 56/7 88/24 |
|  | names [4] 31/3 | 61/18 61/19 61/21 | 79/17 79/18 80/7 | 91/6 99/20 100/18 |
|  | 34/16 34/18 34/22 | 61/21 61/23 64/22 | 80/12 84/20 88/15 | 101/7 114/11 125/7 |
|  | NASDAQ [2] 29/20 | 65/23 70/7 73/14 | 90/25 91/22 91/23 | 125/23 130/25 |
| muted [1] 5 | 30/1 | 79/6 80/13 83/24 | 92/2 93/10 95/2 | 137/16 137/20 |
|  | near [3] 86/13 | 84/8 84/19 84/21 | 95/7 95/19 96/17 | 140/4 141/15 |
| $69$ | 87/2 107/23 | 87/4 87/12 91/3 | 97/7 98/14 99/5 | 145/25 148/22 |
|  | necessarily [6] | 91/10 91/10 91/10 | 100/13 105/4 110/6 | 149/11 149/25 |
| 16/7 16/9 18/19 | 11/1 13/21 45/25 | 96/7 98/14 98/20 | 110/9 114/3 114/17 | 150/11 150/17 |
| $19 / 1620 / 622 / 15$ | 79/17 79/18 139/18 | 100/13 101/4 | 115/13 115/14 | number [22] 18/2 |
| 25/7 27/4 27/25 | need [20] 7/25 | 101/16 101/25 | 115/22 116/7 | 42/16 56/24 59/9 |
| 28/1 29/15 33/21 | 9/15 12/24 13/1 | 102/7 103/12 105/4 | 116/11 116/12 | 73/22 89/5 94/9 |
| 35/2 36/14 36/15 | 18/17 31/5 43/12 | 105/11 105/23 | 121/16 124/14 | 95/11 96/1 96/10 |
| 36/16 37/9 | 47/19 48/1 54/5 | 106/9 106/10 | 125/13 125/17 | 98/1 99/16 99/23 |
| 39/23 40/7 | 77/5 100/25 104/15 | 110/10 113/15 | 126/14 126/23 | 100/19 101/8 |
| 45/1 | 123/21 124/16 | 118/1 119/16 | 127/4 127/13 | 101/18 101/19 |
| 45/18 49/9 | 140/15 141/9 146/8 | 119/22 120/1 122/7 | 128/23 129/10 | 102/2 104/6 104/7 |
| 50/14 50/17 51/23 | 146/13 147/17 | 129/11 130/16 | 129/11 129/16 | 109/22 130/7 |
| 54/23 60/4 60/8 | needed [1] 108/16 | 130/21 136/3 | 129/17 130/16 | numbered [1] |
| 60/9 60/12 60/13 | needs [1] 115/22 | 137/17 138/3 | 131/3 131/10 | 97/13 |
| 61/19 61/22 62/20 | network [11] 29/7 | 140/19 | 131/22 132/11 | numbers [4] 98/16 |
| 64/6 71/1 71/1 72/2 | 69/14 73/16 73/17 | No. [2] 55/24 | 132/22 134/25 | 110/16 122/22 |
| 75/14 76/4 81/25 | 73/18 73/20 74/7 | 136/23 | 135/18 136/16 | 123/1 |
| 82/5 82/25 83/4 | 113/19 113/2 | No. 5 [2] | 136/19 137 | NV [3] 1/24 2/7 3/9 |
| 83/9 83/13 83/13 | 118/24 119/1 | 136/23 | 8 139/23 | Nye [1] |
| 84/19 87/21 87/22 |  | none [2] 127 |  | 0 |
| 88/3 88/12 88/16 | $51 / 2452 / 682 / 23$ | 128/8 noneth | 143/12 146/5 146/8 $147 / 20 \text { 148/16 }$ | 000 [6] |
| 91/5 91/14 95/2 | 122/16 152/2 | nonetheless [1] | 18 149/5 149/6 | 52/13 81/2 81/3 |
| 100/15 106/25 $112 / 3117 / 1018 / 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152 / 15 \\ & \text { never [8] } 16 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | nonissue [1] | 149/6 149/17 150/9 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 117 / 17117 / 18 \\ \text { oath [4] } 7 / 18 / 21 \end{array}$ |

(19) Mr. Rogan - oath

| 0 | 13 | 139/22 140/11 | opens [1] 21/16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ... [2] 52/15 |  | 42/ | operate [2] |  |
| $81 / 8$ | offhand [4] 82/1 | 142/22 148/13 | 51/20 | other [45] 6/8 9/1 |
| object [12] 5/25 | 82/8 86/12 103/16 | 148/19 150/15 | operati | 9/7 10/25 15/17 |
| 29/8 30/10 30/12 | office [6] 54/23 | old [4] 71/1 71/1 | 139/24 | $417 / 6$ 36/3 37/7 |
| 34/24 47/18 47/19 | 82/25 83/3 83/7 | 83/11 95/22 | operativ | 37/7 41/17 42/22 |
| 48/3 124/13 125/11 | 83/14 152/14 | older [1] 70/25 | 29/10 | 46/25 47/24 50/4 |
| 136/2 143/6 | officer [1] 62/ | Omni [2] 78/16 | opine [1] | 50/5 50/24 51/1 |
| objected [1] 8/5 | offset [1] 77/12 | 78/20 | opinion [2] 19/2 | 51/5 51/16 51/22 |
| objection [26] | often [4] 65/9 | on [1 | 19/8 | 52/7 72/13 72/19 |
| 5/21 20/14 22/10 | 78/21 83/6 103/1 | once [6] 18/15 | opinions [3] | 73/21 74/12 75/16 |
| 33/18 33/20 33/23 | oh [5] 17/8 104/14 | 26/18 45/6 46/21 | 18/23 18/25 | 78/13 84/18 90/6 |
| 43/21 46/8 47/5 | 121/7 128/17 130/3 | 98/20 120/4 | opportunity [1] | 90/10 90/11 90/13 |
| 53/3 53/24 61/10 | okay [130] 6/1 | one [85] 5/7 11/2 | 15/25 | 96/8 100/14 103/1 |
| 98/14 98/20 106/12 | 6/16 6/25 7/3 10/10 | 12/19 13/4 14/6 | opposing [2] 43/6 | 114/13 128/21 |
| 117/6 117/21 118/2 | 13/2 13/11 16/25 | 16/2 16/5 16/18 | 144/11 | 128/22 135/7 |
| 125/14 130/21 | 19/21 20/9 22/5 | 18/22 27/10 27/15 | optimistic [1] 11/5 | 135/11 145/8 |
| 131/9 134/22 | 23/2 23/23 27/8 | 27/20 34/3 34/15 | or [101] 8/21 11/4 | 146/22 149/12 |
| 137/11 138/3 | 28/5 28/22 29/4 | 36/3 36/20 37/10 | 11/18 12/5 14/23 | our [33] 4/8 8/13 |
| 142/25 144/24 | 30/7 36/10 36/18 | 39/9 39/10 40/16 | 15/21 18/16 22/20 | 15/15 21/4 24/1 |
| obligated [1] | 37/19 37/24 39/8 | 42/17 45/10 47/10 | 27/21 27/21 28/11 | 24/4 24/6 27/14 |
| 133/21 | 42/4 43/16 43/18 | 48/12 51/4 56/24 | 29/9 29/11 29/17 | 49/4 49/18 58/3 |
| obligation [7] | 44/22 46/23 51/16 | 59/2 60/16 61/11 | 31/15 31/18 34/3 | 62/2 65/24 66/22 |
| 27/16 61/18 61/21 | 52/25 53/4 53/25 | 62/3 63/10 64/17 | 34/12 34/23 35/14 | 73/6 73/6 76/15 |
| 65/23 105/4 130/14 | 55/5 55/19 56/6 | 64/17 64/17 67/3 | 35/24 36/2 37/16 | 77/10 85/14 85/15 |
| 132/19 | 56/11 56/17 56/21 | 67/7 67/19 67/21 | 37/20 38/2 38/8 | 4/17 102/20 103/3 |
| obligations [3] | 57/24 58/13 59/6 | 69/14 70/21 71/7 | 41/12 42/14 42/22 | 105/4 107/4 107/5 |
| 15/10 24/4 27/1 | 61/22 62/7 63/5 | 72/12 73/5 73/6 | 42/22 43/20 44/6 | 08/12 111/15 |
| obno | 63/17 63/19 64/3 | 73/19 73/22 74/12 | 44/16 44/16 44/19 | 126/15 132/4 |
| 93/1 | 65/4 66/15 67/15 | 74/12 75/8 78/16 | 44/24 46/7 46/15 | 134/24 135/2 |
| obtain [3] 113 | 67/24 68/1 68/5 | 81/20 82/10 82/22 | 56/22 59/15 61/2 | 144/10 |
| 127/23 128/3 | 68/9 68/11 68/13 | 84/17 85/2 85/5 | 65/13 65/16 65/2 | urs [2] |
| obvious [1] 147/14 | 77/13 80/25 81/4 | 87/5 87/7 87/9 88/3 | 66/15 67/4 67/11 | ourselves [1] |
| obviously [4] 17/6 | 81/7 81/20 82/18 | 89/24 90/20 92/15 | 68/15 71/13 72/2 | 123/22 |
| 59/14 147/12 | 82/22 85/9 85/17 | 94/17 96/21 96/24 | 73/10 74/22 77/8 | out [38] 17/3 17/4 |
| 147/13 | 85/22 86/2 86/4 | 100/8 100/17 | 79/1 79/9 79/9 82/9 | 27/16 34/19 46/24 |
| occasion [1] 79/1 | 87/14 88/1 88/5 | 102/20 107/13 | 82/15 83/20 83/21 | 48/16 60/22 60/25 |
| October [10] | 88/8 88/17 89/14 | 108/22 109/1 | 83/23 83/25 85/8 | 61/16 79/13 80/6 |
| 14/24 57/18 57/20 | 90/3 90/6 90/11 | 122/12 123/16 | 85/19 86/23 86/25 | 83/20 88/1 88/3 |
| 58/17 81/20 92/17 | 90/21 92/10 93/2 | 124/19 126/5 | 87/2 91/11 91/23 | 88/10 88/12 88/15 |
| 103/18 103/23 | 97/11 97/25 98/23 | 126/14 127/3 | 92/5 92/7 93/15 | 88/16 88/17 88/22 |
| 103/24 130/10 | 99/8 99/11 99/22 | 127/22 142/3 | 93/15 94/21 94/23 | 93/14 93/22 94/9 |
| October 2016 [2] | 100/17 101/5 | 143/25 145/21 | 95/25 96/20 99/6 | 96/1 101/10 103/4 |
| 57/18 58/17 | 104/19 107/18 | 147/19 150/20 | 99/7 100/14 103/2 | 104/2 104/4 104/6 |
| October 24 | 108/1 109/15 | 150/22 | 103/20 103/21 | 104/11 114/1 119/7 |
| $92 / 17$ | 111/21 111/24 | one-sixth [3] | 105/8 105/11 106/9 | 119/15 129/2 132/3 |
| October 2 | 112/14 112/23 | 27/10 27/15 27/ | 106/10 107/20 | 135/2 140/22 147/4 |
| 81/20 | 113/6 113/10 | ones [2] 34/20 | 112/15 119/4 124/5 | outlined [1] 57/11 |
| October 6 [2] | 113/16 114/5 | 141/ | 126/9 126/13 127/2 | outside [3] 31/7 |
| 57/20 103/18 | 114/19 116/6 11 | ongoing [1] 18/5 | 134/8 135/8 140/4 | 67/5 79/11 |
| October 7 [2] | 117/12 117/23 | online [1] 77/4 | 143/10 144/21 | ver [13] |
| 103/23 103/24 | 119/18 122/8 | only [8] $12 / 16$ | 145/1 150/9 | 15/6 34/23 |
| off [16] 6/19 6/21 | 122/15 122/16 | 82/20 132/4 135/2 | order [10] 7/15 | 40/21 84/2 84/11 |
| 36/4 40/10 98/9 | 122/20 123/6 124/7 | 136/5 143/12 145/8 | 26/7 31/5 31/6 | 92/6 131/17 131/20 |
| 106/11 110/18 | 126/6 126/16 127/ | 149/11 | 31/24 32/13 54/11 | 44/17 144/18 |
| 111/7 123/15 | 128/2 129/3 130/1 | open [6] 16/6 16/9 | 118/1 123/19 129/1 | 145/5 |
| 129/21 131/18 | $131 / 13137 / 3$ $138 / 13$ $138 / 22$ | 17/11 19/17 150/14 | original [2] 41/5 | [1] |
| 131/23 133/13 | 138/13 138/22 | 150/17 | 41/7 | vernight [1] 99/1 |

(20) oath... - overnight

| 0 |  | paragraph 6 [1] | 27/16 49/20 59/24 | personally [5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3] 38/24 | page 0278 [1] | 56/7 | 70/9 70/14 73/15 | 9 29/17 35/13 |
| $48 / 1949 / 16$ | 72/24 | parameters [1] | 75/5 94/15 95/3 | 24 |
| Overruled [1] 44/4 | page 028 |  | 95/5 101/23 111/1 | perspective [4] |
| overseas [1] 32/6 | 93/19 102/ | parentheses [1] | 149/25 | 11/20 18/7 4 |
| own [11] $25 / 18$ | pa |  | paym |  |
| 25/21 25/25 77/16 |  |  |  |  |
| 87/21 87/24 105/4 | page 0286 [1] | 92/8 | 57/22 63/19 74/1 | ive |
| 106/11 119/7 | 107/6 | PARKWAY [1] 2/5 | 75/16 77/18 77/18 | 127/ |
| 133/10 146/20 | page 10 [1] 121/15 | part [15] 16/1 | 78/8 95/1 111/9 | pertinent |
| owned [2] 35/4 | pa | 18/19 24/4 26/1 | 111/21 111/23 | 014 |
| 70/17 | 113/16 | 26/12 32/16 32/1 | 150/1 | [1] 32 |
| owner [1] 102/21 |  | 49 | pays [1] | ps [1] |
| owning [1] 26/12 | page 21 [1] 135/ | 80/10 117/1 127/ | PEGGY [3] 1/24 | hone [1] 120 <br> honetic [1] |
| owns [3] 68/1 68/8 | $\mathbf{p}_{1}$ |  |  | $135 / 2$ |
|  | pa | 48 | pending [3] 17/ | phrase [1] |
| P | 122/10 | 48/5 110/15 123/ | 136/17 136/19 | phrased [2] |
| p.m [1] 81 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { page } 280 \text { [1] } 92 / 5 \\ & \text { page } 281 \text { [1] } \end{aligned}$ | 125/3 131/18 <br> particularly [3 | penny [1] 105/5 <br> people [16] 29/2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 106/13 } \\ & \text { Piazza [17] } 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| page [83] | $\text { page } 281 \text { [1] }$ $92 / 10$ | particularly [3] | people [16] 29/2 30/4 31/17 37/4 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Piazza [17] 21/23 } \\ 23 / 532 / 332 / 532 / 5 \end{array}$ |
|  | page 282 [1] 93/7 | parties [12] 29/10 | 48/23 69/14 72/8 | 32/8 34/21 72/ |
| $63 / 1063 / 1566 / 1$ | page 290 [1] 89/1 | 31/2 31/7 34/20 | 72/10 72/11 72/14 | 124/8 125/3 126/3 |
| 66/2 66/11 66/16 | page 295 [1] | 89/18 89/21 90/19 | 72/19 91/20 91/21 | 132/5 146/4 146/10 |
| 67/15 67/22 68/16 | 127/11 | 90/19 91/8 91/16 | 93/5 103/15 144/18 | 146/16 147/2 |
| 68/17 72/24 77/1 | page 303 [2] | 116/7 116/10 | per [2] 45/16 55/1 | 147/18 |
| 78/6 80/16 81/14 | 136/9 138/12 | partner [14] 35/13 | percent [16] 23/24 | Piazza's [2] 137/13 |
| 89/1 89/7 92/5 92/5 | page 391 [1] | 35/24 36/25 37/1 | 24/13 24/25 25/4 | 144/25 |
| 92/6 92/10 93/7 | 138/25 | 60/10 70/21 70/2 | 26/20 26/24 26/24 | pick [1] 6/2 |
| 93/19 96/21 96/23 | page 392 [2] | 70/24 71/2 73/6 | 27/2 27/2 27/2 27/4 | place [8] 4/8 5/11 |
| 98/6 99/15 100/17 | 138/11 139/6 | 73/22 74/18 74/20 | 27/13 28/11 55/16 | 34/7 83/22 84/18 |
| 101/17 102/10 | page one [1] 59/2 | 74/22 | 110/7 115/3 | 87/13 123/20 152/7 |
| 103/17 104/5 | pages [8] 86/1 | partners [4] 41/18 | percipient [1] 18/7 | placement [3] |
| 106/16 106/17 | 95/8 96/17 101/7 | 41/23 70/2 71/2 | perform [6] 23/21 | 133/5 133/8 134/15 |
| 106/21 107/6 107 | 124/6 126/8 127/24 | party [7] 9/7 26/2 | 24/19 105/2 105/10 | places [2] 6/9 69/7 |
| 107/22 108/6 | 131/1 | 47/21 114/3 116/11 | 105/17 105/25 | plaintiff [7] $1 / 10$ |
| 108/21 108/21 | Pahrump [5] 21/17 | 116/12 116/13 | performance [3] | 3/2 4/11 5/22 55/15 |
| 110/15 111/1 111/6 | 32/13 79/11 103/5 | past [1] 39/1 | 111/9 111/11 | 57/17 125/9 |
| 111/11 112/18 | 104/12 | patience [1] | 111/23 | platform [6] 55/12 |
| 113/16 121/1 121/3 | paid [19] 24/21 | 123/ | performed [3] | 56/16 56/19 56/22 |
| 121/4 121/5 121/8 | 47/10 47/13 47/15 | pause [1] 140/20 | 98/21 105/16 | 56/23 77/4 |
| 121/11 121/15 | 47/25 48/22 49/2 | pay [20] 24/5 24/6 | 105/18 | play [1] 146/8 |
| 122/10 122/18 | 49/22 56/12 58/4 | 27/14 27/16 55/11 | performing [3] | please [15] 20/8 |
| 122/24 126/17 | 58/6 60/24 69/2 | 57/25 58/2 73/15 | 23/25 25/2 105/24 | 30/7 44/8 44/10 |
| 126/19 126/20 | 73/12 88/20 94/23 | 76/22 79/14 80/8 | perhaps [3] 15/19 | 49/9 49/14 61/7 |
| 127/11 129/24 | 108/12 111/25 | 91/21 103/14 | 68/15 79/16 | 62/14 67/1 68/25 |
| 130/8 131/2 135/10 | 135/20 | 104/21 107/20 | period [3] 58/1 | 105/12 106/3 |
| 135/16 136/9 | Pal [1] 7 | 110/6 111/16 | 127/21 132/21 | 128/20 129/15 |
| 136/14 136/14 | pan [1] 80/6 | 131/23 133/13 | permission [1] | 138/19 |
| 137/2 138/11 | Panama [4] 72/13 | 136/5 | 4/19 | PLLC [1] 70/9 |
| 138/12 138/25 | 72/18 72/20 72/21 | Pay Pal [1] | permits [1] 132/24 | cket [1] 13 |
| 139/6 141/11 | papers [2] 21/4 | payee [1] 94/ | permitted [2] | point [16] 9/16 |
| 142/16 | 72 | paying [12] | 25/25 47/10 | 17 16/12 29/23 |
| page 0270 [1] | paragraph [6] | 60/22 61/16 65/6 | person [2] 72/17 | 5/8 51/7 70/21 |
| page | 55/5 55/10 55/2 | 90/4 103/2 | 145/8 | 20 76/24 83/ |
| page 0274 [1] | 56/7 133/25 138/6 | 31/18 133/6 | personal [5] 30/5 | 102/21 114/1 132/2 |
| $56 / 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { paragraph } 4 \text { [2] } \\ & 55 / 555 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133 / 10146 / 8150 / 1 \\ & \text { payment [13] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 / 188 / 688 / 10 \\ & 88 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133 / 22 \text { 147/16 } \\ & 149 / 13 \end{aligned}$ |

(21) overrule - point

| P |  | proceeding [2] | /18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 79/14 80/5 87/16 | 60/23 |
| popular [1] 82/15 | present [3] 16/10 | proceeding | 91/18 105/8 107/17 | pr |
| pore [1] 36/24 | 90/14 124/16 | 4/18 21/1 22 | 131/11 132/9 | /13 129/14 |
| portion [8] 26/19 | presentation [2] | 30/17 48/1 140/2 | 132/12 132/15 | $3 / 23$ 134/7 |
| 27/1 44/11 49/11 |  | 151/1 152/6 152/12 | 132/18 132/20 | isions [6] |
| 61/8 10 | president [1] | proceeds [4] | 133/1 133/4 133/11 | 134/10 134/2 |
| 132/4 |  | 131/22 132/16 | 133/15 133/22 | 35/3 135/7 135/1 |
| Portland [2] 36/3 | presumably | 132/24 134/3 | 134/6 134/8 134/12 | 136/12 |
| 36/7 | 125/10 | process [3] 29/ | 135/8 137/7 137/22 | prudent [1] |
| position [9] 15/15 |  |  | 38/ |  |
| 58/1 58/5 105/13 | 136/3 | processed [1] | 139/13 139/20 | 30/1 99/17 |
| 105/21 115/25 | pretty [3] 13/ |  | /23 139/25 | pull [2] 21/4 |
| 131/21 135/20 | 14/17 112/19 prevailing [1] | processing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { projects [2] } 36 \\ & 73 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | $147 / 17$ <br> purchase [4] |
| 135/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prevailing [1] } \\ & 49 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | 106/24 produced | 73/21 promise [1] 105/11 | purchase [4] 76/22 79/20 92/19 122/22 |
| possession [1] | prevent [2] 36/5 | produced [19] | promise [1] 10, proof [6] 20/20 | purchases [2] |
| 126/15 | 46/19 | 46/22 62/2 62/4 | 20/24 21/13 128/3 | 64/17 103/7 |
| 11/20 | previously | 71/9 97/4 97/5 | 146/1 146/2 | purpose [9] |
| post [1] 77/10 | 19/23 29/19 70 | 97/15 97/15 114/2 | proper [2] 19/ | 8/2 93/3 134/5 |
| posture [1] 33/ | 70/19 76/17 91/22 | 114/4 114/11 | 19/9 | /19 143/6 1 |
| potential [3] 33/3 | 110/5 131/25 | 114/15 115/ | properly [1] 60/15 | 143/16 146/14 |
| 74/6 86/25 | primary [1] | 117/2 | rty [1] | poses [4] |
| potentially [8] | principal [2] 70/18 | 126/14 | 131/24 | 94/18 98/25 11 |
| 8/21 9/5 9/22 10/2 |  |  | proportionality [ | rsuant [4] |
| 12/2 22/13 33/25 | printed [4] 99/23 | producing [1] | 7/23 | 73/9 114/23 132/16 |
| 80/2 | 142/13 142/20 | 125 | proposal [2] 28/20 | put [19] 11/19 |
| PowerPoint [3] | 143/17 | product [8] | 29/13 | 34/7 40/10 64/1 |
| 64/1 64/7 65/21 | printout | 42/14 42/18 43/ | propose | /21 87/23 89/1 |
| PPT [1] 64/5 | 101/15 142/8 | 43/3 44/23 45/1 | 109/20 | 89/12 91/17 112/3 |
| practice [6] 1 | prior [3] 54/1 | 46/2 | proprietary [10] | 5/15 124/18 |
| 17/16 55/25 70/16 | 57/19 68/15 | production [6] | 30/11 30/12 30/15 | 132/1 132/5 143 |
| 70/20 119/3 | privacy [1] 115/16 | 7/20 8/14 8/25 | 30/25 31/2 31/22 | 44/16 144/20 |
| practices [1] 26 | private [3] 133/5 | 54/22 67/17 116/25 | 32/18 33/3 33/20 | 144/21 147/2 |
| pragmatic [1] | $133 / 8134 / 15$ <br> privilege [7] | Productions [4] |  | Q |
| /15 |  | 68/3 | protected [2] | dalified [1] 93/ |
| precise [1] 95/17 | 115/1 115/16 118/1 | professionals [1] | protected $31 / 22$ 43/1 | qualify [2] 57/1 |
| $10$ | privileged [2] | 56/25 | protective [6] 31/5 | 93/17 |
|  | 42/24 115/22 | Professor [11 | 31/6 31/24 32/13 | quarter |
|  | pro [3] 2/12 45/16 | 23/21 23/23 24/7 | 117/25 123/19 | 37/21 38/2 38/18 |
|  | 73/25 | 24/8 24/19 24/22 | provide [7] 24/3 | 92/5 |
| prejud | probably [13] 6/4 | 25/1 25/13 57/2 | 26/8 35/24 45/11 | quarters [1] |
| $21 / 15$ | 15/22 18/12 20/6 | 109/25 110/6 | 49/5 113/13 125/4 | 106/17 |
| prelimin | 25/11 33/19 40/1 | program [4] 51/21 | provided [32] | question [49] 8/ |
| $1 / 168 / 2019 / 15$ | 62/3 82/21 83/25 | 80/3 113/18 119/19 | 24/17 31/7 33/21 | 25/22 27/24 |
| $47 / 1955 / 655 / 8$ | 85/18 97/16 116/22 | progress [2] 38/12 | 41/22 42/3 49/23 | 29/15 33/16 42/23 |
| $7 / 12144 / 1145$ | probative [1] | 40/12 | 53/9 53/15 53/18 | 43/18 44/7 44/10 |
| emarke | 21/16 | project [61] 23/18 | 54/17 58/7 59/16 | 45/13 45/22 49/3 |
| 70/9 70/15 71/3 | problem [3] 6/7 | 26/3 26/8 28/3 | 63/7 71/8 73/25 | 49/9 49/14 53/14 |
| $1 / 5 \quad 112 / 2111$ | 31/24 139/25 | 35/18 35/24 36/2 | 85/23 92/1 112/8 | 61/6 61/19 61/22 |
|  | problematic [1] | 36/7 36/7 36/9 37/5 | 112/24 114/9 128/2 | 62/11 62/13 62/22 |
|  | 30/16 | 38/22 38/25 39/16 | 128/9 128/11 | 63/4 68/7 84/19 |
|  | problems [1] | 39/22 40/20 40/23 | 131/23 132/7 | 85/1 88/9 104/25 |
|  | 30/20 | 41/12 57/4 57/10 | 133/12 137/6 | 106/3 106/10 120 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { pr } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | procedural [1] | 60/7 60/14 60/23 | 137/22 137/25 | 120/14 120/23 |
| prepared [6] 12/23 | 34/6 | 61/17 64/2 64/14 | 138/6 138/15 141/4 | 20/24 132/22 |
| prepared [6] 12/23 $42 / 2564 / 867 / 7$ | proceed [1] 116/24 | 69/10 73/23 74/3 | providing | $\begin{aligned} & 132 / 23135 / 1 \quad 135 / 5 \\ & 135 / 19136 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |


| Q | 81/5 | 12 | $91 / 2391 / 251$ | 148/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| question... [9] | real [3] 26/5 | 137/16 142/ | 116/13 | mbering [ |
| 136/19 137/19 | 140/15 150/9 | 142/12 152/11 | registration [1] | 17 |
| 137/23 138/22 | realities [2] 11/12 | records [25] 25/7 |  | 13 |
| 139/16 143/2 147/9 | realize [2] 9/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 25 / 936 / 2437 / 23 \\ & 39 / 2340 / 750 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | regret [1] 97/7 reimbursed [2] | Rent [2] 89/25 |
| 148/3 148/5 | realize [2] 9/4 148/10 | $\begin{aligned} & 39 / 2340 / 750 / 14 \\ & 50 / 1751 / 2358 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | reimbursed [2] | Rent [2] 89/25 |
| questioning [1] | really [13] 10/23 | 62/2 81/25 82/5 | reimburseme | ntal [1] |
|  | 11/7 17/22 18/4 | 82/6 83/5 85/21 | [15] 78/4 93/23 | phrase [1] 61/5 |
| 12/23 13/18 23/16 | 32/11 36/23 46/4 | 85/23 90/17 102/20 | 94/2 94/6 94/10 | replace [1] 99/2 |
| 26/17 34/10 37/12 | 46/14 71/23 117/10 | 103/1 112/12 | 94/14 95/12 95/15 | report [11] 12/9 |
| 41/16 45/7 46/15 | 125/8 137/10 | 123/21 124/15 | 96/2 96/6 96/12 | 12/17 13/20 13/24 |
| 48/14 55/1 59/13 | 137/11 | 124/18 144/19 | 100/12 100/21 | 17/5 37/14 37/21 |
| 62/17 73/24 112/6 | reason [6] 12/16 | recruit [3] 73/16 | 107/9 107/11 | 38/1 38/5 71/12 |
| 118/7 120/21 | 18/21 126/23 127/2 | 73/19 80/1 | reimbursemen | 120/2 |
| 121/13 123/12 | 127/4 142/18 | recruited [1] | [1] 96/16 | REPORTED [1] |
| 142/3 142/8 143/19 |  | 4] 98 | related [22] 20/12 |  |
| 144/10 147/1 147/5 | 129/2 | 98/17 99/1 123/21 | 22/19 22/21 23/18 | reporter [9] 44/1 |
| 148/12 148/14 | reasons [2] 16/19 | redacting [1] | 25/9 41/11 41/13 | 44/12 49/8 49/12 |
| quick [3] 15/16 | 83/14 | 123 | 54/8 54/21 60/6 | 61/7 61/9 106/2 |
| 117/10 140/15 | rebut [2] 32/2 | redirect [1] 80/5 | 65/4 78/13 78/18 | 106/5 152/4 |
| QuickBooks [18] | 32/25 | reference [10] | 80/17 87/2 87/15 | REPORTER'S [2] |
| 56/1 58/15 58/21 | recall [22] 7/14 | 29/6 31/10 55/6 | 90/11 92/7 92/13 | 1/15 151/4 |
| 59/10 59/13 101/15 | 8/3 13/4 23/19 35/9 | 56/7 64/16 75/2 | 94/14 112/10 | reports [7] 13/15 |
| 101/24 102/6 102/9 | 38/15 41/19 51/17 | 94/24 111/3 138/5 | 114/25 | 13/15 13/16 13/21 |
| 120/9 120/18 | 52/8 65/2 71/7 72/1 | 138/14 | relates [2] 92/18 | 8/15 138/16 |
| 120/25 121/6 | 76/18 78/4 83/18 | referenced [2] | 100 | 38/19 |
| 121/11 121/15 | 85/7 85/14 86/12 | 71/4 137/22 | relationship [5] | representation [2] |
| 121/20 122/4 122/5 | 87/20 91/10 103/14 | referred [3] 69/15 | 30/5 31/16 32/10 | 32/24 39/15 |
| quickly [2] 17/20 | 104 | 72/17 72/17 | 35/2 45/21 | resentations |
| 147/15 |  | referring [6] 88/19 | relationshi |  |
| quite [5] 46/13 | receive [10] 26/18 | 90/3 115/11 138/23 | 29/16 30/1 30/24 | representative |
|  | 26/19 26/20 74/23 | 139/1 139/4 | 31/14 32/9 119/10 | 125/2 |
| $120 / 9$ | 75/15 75/17 138/7 | refinancing [2] | 119/17 | presented [1] |
| R |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 108/23 111/4 |  | leasing [ | reputat |
| 55/14 105 | 111/22 127/15 | reflects [1] 89/8 | 30/16 | 33/ |
| Raises [1] 85/ | 137/21 138/2 138/8 | refresh [2] 41/21 | relevance [6] | request [16] 4/18 |
| raising [3] 29/17 | 139/2 139/17 | 52/22 | 20/14 20/15 48/1 | /18 8/25 53/10 |
| 76/17 87/15 | receives [1] 26/24 | regard [7] 7/10 | 48/3 104/25 143/2 | 53/19 54/22 67/12 |
| ran [2] 36/4 65 | receiving [2] 90/8 | 11/16 16/3 22/11 | relevant [8] 20/17 | 7/13 114/3 116/21 |
| ranges [1] 103/5 | 127/12 | 40/18 122/3 145/13 | 21/1 31/3 31/19 | 116/23 118/2 118/5 |
| rate [1] 55/16 | Recess [3] 52 | regarding [6] 15/9 | 44/25 115/13 | 18/6 144/20 146/2 |
| Rather [1] 110/6 | 81/2 117/17 | 93/8 116/21 117/20 | 118/14 137/23 | ted |
| reached [2] 140/3 | recognize [5] 9/14 | 130/14 142/3 | relieve [1] $6 / 3$ | 4/11 49/11 54/9 |
| 140/5 | 75/7 142/8 145/4 | regardless [2] | relieved [2] 4/19 | /877/17 106/4 |
| read [15] 44/9 |  |  |  | 12/10 |
| 44/11 49/9 49/11 | recollection [9] | regional [29] | 砳 [23] | quests [10] $7 / 15$ |
| 56/2 61/6 61/8 | 18/19 27/25 28/1 | 23/24 24/10 24/14 | 7/6 12/12 23/18 | 7/20 8/2 8/8 8/13 |
| 62/13 73/3 105/12 | 36/18 41/21 50/22 | 25/3 25/13 25/16 | 26/20 37/16 37/18 | 8/15 45/10 45/15 |
| 106/3 106/4 113/20 | 62/20 75/14 100/15 | 25/18 25/21 25/25 | 38/13 38/20 40/15 | /17 114/13 |
| 129/8 134/19 | record [19] 4/9 | 26/12 41/12 50/5 | 40/16 41/6 68/5 | quire [3] 34/1 |
| reading [1] 58/20 | 5/11 45/9 81/5 86/5 | 51/18 51/20 56/14 | 24 89/25 | 135/12 |
| ready [9] 5/5 7/14 | 93/2 98/9 110/18 | 56/15 56/17 57/8 | 90/2 90/22 92/14 | red |
| 19/18 34/3 39/2 | 111/7 116/3 116/8 | 57/10 65/7 88/23 | $127 / 11140 / 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 134/11 } \\ & \text { reauirement [1] } \end{aligned}$ |
| 40/2 40/24 40/25 | 116/16 117/2 | 89/11 89/24 90/9 | 142/17 142/21 | requirement [1] |

(23) question... - requirement

| R | 44/5 44/15 44/18 | rin | 50/23 58/17 64/21 | 龶 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| requirement... [1] | 45/24 46/5 | River [3] 87/6 | 74/12 74/15 75/4 | 138/17 141/4 141/5 |
|  | rich [1] 31/16 | 87/11 87/13 | 75/6 83/22 83/22 | 142/10 |
|  | rid [1] 83/13 | RMR [2] 1/24 | 84/17 102/14 | scenario [2] 10/18 |
| 133/15 134/8 | right [141] $4 / 6$ | 152/17 | 103/10 119/18 | 11/18 |
| resemblance [1] | 5/14 6/2 6/6 6/25 | road [2] 34/3 | 122/25 123/8 | schedule [4] 12/12 |
| 128/24 | 7/8 9/23 10/3 10/3 | 125/23 | 6/14 | 38/11 55/17 55/19 |
| reserve [2] 10/14 | 10/7 10/14 10/16 | Robert [4] | SAN [6] 2/17 4/20 | edul |
| 15/2 | 12/10 12/21 12/22 | 19/22 141/6 141/7 | 5/15 21/25 76/12 | 47/10 |
| reserved [1] 1 | 14/12 18/24 19/7 | Rogan [9] 68/20 | 83/10 | Scheduling [1] |
| reserving [1] | 19/11 19/13 20/11 |  |  | 43 |
| 146/19 | 21/12 21/22 22/11 | 69/11 69/14 69/22 | 103 | scope [1] 18/22 |
| resolution |  |  |  | Sean [1] 24/8 |
| 117/20 | 27/15 28/3 33/10 | Rogan's [2] 69/18 | 128 | search [3] 62/ |
| respect [1] | 34/9 35/14 41/3 | 69/20 role [1] 22/1 | save [3] 13 | 85/21 85/23 |
| respectfully | 45/23 46/20 47/8 | room [3] 78/10 | saw [1] 101/2 | 54/13 |
|  | 50/8 52/17 53/7 | 79/15 84/2 | say [56] 6/16 8/21 | second [10] 20/16 |
| 67/11 | 53/13 53/21 53/23 | rooms [3] 102/16 | 11/4 11/17 12/4 | 37/10 75/22 92/15 |
|  | 54/5 54/25 58/9 | 102/19 103/3 | 14/5 16/3 19/17 | 93/21 97/3 103/17 |
| 127/18 140/6 | 59/4 59/12 59/23 | rote [1] 21/8 | 26/13 26/23 28/7 | 112/4 123/2 126/18 |
| responding [ | 62/11 63/10 64/3 | roughly [3] 28/11 | 36/23 37/23 38/9 | secondly [1] 18/24 |
| 45/19 54/22 | 64/9 64/15 65/25 | 105/1 111/20 | 38/9 39/1 41/9 | secret [1] 31/22 |
| response [8] 20/22 | 68/17 70/8 72/23 | route [1] 103/20 | 47/17 56/15 56/21 | Secretary [1] |
| 43/4 45/24 49/4 | 74/10 74/24 75/20 | RSR [1] 139/7 | 60/16 62/15 62/19 | 122/17 |
| 53/10 53/18 67/13 | 76/6 76/21 76/25 | rule [2] 6/4 114/14 | 64/15 65/11 65/15 | secrets [1] 34/24 |
| 114/2 | 77/13 78/5 78/16 | rules [3] 7/24 | 66/7 75/16 78/5 | section [10] 59/3 |
|  | 78/22 79/7 79/19 | 114/18 114/20 | 81/25 82/3 82/8 | 93/20 93/21 107/23 |
| $42 / 743 / 443 / 9$ | 80/14 81/1 81/4 | ruling [1] 116/22 | 85/20 86/21 87/1 | 113/16 131/2 |
|  | 81/11 82/10 84/9 | run [6] 26/6 32/6 | 90/16 90/25 91/11 | 133/25 134/18 |
|  | 85/1 85/23 86/6 | 48/7 71/19 71/25 | 93/13 95/4 103/16 | 135/14 136/15 |
| 122/2 | 86/13 86/15 87/5 | 91/4 | 105/15 105/23 | Section 1.1 [1] |
|  | 87/23 92/3 92/10 | runs [5] 35/20 | 105/24 109/23 | 133/25 |
| restate [1] 44/7 | 92/16 93/7 94/16 | 58/10 68/5 71/17 | 123/6 125/16 126/5 | Section 1.7 [1] |
| rested [1] 60/9 | 94/20 95/10 95/25 | 91/14 | 126/15 129/4 | 136/15 |
| result [2] 8/24 | 96/19 97/22 97/23 | Russia [4] 51/2 | 138/10 141/6 | Section 3 [1] |
| 70/6 | 101/6 101/10 | 51/3 69/5 69/23 | 142/19 148/7 | 131/2 |
| resulted [1] 90/8 | 101/17 102/ | Russian [3] 69/22 | 148/20 150/16 | Section 5.1 [1] |
| results [1] 113/10 | 104/5 106/16 109 |  | ying [16] 10/1 | 135/14 |
| RESUMED [1] | $10$ | S | $32 / 23 \quad 33 / 858 /$ | secured [1] 13 |
| 19/25 | 115/16 | Safe [1] 75/16 | 7/3 128/17 129/9 | securities [1] <br> see [66] 9/21 |
| retainer [4] 64/12 | $118 / 11 \quad 118 / 16$ | safeguards [1] | 132/15 148/21 | $10 / 22 \quad 14 / 13 \quad 15 / 6$ |
| 73/9 73/15 76/7 | 122/8 122/24 123/6 | safeguards [1] | 149/3 149/5 150/8 | 15/7 16/22 16/22 |
| return [3] 24/24 <br> 25/4 110/8 | 123/13 127/9 127/9 | said [33] 7/16 | 150/13 | 18/13 19/16 20/7 |
| revenue [4] 90/10 | 135/18 136/9 | 17/11 18/11 35/22 | says [40] 55/24 | 34/8 35/15 45/20 |
|  | 136/22 137/20 | 40/8 41/2 47/11 | 57/16 58/24 68/18 | 53/15 56/9 57/3 |
| revenues [1] | 138/21 140/8 | 47/11 48/21 51/9 | 68/20 69/1 70/8 | 58/25 59/3 63/13 |
| $133 / 10$ | 141/15 141/21 | 51/10 56/15 57/24 | 70/14 72/24 74/12 | 66/2 66/17 67/16 |
| review [14] 41/25 | 142/22 143/18 | 87/18 87/23 88/11 | 74/13 75/10 76/7 | 67/17 68/21 70/11 |
| 42/6 42/23 43/2 | 143/21 147/5 | 88/20 89/20 90/18 | 79/20 79/20 84/10 | 71/10 74/16 82/18 |
| $43 / 443 / 19$ 44/22 | 147/22 147/25 | 90/24 91/3 91/10 | 86/6 89/6 93/23 | 82/22 85/18 86/8 |
| 44/24 44/24 45/2 | 148/4 148/21 | 100/25 104/13 | 95/16 106/21 107/2 | 86/17 87/7 89/5 |
| 45/13 45/14 45/15 | 148/23 148/24 | 115/12 128/12 | 107/8 107/23 | 89/5 93/24 94/23 |
| 122/1 | 149/9 149/11 | 128/16 129/3 | 109/25 111/11 | 95/12 96/3 96/13 |
| review | 149/17 149/20 | 129/11 136/5 142/ | 113/17 119/18 | 98/13 98/18 99/13 |
|  | 150/11 | 144/13 152/7 | 122/21 127/5 129/7 | 99/16 101/8 102/2 |
| $43 / 2243 / 2444 / 5$ | rights [1] 33/25 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { same [21] } \\ 13 / 96 / 96 \\ \hline 10 / 16 \\ 43 / 21 \end{array}$ | 129/7 131/12 133/2 | 107/9 107/24 |


| S | 106 | should [15] 8/21 | 128/15 129/3 129/4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| see... [18] 108/24 | September 25 [1] | 11/8 19/2 22/7 34/1 | 129/13 130/13 | slow [2] 8/21 |
| see... [18] 108/24 $109 / 5110 / 23$ | 103/6 | 34/4 46/9 97/16 | 131/3 131/19 | 146/7 |
| 109/5 110/23 | September 5 [2] | 109/18 125/8 | 131/22 132/3 133/9 | small [3] 14/ |
| 11 | 122/16 122/20 | 137/18 141/6 | 133/12 133/21 | 15/1 77/8 |
| 122/21 123/2 | served [2] 7/18 8/8 | 145/15 146/9 | 134/2 134/8 135/8 | mart [2] 76 |
| 125/22 125/25 | services [15] 24/3 | 146/11 | 135/20 135/22 | 146/5 |
| 130/8 139/11 142/3 | 58/6 68/19 70/10 | shouldn't [3] | 137/6 138/9 141/6 | smoke [1] 1 |
| 142/13 146/7 | 70/15 71/3 71/5 | 114/4 115/13 | 141/7 | so [239] |
| 146/15 150/24 | 91/22 105/2 105/10 | 148/15 | Sight's [5] 53/10 | 1] |
| seeing [4] 101/13 | 105/16 105/17 | show [4] 100/10 | 53/19 60/6 124/8 | [1] 72/12 |
| 101/22 102/4 140/4 | 105/18 105/24 | 129/8 129/15 | 132 |  |
| seems [7] $13 / 3$ | 105/25 | 14 | signature [1] | ve [1] |
| 14/2 17/20 34/4 |  | showed | 126/ | some [45] 7/21 |
| 47/22 121/22 | set [7] 14/15 26/13 | 37/9 | Silverton [10] 79/7 | 7/21 9/7 9/8 |
|  | 54/16 61/17 73/16 | showing [5] | 79/10 84/3 84/4 | 13/17 16/15 17/ |
|  | 125/18 137/15 | 58/15 131/3 146/1 | 102/13 102/17 | 22/13 23/15 26/16 |
|  | setting [1] 19/16 | 146/2 | 102/19 102/25 | 32/5 33/2 33/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 126 / 10 \\ & 126 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | seven [5] 23/20 | shown [1] 55/16 | 103/3 104/3 | 34/16 34/16 34/22 |
| $\mathbf{s e}$ | 59/23 66/15 66/15 | shows [3] 20/23 | similar [1] 126/13 | 41/16 48/14 50/10 |
|  | 146/16 | 81/18 132/8 | Simone [4] 70/18 | 50/18 53/15 55/1 |
|  | several [18] 36/1 | sick [1] 145/ | 70/22 119/1 120/4 | 9/12 71/1 72/4 |
| senior [17] 34 | 39/17 41/2 42/3 | side [3] 26/6 | simple [2] 22/7 | 4/4 80/4 81 |
| 34/17 127/23 12 | 64/20 66/2 76/25 | 124/21 147/4 | 46/4 | /16 83/16 |
| 128/3 128/7 | 81/16 83/15 85/13 | sides [1] 16/10 | simply [2] 32/23 | 93/5 104/21 111/24 |
| 128/10 128/13 | 94/19 102/7 102/16 | SIGHT [113] 1/9 | 139/16 | 12/6 117/19 |
| 128/2 | 102/18 103/7 132/7 | 24/2 24/5 24/18 | since [10] 8/19 | 123/21 140/17 |
| 128/2 | 141/16 145/16 | 25/17 25/20 25/24 | 17/1 20/11 24/8 | 143/23 144/16 |
| 129/14 130/12 | shady [2] 72/4 | 25/24 26/11 26/25 | 37/24 54/16 91/23 | 144/20 145/1 148/9 |
| 130/14 133/10 | 72/5 | 30/20 30/23 35/14 | 98/25 143/3 146/19 | 148/12 |
| sense [3] 21/12 | shall [3] 53/25 | 35/15 35/16 35/17 | Sinowel [6] 49/5 | somebody [4] |
| 23/10 114/23 | 130/22 141/21 | 36/9 36/12 36/15 | 49/23 102/21 | 42/25 78/25 79/9 |
| sent [13] 31/11 | Shanghai [4] 37/2 | 36/21 37/4 38/10 | 102/23 103/4 |  |
| 32/19 38/1 38/16 | 80/15 80/17 80/18 | 38/22 40/4 40/12 | 103/15 | somebody's [1] |
| 54/23 124/8 126/2 | share [3] 34/18 | 40/23 41/12 49/3 | sir [24] 6/25 7/ |  |
| 126/4 126/5 126/25 | 34/22 110/7 | 49/6 49/24 54/9 | 19/12 19/19 52/14 | someone [3] 31/ |
| 131/6 1 | sharing [1] 34/2 | 55/10 56/8 56/13 | 53/25 66/14 81/8 |  |
| 138/10 | she [12] 35/23 | 57/3 57/10 57/22 | 92/15 97/1 97/22 | something [22] |
| senten | 63/25 67/10 70/25 | 57/25 58/1 58/6 | 100/2 118/13 | 8/24 9/20 11/18 |
|  | 71/4 119/2 119/5 | 60/21 61/2 61/3 | 122/14 122/21 | /9 14/10 16/13 |
| separat | 119/7 119/9 119/9 | 61/15 61/20 61/23 | 123/24 124/4 | 10 20/8 2 |
|  | 119/16 119/16 | 64/2 64/13 65/19 | 125/22 126/20 | 7/16 33/25 34/12 |
|  | she's [4] 4/20 5/ | 65/23 69/9 71/12 | 130/6 130/22 143/9 | 6/5 42/22 85/8 |
|  | 6/17 67/6 | 71/16 71/18 71/24 | 146/14 149/7 | /19 91/18 96/20 |
|  | shelter [1] 72/20 | 72/5 72/10 73/23 | sit [9] 22/6 29/16 | 03/21 107/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Septe } \\ & \text { 28/22 } \end{aligned}$ | Sheraton [2] 81/21 | 73/25 74/7 74/9 | 37/19 38/20 39/24 | 117/2 117/14 |
| 36/20 | 86/16 | 79/10 79/12 79/14 | 58/1 65/11 65/15 | sometime [3] |
| 102/13 103/6 | shield [1] 72/15 | 80/5 83/20 87/16 | 131/21 | /14 40/1 40/21 |
| 106/17 106/21 | shoot [1] 35/17 | 90/23 91/3 91/24 | sitting [4] 13/13 | sometimes [2] |
| 122/16 | short [7] 15/16 | 92/1 102/23 103/4 | 14/1 17/12 36/19 | 16 |
| $122 / 20$ | 17/22 31/23 117/9 | 103/21 103/22 | situation [1] 5/2 | whe |
| September 13 [1] | 117/15 140/16 | 104/3 104/4 104/11 | six [6] 7/21 14/6 | 32/19 85/19 87/14 |
| 28/22 | 148/22 | 104/19 105/1 | 35/15 38/12 40/21 | [ [27] 36/11 |
| September 15 | shortened [1] 65/1 | 107/17 108/23 | 72/24 | 41/9 50/ |
|  | shorthand [2] 73/1 | 111/15 125/1 125/2 | sixth [4] 27/10 | 53/13 55/19 56/22 |
|  | 15 | 126/3 126/25 | 27/11 27/15 27/20 | 62/13 63/15 65/14 |
| September 16 [1] | shortly [2] 15/13 | 127/12 127/15 | skimmed [1] 124/5 | 69/19 82/3 94/2 |
| September 2 [2] | 131/14 | 128/2 128/4 128/12 | skip [1] 102/12 | 106/20 108/5 108/8 |

(25) see... - sorry

| S | st |  | subsequently [1] | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sorry... [11] |  |  |  | tailored [1] 8/15 |
| 109/23 120/20 |  |  |  | Takaroa [2] 77/14 |
| 121/14 126/18 | stamped [1] 97/19 | stipulation [1] | substantially [2] | 77/16 |
| 129/25 130/6 130/7 | $\text { stand [10] } 33 / 21$ | $33 / 10$ | $38 / 1039 / 19$ | take [30] 9/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 131 / 7 \quad 133 / 8 \quad 136 / 16 \\ & 136 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | 124/23 125/7 144/2 | stop [1] 87/13 | substantiate [1] | 13 |
| $\begin{gathered} 136 / 24 \\ \text { sort [5] } \end{gathered}$ | 145/22 146/5 146/6 | stopped [2] 28/8 | 118/23 | 14/22 16/17 18/15 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { sort [5] 33/14 } \\ 117 / 19119 / 13 \end{array}$ | 146/10 147/3 | 150/1 | substitute [1] | 28/4 35/15 52/10 |
| 119/23 |  | story [1] 147/4 |  | 52/11 52/21 58/9 |
| sorts [2] 181 | $12 / 11 \quad 12 / 25 \quad 13 /$ | straight [2] 135/19 $137 / 17$ | success [1] 105/12 successful [3] | 74/8 95/8 104/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sound [2] } 27 / 5 \\ & 28 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | $114 / 25$ | strange [1] | successfulic 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 110 / 16112 / 17 \\ & 112 / 20117 / 2117 / 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| source [4] |  | Strategic [1] | successfully | 117/15 117/16 |
| 37/6 61/11 91/16 |  |  |  | 120/12 124/2 |
| sources [2] 90/10 | 144/14 146/24 | streams [1] 89/ | such [3] | 148/10 148/15 |
| 90w | $\begin{gathered} \text { start [9] } 4 / \\ 14 / 23 \text { 31/4 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | taken [2] 29/2 |
| SOUTH [1] 3/7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59/13 121/19 122/9 } \end{aligned}$ | strike [9] 50/7 |  | $135 / 23$ |
| southern [1] 21/2 <br> Southwest [1] | 126/7 143/22 | 50/9 54/4 55/1 | summ | taking [2] 13/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Southwest [1] } \\ & 103 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | started [2] 7/ | 69/19 113/6 | 55 | talk [11] 1 |
| Spa [1] 79/20 |  | 5/21 | summer [ | 98/11 104/15 |
| speak [3] 35/23 |  |  |  | /10 120/4 141 |
| 76/3 134/23 | 122/12 |  | Sunday [1] 149/13 Sunny [1] 35/10 | 146/23 146/24 |
| speaker [1] 76/13 | 122/12 starvin | structure [2] 55/11 $56 / 13$ | Sunny [1] 35/10 supervision [2] | 147/3 150/3 150/ |
| speaking [1] | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Starvin } \\ 108 / 15 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { supervis } \\ 60 / 1415 \end{gathered}$ | talked [11] 5/23 |
| 111/20 |  | stuck [1] 97/5 student [2] 24/8 | 60/14 | 9/12 20/5 20/18 |
| speaks [1] | $59 / 2460 / 5 \quad 122 / 17$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { stu } \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { supple } \\ 54 / 13 \end{array}$ | 3/17 69/15 81/14 |
| specific [2] 23/19 | 59/24 60/5 122/17 | $75$ | 54/13 | 90/7 112/21 115/8 |
| 33/15 |  |  |  | 142/11 |
| specifically | ste |  | $53$ | talking [18] 12/1 |
| 21/10 29/15 31/ |  | study [5] | sup | 21/17 37/17 40/2 |
| 31/11 31/15 71/15 | 56 |  | 108 | 47/24 50/4 50/4 |
| 72/1 | sta |  | suppor | 0/5 53/1 89/25 |
| speeding [3] | 62 | st | 53/8 109/9 137/7 | 5 |
| 104/11 104/17 | 97/10 97/ | 1 | supposedly | 96/24 111/8 116/2 |
| 104/21 | 98/4 112/ | 128/21 | 31/1 | 120/10 148/25 |
| spell [2] 66/25 |  |  |  | talks [1] 131/18 |
| 68/18 |  |  |  | task [1] 98/21 |
| spelled [2] 74/17 | station [1] 10 | $\mathbf{s t}$ |  | tax [1] 83/14 |
| 102/22 | stay [4] 78/25 | subagent [2] | 76/19 80/12 135/ | technically [1] 6/3 |
| spend [4] 87/ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stay [4] 78/25 } \\ & 79 / 1283 / 22103 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | 73/17 73/18 subagents [ | 76/19 80/12 135/18 $139 / 19 \text { 144/11 }$ | tedious [2] 97/8 |
| 119/24 132/24 | stayed [2] 78/8 | 39/12 | surfers [1] 79/21 | 123/11 |
| 133/3 | $102 / 24$ |  | surname [2] 63/24 | telephonic [1] |
| spending [2] $11 / 8$ | staying [2] 80/17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { subc } \\ 24 / 7 \end{gathered}$ | $73 / 4$ | 4/17 |
| 133/10 | $\underset{87 / 10}{\text { staying }[2] ~ 80 / 17}$ | subcontractors [1] | 73/4 | television [1] 37 |
| spent [13] 60/15 | STENOTYPE [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subcontractors [1] } \\ & 24 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | surro | tell [29] 16/5 |
| 77/24 78/2 83/9 | STENOTYPE [2] | 24/6 | 101/ | 29/15 36/12 37/19 |
| 105/5 105/15 |  |  | su | 40/8 51/9 65/23 |
| 105/23 109/11 | step [3] 125/3 <br> 128/15 129/5 | 33/14 34/11 133/25 | 104/3 | 68/25 74/14 79/4 |
| 119/8 120/9 132/4 | 128/15 129/5 | submis | sustain | 81/17 82/6 83/17 |
| 132/8 132/11 | Stephanie [1] |  | 33/23 43/15 46/8 | 81/8 89/6 91/1 95/5 |
| splits [1] 47/ |  | submittal [1] | 57/4 61/5 | 6/1 97/2 97/ |
| splitting [1] | stick [1] 96/2 |  | 127/6 | 105/4 |
| spreadsheet [4] | St17 [15] 7/1 81 | Subpoenaed | sus | 1 120/13 |
| 67/8 67/10 92/4 | 17/16 31/25 | 1 | 47 | 128/19 |
| 100/24 | 43/18 52/15 62/ | SUBSCRIBED | ear [1] | 139/2 145/6 149/17 |
| sprung [1] 34/8 | 72/23 81/13 86/5 | 152/13 | sworn [1] 19/23 | telling [1] 91/15 |

(26) sorry... - telling

| T | 14/10 14/21 14/22 | 45/11 45/12 48/7 | 71/4 74/25 75/25 | 78/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14/25 15/1 15/16 | 71/15 72/12 74/8 | 76/1 76/2 77/12 | 78/14 81/12 83/23 |
| $148 / 14$ | 16/18 16/22 18/13 | 78/15 80/4 80/5 | 77/21 80/1 81/15 | 84/6 86/1 90/13 |
| 148/14 | 19/3 19/5 19/5 | 85/24 94/22 98/17 | 82/8 82/10 83/9 | 90/15 95/1 97/19 |
| ten [5] 14/25 50/16 | 19/10 20/23 21/15 | 98/20 98/22 99/2 | 83/14 84/9 84/13 | 109/15 111/21 |
|  | 21/23 23/5 23/8 | 104/20 104/20 | 85/19 86/7 86/20 | 112/15 116/6 116/7 |
|  | 24/15 26/24 27/7 | 105/4 107/14 | 87/18 88/5 88/7 | 116/9 116/25 123/2 |
|  | 30/9 31/8 33/24 | 111/17 112/15 | 88/13 88/14 88/25 | 123/17 123/21 |
| 116/22 | 39/9 42/9 42/17 | 112/16 117/1 118/9 | 89/5 90/6 90/10 | 124/14 124/17 |
|  | 42/23 43/5 45/8 | 125/5 125/19 | 92/11 94/21 94/24 | 124/20 125/16 |
| 98/19 | 45/12 47/20 60/1 | 128/15 132/9 | 94/25 96/20 98/20 | 134/24 144/18 |
| Teresa [2] 63 | 61/3 63/11 66/12 | 132/12 132/24 | 102/13 102/18 | 150/19 |
| 63/23 | 66/20 70/10 75/23 | 133/15 134/11 | 103/7 103/7 103/18 | they [96] 13/15 |
| term [2] | 76/23 77/1 77/22 | 135/24 | 104/1 104/6 104/13 | 13/17 13/20 13/23 |
|  | 78/23 79/8 81/20 | themselves [3] | 104/14 106/18 | 16/5 16/5 18/15 |
| 1 | 82/15 82/20 83/4 | 48/16 73/23 74/2 | 107/2 107/7 108/18 | 18/16 18/17 23/9 |
| ] | 86/7 89/15 92/13 | then [72] 5/18 8/8 | 109/3 109/24 110/9 | 26/6 26/12 26/20 |
| testified [5] 1 | 92/21 93/20 94/2 | 8/16 12/2 16/10 | 110/22 110/22 | 31/15 35/5 35/9 |
| 23/23 29/12 | 94/9 98/17 100/2 | 18/24 24/5 25/12 | 111/24 112/3 | 35/15 35/18 35/20 |
| 91/22 | 100/21 101/10 | 27/9 27/10 35/9 | 114/14 118/19 | 36/1 36/2 37/3 37/4 |
| testify [6] | 101/18 103/8 | 35/19 37/6 42/22 | 119/23 120/11 | 37/6 39/3 39/12 |
| 22/21 30/20 32/2 | 103/19 103/25 | 45/18 49/4 57/7 | 120/20 120/21 | 40/8 40/9 46/16 |
| 63/3 134/25 | 105/3 106/19 | 58/20 58/24 59/2 | 120/22 121/13 | 46/21 47/9 47/12 |
| testifying [5] 6/21 | 108/22 109/13 | 59/6 59/8 64/3 64/9 | 121/22 122/6 | 48/22 49/2 49/6 |
| 42/1 62/10 62/16 | 109/25 111/12 | 64/16 64/16 65/1 | 122/11 122/22 | 49/24 58/4 60/22 |
| 63/1 | 116/1 116/14 | 66/1 68/16 68/20 | 123/17 125/14 | 65/1 65/8 65/9 |
|  | 116/17 117/2 | 68/20 69/4 69/23 | 127/20 131/7 131 | 71/22 72/1 72/4 |
| 8/17 8/20 13/16 | 117/12 117/23 | 74/3 74/6 74/10 | 131/15 134/10 | 72/10 72/17 72/17 |
| 18/4 19/15 23/20 | 122/3 123/10 | 75/1 76/10 76/25 | 135/7 135/11 136/3 | 73/20 73/20 73/21 |
| 25/12 25/23 26/16 | 123/24 127/1 | 77/12 77/13 77/20 | 136/11 136/16 | 73/23 74/2 74/3 |
| 61/1 124/22 125/4 | 129/11 131/12 | 79/20 79/21 80/15 | 137/24 141/15 | 74/6 74/23 75/18 |
| 137/18 143/4 | 132/12 132/22 | 84/10 85/9 85/18 | 143/13 147/4 | 89/18 90/13 90/23 |
| 144/17 144/22 | 134/7 134/22 | 91/22 92/20 95/10 | 148/18 | 91/1 91/4 102/23 |
| 145/22 145/25 | 137/23 138/3 139/3 | 96/10 97/1 98/1 | there's [49] 9/1 | 03/11 105/3 105/7 |
| Texas [1] 81/21 | 139/13 140/19 | 98/4 103/6 105/14 | 15/9 16/14 16/15 | 105/10 105/13 |
| than [26] $7 / 19$ | 141/8 142/4 142/10 | 105/22 107/1 107/8 | 16/15 17/15 17/16 | 105/17 105/21 |
| 7/19 15/1 15/23 | 146/6 146/16 | 107/19 111/24 | 19/1 19/8 21/21 | 105/23 105/25 |
| 16/20 19/4 40/16 | 146/22 147/5 147/8 | 119/7 122/22 125/2 | 29/6 31/17 32/7 | 108/15 111/16 |
| 61/11 70/25 74/18 | 147/14 147/16 | 138/23 141/1 | 33/2 33/10 34/2 | 113/23 116/19 |
| 79/12 90/20 90/21 | 147/21 148/1 148/4 | 141/11 141/15 | 34/16 46/25 61/2 | 118/23 121/19 |
| 97/8 100/12 100/23 | 148/13 148/24 | 142/1 144/15 147/2 | 61/10 62/8 66/2 | 121/21 124/17 |
| 101/14 101/23 | 149/16 150/18 | there [134] 5/19 | 67/21 74/10 74/11 | 127/16 127/16 |
| 102/5 103/13 | their [28] 8/13 | 7/16 7/23 7/24 8/3 | 74/16 75/2 76/21 | 128/4 128/9 128/16 |
| 120/15 121/23 | 13/20 16/10 18/20 | 9/4 9/6 9/6 9/17 | 76/22 80/16 85/5 | 128/16 128/17 |
| 132/9 132/17 | 18/23 20/22 26/3 | 10/8 12/13 15/25 | 85/13 86/16 92/17 | 129/5 129/5 131/9 |
| 132/25 149/12 | 32/9 35/9 39/13 | 17/3 17/6 17/17 | 97/23 97/24 103/8 | 131/9 132/8 132/11 |
| Thank [10] 6/11 | 49/6 64/22 64/24 | 18/3 19/9 20/8 22/1 | 107/23 120/11 | 133/2 135/25 138/9 |
| 6/14 16/24 54/2 | 65/7 72/15 72/20 | 22/2 22/13 25/5 | 123/1 124/14 | 141/17 |
| 63/17 67/3 98/24 | 74/7 79/15 80/3 | 26/16 27/21 28/2 | 124/16 136/13 | they'd [2] 39/2 |
| 101/5 110/19 | 105/8 118/23 120/3 | 28/6 28/7 28/10 | 136/19 138/5 | 40/24 |
| 143/18 | 128/6 129/6 132/19 | 34/22 36/10 37/6 | 140/25 143/1 | they'll [2] 146/16 |
| Thanksgiving | 134/16 139/24 | 38/11 38/21 44/18 | 148/16 149/25 | 146/17 |
| 14/16 | 140/1 | 44/23 50/18 50/24 | THEREAFTER [1] | ey're [17] 13/17 |
| that [718] | them [46] 7/19 | 54/13 58/11 59/8 | 152/7 | 30/21 46/7 46/21 |
| that I'm [1] | 16/1 26/2 26/18 | 59/15 59/16 59/24 | these [40] 11/16 | 46/22 59/20 64/21 |
| that's [114] | 34/17 35/16 35/17 | 60/25 62/12 62/25 | 12/23 16/20 30/17 | 66/21 72/3 91/9 |
| 6/23 9/23 10/16 | $35 / 1936 / 1638 / 10$ | 64/20 66/1 66/11 | 31/1 31/14 48/1 | 97/23 108/15 |
| 11/11 11/12 11/20 | 38/13 39/3 39/7 | 66/16 67/19 68/17 | 65/12 65/16 66/19 | 116/10 118/10 |

(27) tells - they're

| T |  |  |  | 68/20 69/1 69/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thorough [1] | ticket [3] 104/12 | 145/2 145/14 146/6 | 78/2 78/3 78/3 95/3 |
| 121/20 132/15 | 46/10 | 104/17 104/21 | 146/14 147/18 | 96/2 96/6 96/12 |
| 146/3 | those [63] 7/7 | tickets [2] 104/1 | 150/11 150/24 | 96/16 107/4 107 |
| thing [12] 6/11 | 7/21 8/1 8/2 12/18 | 107/5 | tonight [1] 99/5 | 107/11 110/15 |
| 11/25 16/5 103/10 | 14/5 14/19 14/21 | tie [1] 96/8 | too [12] 10/16 | traveling [2] 87/ |
| 123/3 127/3 135/15 | 15/10 17/18 18/13 | time [62] 6/9 9/13 | 11/9 11/10 14/16 | 107/14 |
| 143/25 145/19 | $18 / 25$ $20 / 19$ <br> $28 / 12$ $32 / 5$ | 9/15 9/18 11/1 11/8 | 15/12 21/21 46/25 | trial [21] 4/20 5/15 |
| 146/22 147/19 | 28/12 32/5 33/4 | 15/1 15/3 16/16 | 83/11 95/20 115/2 | 10 6/17 14/15 |
| 148/8 | 34/10 34/19 34/19 | 17/21 25/8 26/16 | 124/21 150/20 | 14/18 15/16 15/20 |
| things [21] $7 / 7$ | 34/19 34/20 34/25 | 35/7 36/11 38/21 | took [7] 29/25 | 19/7 21/24 22/15 |
| 11/16 12/18 14/19 | 42/19 45/6 45/17 | 39/20 40/14 40/19 | 35/13 74/3 102/21 | 23/5 23/8 118/1 |
| 15/7 15/10 17/2 | 46/10 46/14 46/17 | 46/11 52/9 62/1 | 102/23 103/3 152/5 | 148/22 149/1 149/5 |
| 18/5 18/13 18/22 | 57/11 59/16 64/4 | 71/2 74/3 75/24 | top [12] 49/7 | 149/6 149/6 149/7 |
| 19/13 42/19 46/17 | 64/10 64/18 65/4 | 76/24 79/17 79/18 | 49/22 50/2 58/24 | 149/17 |
| 47/11 57/11 97/16 | 67/24 69/7 74/14 | 83/9 84/5 84/17 | 68/17 78/6 81/15 | trials [1] 11/5 |
| 109/3 123/16 128/4 | 74/15 75/16 81/16 | 85/14 90/8 90/14 | 92/6 99/15 99/24 | tried [9] 10/19 |
| 146/9 150/2 | 81/17 83/17 88/22 | 94/16 95/18 101/1 | 122/13 150/1 | 12/5 36/2 72/2 74/8 |
| think [77] 7/6 9/3 | 92/6 94/20 96/15 | 102/7 104/14 | tops [2] 13/8 13/ | 80/11 91/4 91/13 |
| 10/6 11/7 12/6 12/7 | 98/25 99/1 102/15 | 107/21 107/21 | total [4] 58/15 | 128/5 |
| 12/8 14/10 15/2 | 103/14 107/3 | 114/12 117/16 | 59/8 94/25 109/14 | trip [6] 70/4 80/8 |
| 15/7 15/14 16/4 | 108/10 110/16 | 119/5 119/8 119/24 | totaling [1] 57/17 | 80/10 80/18 80/20 |
| 16/13 16/14 17/10 | 112/8 120/12 | 120/10 122/1 125/6 | tough [3] 15/3 | 80/23 |
| 17/12 19/14 21/2 | 123/12 125/4 | 127/21 134/3 134/4 | 16/11 95/18 | trips [4] 84/6 |
| 21/6 21/20 22/1 | 126/10 141/15 | 135/13 140/17 | tour [1] 79/14 | 94/17 94/24 106/25 |
| 22/6 27/23 29/12 | 142/1 143/19 147/5 | 143/21 144/21 | towards [2] 11/22 | trouble [1] 95/20 |
| 33/1 33/25 35/1 | though [1] 98/16 | 145/14 146/12 | 43/9 | true [7] 12/20 45/8 |
| 35/7 35/22 36/19 | thought [5] 17/6 | 146/13 146/21 | tracers [1] 132/1 | 6/4 58/14 98/17 |
| 43/6 43/18 44/25 | 110/21 118/8 | 147/7 149/11 152/7 | Traci [1] $4 / 12$ | /6/1 152/10 |
| 48/1 61/1 61/10 | 127/16 148/13 | times [5] 36/17 | tra | 10/23 |
| 64/6 65/1 75/10 | thoughts [3] 17/2 | 103/13 112/1 132/7 | tracked [1] 55/25 | truncate [1] 8/22 |
| 76/18 77/9 80/19 | 44/16 | 145/16 | trade | TRUS [1] 68/20 |
| 81/20 84/13 86/6 | thousand [1] | TIMOTHY [1] 1/18 | 34/24 | trust [2] 134/11 |
| 86/6 86/7 87/12 |  | t | traditionall | 136/14 |
| 88/13 95/16 96/11 | thousands [3] | titled [2] 77/1 | 14/14 18/1 | truthful [1] 129/10 |
| 97/3 98/7 98/12 | 30/2 31/17 86/1 |  | training [2] 102/24 | thfully [1] |
| 98/15 99/21 106/7 | th |  |  |  |
| 107/25 114/20 | 31/16 45/9 64/15 | 18/11 20/21 25/12 | 58/15 86/1 89/24 | /13 14/25 15/3 |
| $114 / 20120 / 21$ $124 / 16124 / 23$ | 98/13 106/17 | 25/23 29/16 36/19 | transactions [5] | 21/12 32/10 54/5 |
| $23$ | 145/21 | 37/19 37/25 38/20 | 56/1 81/13 89/22 | 125/24 129/1 129/2 |
| $\text { 125/19 126/8 } 1$ | three degrees [1] | 39/25 41/8 41/10 | 90/4 90/11 | 145/15 147/20 |
| 145/7 145/15 | 31/16 | 41/20 42/1 46/12 | TRANSCRIBED [1] | 149/4 150/18 |
| 145/18 145/20 | three-quarters [1] | 47/23 58/1 65/11 | 152/8 | trying [8] 10/6 |
| 146/11 146/11 | 106/17 | 65/15 120/10 | TRANSCRIPT [2] | /4 36/5 72/3 |
| 146/18 147/20 | thrilled [1] 35/19 | 131/21 145/25 | 1/15 152/10 | 72/14 77/9 128/14 |
| 148/20 | through [20] | today's [1] 117/5 | transfer [2] 73/3 | 140/17 |
| thinking [12] 9/25 | 29/20 34/12 35/2 | together [3] 64/1 | 75/11 | turn [22] 63/10 |
| 10/10 11/16 13/3 | 36/24 48/7 49/18 | 96/9 124/18 | translate [1] 74 | 66/10 66/11 68/16 |
| 13/14 14/1 18/10 | 56/1 59/7 68/14 | told [18] 25/20 | translation [3] | 92/11 93/7 93/19 |
| 18/14 33/6 33/22 | 71/1 72/21 75/19 | 25/24 26/20 28/2 | 66/8 66/20 77/7 | 100/17 101/6 |
| 114/25 123/19 | 80/3 81/12 91/23 | 37/13 38/13 39/6 | translations [4] | 101/17 102/1 |
| third [5] 9/7 26/2 | 98/6 107/19 116/3 | 39/21 40/19 40/21 | 66/5 66/7 66/17 | 103/17 107/6 |
| 66/16 92/5 107/7 | 131/18 135/15 | 41/19 72/10 73/20 | 107/24 | 107/22 108/21 |
| third-party [2] 9/7 | throughout [3] | 89/23 91/7 119/9 | anslator [1] 76/4 | 126/7 127/11 |
| 26/2 | 69/25 90/14 137/24 | 133/20 139/19 | transportation [1] | 129/23 129/25 |
| thirds [2] 65/25 | throw [1] 17/3 <br> thrust [1] 19/3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tomorrow [12] } \\ & 6 / 1299 / 6 ~ 143 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 92/7 <br> travel [16] 63/12 | $\begin{aligned} & 130 / 25 \quad 133 / 17 \\ & 136 / 9 \end{aligned}$ |

(28) they're... - turn

| T | 4/22 5/20 6/8 7/1 | UPS [1] 139/6 | ] $84 / 2$ | 37/9 51/12 100/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Twelve [1] 28/4 | $7 / 249 / 1110 / 7$ | Upwork [4] 77/ <br> 77/3 77/4 77/9 | 108/23 | 2/ |
| two [35] 6/9 12/15 | 13/6 13/13 14/17 | Upwork/Elance [1] | 11/15 12/6 13/23 |  |
| 13/6 13/7 13/8 14/6 | 15/9 18/2 18/25 | Upwork/Elance [1] | $\begin{array}{lll}15 / 3 & 15 / 15 & 15 / 16\end{array}$ | to |
| 14/23 15/13 18/16 | 20/9 21/20 22/16 | us [37] 8/9 24/11 | 18/20 22/7 24/10 | 84/12 84/17 |
| 18/21 31/15 39/9 | 22/23 25/22 27/8 | 26/14 59/7 59/17 | $\begin{array}{ll}18 / 24 & 26 / 5 \\ 30 / 2 & 31 / 1\end{array}$ | wasn't [4] 10/13 |
| 39/11 65/25 67/19 | 27/24 28/13 33/3 | 64/1 72/4 72/10 | 33/2 33/2 35/3 37/1 | 73/12 125/14 139/1 |
|  | 47/5 47/6 48/19 | 72/18 72/19 73/20 | 47/4 48/23 49/6 | Watermark [1] |
| 98/2 103 | 50/18 52/14 54/11 | 74/1 74/23 75/15 | 59/9 70/18 71/22 | 79/19 |
| 120/12 12 | 62/18 66/19 69/24 | 75/17 79/9 79/9 | 72/11 72/14 76/9 | way [26] $6 / 188 / 19$ |
| 121/13 124/22 | 72/16 75/8 101/3 | 80/23 90/2 91/21 | 76/13 76/13 77/8 | /2 18/2 22/16 |
| 140/25 142/20 | 132/6 | 97/10 99/1 102/24 | 80/16 81/15 97/23 | 33/6 35/8 35/10 |
| 144/2 145/1 145/24 | understanding | 105/1 105/10 | 98/24 143/18 | 43/14 43/15 45/4 |
| 146/15 146/18 | 5/15 27/4 34/9 | 105/25 106/24 | 147/15 148/15 | 47/22 65/25 71/22 |
| two-thirds [2] | 57/21 5 | 107/5 107/1 | vetted [1] 34/ | 92/16 9 |
| 65/25 92/16 | understood [1] | 111/16 112/8 | via [2] 2/15 4/ | 107/7 |
| type [2] 9/2 | 49/18 | 112/24 119/9 | VICE [1] 2/12 | 116/23 132/8 |
|  | unfair [3] | 126/14 128/17 | video [4] 67/17 | 136/15 137/11 |
| 18/13 33/4 | 46/11 146/ | 142/6 146/ | 67/24 68/10 68/ | 140/7 140/8 15 |
| 42/19 | unfortunately [1] | USA [1] 64/25 | view [1] 16/9 | ways [5] 47/12 |
| TYPEWRITIN | 37/5 | USC [1] 24/9 | Village [6] 82/ | 7/14 47/25 48/22 |
|  | United [1] 69/2 | USCIS [7] 25/25 | 83/1 83/6 83/8 | 82/10 |
| ty | University [2] | 1/6 41/ | 83/13 85/10 | [248] |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { yp } \\ 107 \end{gathered}$ | 76/10 76/12 | 41/17 41/22 64/1 | visit [3] 83/7 93/3 | we'd [4] 26/1 |
| typo [1] 141/4 | unless [1] 1 | use [6] |  | /25 122/1 1 |
| U |  | 131/22 132/ | 82/2 |  |
|  | unmute | 133/12 | 103/21 | 19/14 23/12 34/1 |
|  | until [6] 8/2 14/23 | used [7] | voir [1] 10/ | 52/11 59/13 64/10 |
| U.S. Customs [3] | 37/24 69/23 134/4 | 46/16 77/10 85/8 | Volume [7] 28/1 | 96/22 98/19 98/23 |
| 106/18 106/22 | 146/20 | 131/10 135/24 | 52/20 123/14 130/1 | 112/7 117/24 129/4 |
| 106/25 | up [41] 6/24 8/2 | 139/12 | 130/2 130/3 130/6 | 141/1 |
| Ukraine [2] 68/21 | 9/20 10/6 12/17 | USSR [1] 68/2 | Volume I [4] 28/17 | 're [44] |
|  | 14/23 16/8 17/2$21 / 4 \text { 21/16 21/24 }$ | Utah [3] 87/7 | 130/2 130/3 130/6 | /23 8/6 10/6 12/14 |
|  |  | 87/11 87/ | Volume II [2] | 16/23 19/14 22/17 |
| ultimate [1] 147/22 ultimately [1] 57/9 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 / 421 / 1621 / 24 \\ & 23 / 823 / 1223 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | V | 52/20 130/1 | 9/4 45/19 47/19 |
|  |  | vacation [1] | Volume III [1] | 47/22 48/4 48/6 |
| 92/23 99/14 136/7 | 61/17 64/7 65/1 | vacation [1] | 123/14 | /24 81/17 |
|  | 65/22 73/15 73/16 | va | W |  |
| Um-Hmmm [1] | 84/5 85/9 97/8 | 43/24 44] | W-E-I-L-L-I-N [1] | 102/13 108/7 |
| Um-hum [4] 12/6 | 109/16 128/15 | valid [1] | 75/21 | 110/13 110/16 |
| 82/12 92/23 136/7 | 131/8 140/14 | value [4] 21/16 | wait [2] 136/18 | 112/18 116/2 |
| under [21] $7 / 1$ | 144/22 145/ | 57/3 57/4 137/17 | 136/18 | 24/21 125/8 |
| 7/24 8/20 21/2 21/9 | 146/12 146/25 | varied [1] 107/2 | want [32] 9/8 9/9 | 128/20 128/21 |
| 21/12 24/4 52/15 | 147/1 147/17 | various [8] 56/25 | 9/21 11/11 11/13 | 32/13 140/17 |
| 63/11 80/3 81/8 | 148/23 149/1 | 89/13 91/21 91/21 | 13/18 13/21 14/11 | 41/13 143/3 145/5 |
|  | up-front [1] | 113/19 113/2 | 18/22 18/24 19/7 | 149/15 |
| 109/24 113/16 | upcoming [1] 69/5 | 118/25 126/9 | 19/8 31/14 48/3 | 149/17 149/23 |
| 117/25 130/12 | update [6] 38/17 | VEGAS [14] 1/12 | 62/15 97/12 99/1 | 50/3 150/14 |
|  | 128/11 129/7 129/9 | 3/9 4/1 27/11 27/13 | 99/16 110/12 112/6 | 150/17 |
| 136/23 152/9 | 29/12 130/9 | 37/12 51/8 51/13 | 9 115/1 | [10] 20/16 |
|  | updated [2] 54/6 | 79/8 83/19 84/16 | 0/6 120/10 | 8/6 72/23 96/24 |
| underlying [2] | 121/25 | 5 104/7 | 139/2 | 10/5 134/24 |
| undermine [1] | updates |  | 25 143/8 | 144/17 144/18 |
| $30 / 23$ | upon [1] 33/9 | venture [1] 70/2 |  | 145/16 149/24 |
| understand [38] | upper [1] 37/2 | verify [1] 77/10 version [1] 80/3 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 147/21 150/8 } \\ \text { wanted [5] } 25 / 18 \end{array}$ | wealthy [5] 29/21 |


| W | 53/8 | 101/14 102/5 103/3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 53/8 58/6 60/15 | 104/10 104/12 | 140/4 147/ | wish [1] 116/2 |
| $72 / 1172 / 1472 / 19$ | 60/22 65/9 65/12 | 104/19 107/14 | who [33] 17/11 | withdraw [3] |
| $2 / 15$ | 71/1 71/18 71/21 | 107/18 114/21 | 29/21 30/13 30/13 | 110/21 117/21 |
| website [7] ${ }^{\text {142/9 142/16 }}$ l | 71/22 72/2 72/4 | 139/17 140/6 140/7 | $34 / 1535 / 435 / 5$ | 118/2 |
| 142/19 142/24 | 72/8 72/10 72/10 | 140/9 142/15 143/1 | 36/15 60/16 63/22 | withdrawal [1] |
| 143/5 143/9 | 72/14 73/6 73/21 | 143/4 145/12 | 67/7 68/1 68/5 68/8 | 77/21 |
| Wednesday [8] | 76/1 76/2 77/9 80/2 | 148 | 68/8 68/10 68/11 | withdrawals |
| 7/22 8/2 8/9 8/11 | 81/12 81/13 82/8 | whenever [1] | 70/18 71/1 72/8 | 108/10 |
| 42/7 42/13 43/20 | 83/20 85/13 87/14 | 146/25 | 72/14 72/18 76/2 | withdraw |
| 116/15 | 87/19 89/18 89/20 | where [43] 6/19 | 76/9 79/10 80/2 | 10/15 114/7 115 |
| week [15] $8 / 98 / 1$ | 89/23 90/10 90/13 | 6/21 6/23 9/22 | 82/7 90/4 94/23 | 115/22 118/3 |
| 14/23 15/20 15/21 | 90/14 90/18 90/23 | 10/17 10/22 11/1 | 95/1 102/22 124/17 | within [7] 12/5 |
| 15/24 18/16 42/8 | 91/1 91/8 91/17 | 14/5 15/7 16/15 | 145/8 | 22/24 23/9 24/12 |
| 99/6 120/5 149/6 | 91/20 92/4 93/11 | 16/22 18/3 19/5 | Who's [2] 66/23 | 31/15 51/20 116/14 |
| 149/6 149/7 149/12 | 93/13 93/14 94/17 | 20/7 20/7 26/4 | 76/8 | without [8] 34/22 |
| 149/17 | 100/14 100/15 | 26/17 33/9 63/13 | whole [3] 9/16 | 36/16 37/23 96/17 |
| weeks [6] 12/15 | 100/24 101/2 | 70/20 77/4 78/20 | 18/9 21/16 | 103/1 126/15 |
| 14/7 16/5 17/10 | 101/15 101/24 | 79/11 81/17 82/13 | whose [5] 72/ | 137/15 145/24 |
| 17/13 149/1 | 102/5 102/8 102/18 | 83/4 89/11 89/24 | 78/10 85/3 85/6 | witness [9] 30/12 |
| weighing [1] | 103/21 104/20 | 93/11 99/20 102/8 | 85/11 | 32/22 34/15 124/17 |
| 33/24 | 105/14 105/22 | 102/23 106/20 | why [18] | 125/20 127/1 135/1 |
| Weillin [2] 7 | 105/24 110/25 | 110/25 114/14 | 21/12 21/18 66/19 | 146/21 152/13 |
| 76/3 | 113/23 115/11 | 117/14 120/18 | 71/21 75/12 84/1 | witness's [1] 44/3 |
| well [37] 6/3 7/23 | 116/19 120/20 | 124/17 127/12 | 89/16 102/18 103/8 | witnesses [5] 9/7 |
| 9/16 32/17 35/3 | 120/22 121/13 | 129/25 130/8 145/7 | 108/11 108/14 | 9/7 18/8 144/11 |
| 35/6 36/8 37/2 39/1 | 124/18 125/16 | 147/7 | 109/7 116/17 | 146/20 |
| 43/18 62/16 63/23 | 127/17 128/14 | WHEREOF [1] | 121/24 127/12 | woman [1] 35/11 |
| 64/20 67/22 69/9 | 128/16 128/17 | 152/13 | 127/16 132/12 | won't [5] 22/12 |
| 70/16 73/14 78/1 | 131/7 131/1 | whether [18] | wide [2] 150/ | /5 85/18 108/3 |
| 80/10 84/16 85/13 | 131/23 145/24 | 22/20 29/9 32/19 | 150/17 | 150/17 |
| 89/6 109/8 110/5 | 148/14 151/1 152/8 | 37/20 37/25 41/22 | wife [6] 21/25 22/2 | wondered [1] |
| 115/5 115/21 | weren't [3] 37/6 | 45/23 48/12 54/8 | 22/4 74/22 84/6 | 123/3 |
| 134/17 135/12 | 114/22 127/16 |  |  | wonderful [2] |
| 136/5 138/17 140/6 | Westin [2] 80/15 | 85/8 126/4 126/13 | WIGWAM [1] $2 / 5$ | 12/18 46/17 |
| 142/23 144/9 145/4 | 80/17 | 137/21 138/ | w | ondering [2] |
| 145/6 148/14 149/2 | WF [1] 98/3 | 143/10 | 15/12 18/12 33/20 | 110/3 120/17 |
| well-connected [1] | what [162] | which [47] 28/17 | 42/20 95/19 97/6 | wording [1] |
| 35/3 | what's [16] 42/24 | 28/24 31/14 32/16 | 98/20 100/17 101/6 | 132/14 |
| well-estab | 60/3 69/18 70/13 | 34/15 36/4 37/9 | 12 | ords [1] 142/2 |
| [1] 35/6 | 73/8 77/15 86/19 | 43/9 45/1 45/1 | 129/12 142/24 | work [17] 11/22 |
| Wells [2] 85/ | 94/2 103/8 104/8 | 45/24 52/20 54/6 | 143/15 145/14 | 14/18 42/10 42/14 |
| 98/3 | 111/14 126/13 | 56/8 61/1 63/15 | WILLIAMS [24] | 42/18 43/1 43/3 |
| went [10] | 130/7 139/11 | 70/15 70/17 74/3 | 1/18 70/8 70/15 | 44/23 45/1 45/18 |
| 45/17 75/9 75/12 | 139/18 144/6 | 74/23 75/11 77/17 | 70/18 70/22 71/14 | 46/1 58/2 68/15 |
| 75/17 75/18 80/19 | whatever [5] 36/6 | 79/4 94/18 96/23 | 112/22 113/3 113/5 | 71/13 119/8 128/14 |
| 80/22 84/6 106/10 | 45/2 73/10 99/3 | 98/3 102/21 108/6 | 113/7 113/11 | 136/4 |
| were [115] $7 / 14$ | 150/9 | 110/15 111/6 112/5 | 113/13 113/17 | worked [4] 29/25 |
| 12/4 12/13 15/18 | when [46] 5/4 | 114/23 118/18 | 113/22 116/11 | 35/5 70/1 119/5 |
| 17/9 17/10 17/12 | 7/14 7/15 7/20 | 119/3 121/1 121/3 | 116/12 118/17 | working [10] 43/9 |
| 20/11 23/4 24/9 | 12/22 19/6 22/24 | 121/4 121/11 | 118/22 119/1 | 73/21 89/17 89/20 |
| 26/2 28/2 28/16 | 26/23 29/25 38/21 | 122/18 126/7 | 119/14 119/19 | 90/18 91/8 93/11 |
| 29/22 32/12 35/18 | 39/20 40/1 40/8 | 126/20 127/17 | 119/20 119/24 | 93/13 128/21 129/4 |
| 36/5 37/3 37/5 37/7 | 40/19 45/10 45/19 | 133/17 136/24 | 120/2 | workings [1] 43/7 |
| 39/21 40/1 40/2 | 56/21 66/7 70/1 | 137/20 138/24 | willing [1] 39/3 | ks [1] 30/14 |
| 40/23 42/25 44/18 | 70/24 73/12 84/6 | 139/7 | wind [1] 144/22 | world [5] 26/3 |
| 46/5 46/16 48/16 | 86/21 91/15 93/13 | while [8] 17/19 | wire [2] 73/3 75/1 | /24 72/11 119/4 |
| 49/6 49/7 49/20 | 93/13 94/17 99/12 | 39/17 87/10 103/21 | wise [2] 119/14 | 119/17 |

(30) wealthy... - world

FRONT SIGNT MANAGEMENT LLC v.
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC

| W | 140/14 | 87/25 88/4 88/12 | 17/11 19/20 20/14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| world's [1] 119/4 | wraps [1] 146/25 | 90/2 90/5 90/10 | 20/22 23/3 25/12 |  |
| worms [1] 21/17 | written [7] $8 / 18$ | 90/12 92/9 92/24 | 25/23 27/17 27/24 |  |
| worried [1] 150/5 | 25/5 28/1 33/15 | 92/25 94/7 94/12 | 29/6 29/8 31/21 |  |
| worry [3] 14/16 | 42/21 42/21 49/5 | 95/23 97/1 97/20 | 32/20 33/24 36/18 |  |
| 15/5 123/20 | wrong [1] 63/23 | 97/22 99/4 99/25 | 36/20 38/5 39/11 |  |
| worse [1] 10/18 | wrote [1] 124/25 <br> WT [1] 75/11 | 101/12 106/9 | $39 / 20$ 39/24 41/21 $42 / 944 / 16$ 44/20 |  |
| worst [2] 10/18 | WT [1] 75/11 | 106/10 107/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 42 / 944 / 1644 / 20 \\ & 44 / 2445 / 1646 / 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 11/18 | X | 111/13 111/23 | 46/10 47/16 49/1 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { worst-case [2] } \\ & 10 / 1811 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | X-I-N-H-E-Y | 112/2 112/11 | 50/15 50/21 52/22 |  |
| would [110] 5/18 | [1] 63/21 | 112/13 113/1 | 54/2 55/5 55/10 |  |
| 5/25 6/16 8/23 8/23 | Xinhe [1] 63/24 | 116/19 120/22 | 57/15 57/21 57/25 |  |
| 9/8 9/17 10/23 | XVI [1] 1/3 | 122/14 122/21 | 58/5 58/13 58/18 |  |
| 11/17 11/19 12/1 | Y | 122/23 123/9 | 59/20 60/22 61/16 |  |
| 12/24 14/6 15/7 | Y-A-N-X-I-N-H-U- | 124/10 128/1 129/3 | 65/19 65/21 66/10 |  |
| 15/18 15/20 18/8 | $\text { A [1] } 74 / 17$ | 129/20 137/1 | 66/23 67/13 69/14 |  |
| 18/12 19/17 22/13 | yeah [27] $8 / 18 / 10$ | 140/10 142/10 150/12 | 80/8 81/6 83/7 84/ 85/23 86/2 87/14 |  |
| 24/3 24/5 24/6 | 10/5 10/21 11/23 | yet [8] 9/18 14/13 | 87/23 88/1 88/5 |  |
| 24/10 25/9 27/10 | 13/9 14/8 17/14 | 17/17 31/17 34/7 | 88/6 88/10 88/25 |  |
| $27 / 1128 / 6 ~ 28 / 7$ $28 / 1430 / 7$ 30/12 | 17/23 21/8 21/15 | 43/10 98/8 98/12 | 89/19 89/24 91/12 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28 / 1430 / 730 / 12 \\ & 30 / 1731 / 333 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | 23/1 23/7 61/12 | Ying [2] 102/21 | 98/10 104/8 105/13 |  |
| $34 / 2440 / 540 / 25$ | 61/13 89/3 99/9 | 104/10 | 105/21 105/24 |  |
| 41/21 43/2 44/9 | 115/2 115/4 | you [556] | 107/18 110/14 |  |
| 45/5 46/13 52/19 | 130/4 138/3 | you'd [4] 62/4 | 114/2 117/10 |  |
| 55/13 55/13 57/1 | 150/7 | 78/15 82/9 129/7 | 117/16 117/21 |  |
| 60/21 61/15 62/2 | year [10] 10/19 | you'll [23] 53/14 | 123/11 123/16 |  |
| 62/25 63/6 65/4 | year $12 / 514 / 2115 / 2$ | 53/15 58/9 63/10 <br> 66/10 92/10 93/19 | $124 / 11124 / 13$ |  |
| 65/20 66/5 66/20 | 16/2 23/4 38/18 | 96/19 101/17 102/1 | $128 / 11128 / 19$ |  |
| $71 / 973 / 1475 / 10$ $75 / 1877 / 678 / 10$ | 55/15 65/10 73/25 | 105/7 107/6 107/22 | 129/13 130/9 |  |
| $75 / 1877 / 678 / 10$ $78 / 1979 / 179 / 1$ | years [4] 29/19 | 120/8 120/14 126/7 | 130/13 130/19 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 78 / 1979 / 179 / 1 \\ & 79 / 579 / 1479 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | 60/9 83/8 142/20 | 126/17 127/11 | 131/21 132/14 |  |
| 82/6 82/17 83/18 | yes [119] 5/3 5/6 | 130/25 133/17 | 134/23 134/23 |  |
| 83/24 83/25 84/1 | 6/13 6/22 7/9 9/16 | 134/18 136/9 | 135/20 135/22 |  |
| 85/4 91/21 92/3 | 10/9 12/19 17/8 | 150/11 | 137/10 139/10 |  |
| 93/1 93/10 94/5 | 19/12 19/20 20/10 24/16 24/20 | you're [29] 5/5 | 140/14 140/17 |  |
| 94/18 95/2 100/25 | 24/16 24/20 26/22 $27 / 7$ 27/19 28/15 | 5/15 7/1 19/9 19/18 | 140/23 142/16 |  |
| 102/16 103/1 103/9 | 29/2 30/6 34/13 | 33/24 36/19 52/14 | 142/23 143/19 |  |
| 104/3 108/17 | 34/13 37/18 44/6 | 62/11 63/1 79/4 $80 / 1281 / 885 / 17$ | $143 / 23146 / 23$ $147 / 10$ $149 / 19$ |  |
| 111/16 114/11 | $44 / 13 \text { 44/17 44/21 }$ | 80/12 81/8 85/17 87/2 88/8 88/19 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 147 / 10149 / 19 \\ \text { yours [1] } 26 / 13 \end{array}$ |  |
| 114/24 115/24 | 50/12 50/20 50/25 | 90/3 91/15 109/15 | yourself [2] 41/23 |  |
| $116 / 5119 / 24120 / 4$ $123 / 4124 / 16$ | 52/4 52/11 52/24 | 111/8 112/12 115/2 | 127/19 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 123 / 4124 / 16 \\ & 124 / 18124 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 53/12 53/17 53/20 | $120 / 14129 / 16$ | Z |  |
| 129/19 131/5 133/9 | $54 / 1555 / 455 / 9$ $55 / 2356 / 556 / 10$ | 132/14 132/14 |  |  |
| 142/16 144/4 144/7 | $57 / 1458 / 1959 / 1$ | 134/7 140/4 | Z-H-O-U [1] 35/12 <br> Z-I-T-O [1] 67/2 |  |
| 144/15 144/19 | 59/5 59/11 59/18 | you've [8] 12/17 $52 / 21$ 71/25 85/22 | $\text { zero [1] } 126 / 18$ |  |
| 144/23 144/25 | 59/22 60/2 60/18 | $109 / 13131 / 1 \quad 132 / 6$ | Zhou [3] 35/11 |  |
| 146/1 | 60/20 62/6 63/14 | 139/19 | 35/22 36/24 |  |
| wouldn't [6] 11/14 $15 / 5 \text { 84/21 110/10 }$ | 65/18 66/9 66/14 | young [1] 76/9 | Zito [1] 66/24 |  |
| $123 / 20 \text { 143/6 }$ | 67/9 67/23 68/4 | your [124] 4/7 |  |  |
| wow [2] 10/2 | 68/24 69/9 69/13 | 4/10 5/9 5/11 5/17 |  |  |
| 128/18 | 72/7 74/19 75/24 | 5/23 6/10 6/14 6/16 |  |  |
| wrap [1] 147/1 wrapping [1] | 81/6 81/9 81/19 | $7 / 1511 / 815 / 12$ |  |  |
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LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; TUESDAY, JULY 23, 2019

10:51 A..M.

P R O C E E D I N G S

*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         * 

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.

IN UNISON: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. $\quad$ don't think we need court call again.

THE COURT CLERK: She scheduled it.

THE COURT: Did she schedule it again?

MR. GREER: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll call her.

MR. GREER: It will make her feel better.

THE COURT: If IId have known that -- I
thought we took care of it yesterday. But...
MR. ALDRICH: Actually, I think your Honor
said something at the end. It certainly led me to believe that she was going to appear again, and $I$ think it probably made her believe she just needed to jump on the phone --

THE COURT: Okay. I don't want her to --

MR. ALDRICH: Either way.
THE COURT: If I'd had known she was on the line, $I$ would have - so now she's been sitting on the
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| 10:52:57 | 1 | THE COURT: Okay. You too. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | MS. HOLBERT: Thank you. Bye-bye. |
|  | 3 | THE COURT: $\quad$ wish I was in Hawaii. |
|  | 4 | MR. ALDRICH: She's in trial, though. She's |
| 10:53:09 | 5 | not in Hawaii. |
|  | 6 | THE COURT: I know. I'd stay an extra three |
|  | 7 | or four days if I was there, I know that, or more. |
|  | 8 | But -- all right. We will continue on; right? |
|  | 9 | MR. ALDRICH: Yes, your Honor. |
| 10:53:25 | 10 | THE COURT: Okay. |
|  | 11 | MR. ALDRICH: Did you want us to place |
|  | 12 | appearances? |
|  | 13 | THE COURT: Yes, thank you. |
|  | 14 | MR. ALDRICH: Okay. John Aldrich on behalf of |
| 10:53:29 | 15 | the plaintiff. |
|  | 16 | MR. GREER: Good morning, your Honor. Keith |
|  | 17 | Greer on behalf of the defendant LVD Fund, here with |
|  | 18 | Robert Dziubla. |
|  | 19 | THE COURT: All right. So -- |
| 10:53:39 | 20 | MR. ALDRICH: So the next witness we intend to |
|  | 21 | call is Ms. Stanwood, and it's my understanding that |
|  | 22 | she was subpoenaed to be here, but we agreed to do that |
|  | 23 | by video. Defendants asked if we would make that |
|  | 24 | concession, and we did. |
| 10:53:56 | 25 | MR. GREER: Yes. |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR |
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| 10:55:46 | 1 | the record for this? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | THE COURT: No, we don't. |
|  | 3 | (Off-the-record discussion.) |
|  | 4 | THE COURT: We need to put this on the record? |
| 10:56:04 | 5 | MR. GREER: This is on the record, please. We |
|  | 6 | have -- I've been advised that Mr. Piazza is not |
|  | 7 | available today. He's ill; right? |
|  | 8 | MR. ALDRICH: Yeah. I had received a text |
|  | 9 | message over the weekend with his flight plans. I |
| 10:56:19 | 10 | think it would have put him here about 11:00 o'clock. |
|  | 11 | I received a text this morning at 5:43 that indicated |
|  | 12 | that he's ill today, not able to travel, and gave me |
|  | 13 | his availability, because we had talked about that |
|  | 14 | yesterday |
| 10:56:38 | 15 | MR. GREER: And he's not -- he's not available |
|  | 16 | until after August 22. |
|  | 17 | THE COURT: Okay. |
|  | 18 | MR. ALDRICH: That's what he told me in the |
|  | 19 | text. |
| 10:56:45 | 20 | MR. GREER: So with this new set of facts, we |
|  | 21 | have no rush to get through our process this morning. |
|  | 22 | So we can have the video testing, we get the link, |
|  | 23 | we'll get our two witnesses tested and get that on |
|  | 24 | track. Estimated testimony time is not long, I think. |
| 10:57:02 | 25 | MR. ALDRICH: For Ms. Stanwood, definitely not |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR |


have to do. He's going to take care of this for you from a technical perspective, and when youlre ready, just call me.
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\begin{gathered}
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\end{gathered}
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THE COURT: All right.
MR. GREER: I guess some housekeeping just to take care of first. This is on the record, yeah.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GREER: In light of scheduling, Mr. Fleming was available this morning. We provided reasons we weren't going to do that. We're going to stipulate to pushing his testimony off to the next time we get together, and then today welll just handle Ms. Fleming -- or excuse me, Ms. Stanwood --

THE COURT: And that's fine.

MR. GREER: -- on the video.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. GREER: We do have some other housekeeping to take care of. Do you want to do it after the witness or before -- it's moving the dates. THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GREER: Right now, the motions to dismiss need to be consolidated.

THE COURT: What we'll do, we'll do that after

| 11:51:44 | 1 | the testimony. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | MR. GREER: Okay. |
|  | 3 | THE COURT: We'll put it at a time that's |
|  | 4 | convenient for everyone. |
| 11:51:48 | 5 | MR. GREER: Thank you, your Honor. |
|  | 6 | THE COURT: You ready, Chris? |
|  | 7 | THE COURT CLERK: For the oath, your Honor, |
|  | 8 | yes. |
|  | 9 | THE COURT: We don't see it. |
| 11:52:03 | 10 | THE COURT CLERK: Ms. Stanwood? |
|  | 11 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
|  | 12 | THE COURT CLERK: There she is. |
|  | 13 | THE MARSHAL: CJ -- |
|  | 14 | THE COURT: Do you see her on the computer? |
| 11:52:13 | 15 | MR. ALDRICH: NO. |
|  | 16 | THE COURT CLERK: Ms. Stanwood -- |
|  | 17 | Ms. Stanwood, would you read something for us? |
|  | 18 | THE WITNESS: I can hear you. What would you |
|  | 19 | like me to read? |
| 11:52:26 | 20 | THE COURT CLERK: Can you read something from |
|  | 21 | a printed page, just anything. It's just to show that |
|  | 22 | the camera will maintain its focus on you. |
|  | 23 | MR. GREER: $501(3)(c)$ nonprofit arm -- |
|  | 24 | THE COURT CLERK: Is that good? |
| 11:52:42 | 25 | THE COURT: That's fine. |
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| $11: 52: 44$ | 1 | THE WITNESS: IS that enough? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | MR. GREER: We still don't see her on our |
|  | 3 | screens. |
|  | 4 | THE COURT CLERK: Ms. Stanwood, can you read |
| 11:52:56 | 5 | that document you were reading again. |
|  | 6 | THE WITNESS: Sure. Organization: Rescuing |
|  | 7 | homeless dogs and healing homeless veterans. 100 |
|  | 8 | percent veteran owned and operated. |
|  | 9 | MR. ALDRICH: So the next question I have is |
| 11:54:29 | 10 | where is the best place for me to stand? Do I need to |
|  | 11 | stay here? Should I be at the podium? Will she be |
|  | 12 | able to see me if I'm at the podium? |
|  | 13 | THE COURT: That, I don't know. |
|  | 14 | MR. GREER: All she sees is that little corner |
| 11:54:40 | 15 | there. |
|  | 16 | MR. ALDRICH: Right. I'm afraid if I go up |
|  | 17 | here, then she can't see me. I don't think she can. |
|  | 18 | So I'll stay back -- |
|  | 19 | THE WITNESS: I can see you there. |
| 11:54:48 | 20 | MR. ALDRICH: Oh, you can? |
|  | 21 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
|  | 22 | MR. ALDRICH: When I'm up at the podium? |
|  | 23 | THE WITNESS: Just further away. $\quad$ can see |
|  | 24 | you there; you just appear to be further away. |
| 11:54:58 | 25 | THE COURT CLERK: It's zoomed in at your desk. |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR |

[^0]| 11:55:00 | 1 2 | MR. ALDRICH: Okay. I'll stay here. <br> THE MARSHAL: So it picks up from wherever the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | microphone is that is closest to you. When you're |
|  | 4 | standing at the podium, I believe it picks up at the |
| 11:55:10 | 5 | far wall. |
|  | 6 | MR. ALDRICH: So-- |
|  | 7 | THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Let's go ahead and |
|  | 8 | get her sworn in. |
|  | 9 | THE COURT CLERK: I believe, because of the |
| 11:55:35 | 10 | remote nature of this, you'd agree -- |
|  | 11 | MR. GREER: We stipulate to the oath being |
|  | 12 | taken here in the courtroom. |
|  | 13 | MR. ALDRICH: Correct. |
|  | 14 | THE COURT: All right. |
| 11:55:45 | 15 | THE COURT CLERK: Okay, Ms. Stanwood, if you |
|  | 16 | would, please raise your right hand while I administer |
|  | 17 | an oath to you. Thank you. |
|  | 18 | Whereupon, |
|  | 19 | LINDA STANWOOD, |
| 11:55:50 | 20 | having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, |
|  | 21 | the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined |
|  | 22 | and testified as follows: |
|  | 23 | THE COURT CLERK: Thank you. Would you state |
|  | 24 | your full name, spelling your first and last name for |
| 11:56:04 | 25 | the record, please. |
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| 11:56:06 | 1 | THE WITNESS: Linda K. Stanwood. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | L-I-N-D-A. S-T-A-N-W-O-O-D. |
|  | 3 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
|  | 4 | BY MR. ALDRICH: |
| 11:56:21 | 5 | Q. Hello, Ms. Stanwood. My name is John Aldrich. |
|  | 6 | I represent Front Sight, and we appreciate you being |
|  | 7 | available today. |
|  | 8 | Would you please tell us what your educational |
|  | 9 | background is. |
| 11:56:39 | 10 | A. I have a bachelor's degree in literature and |
|  | 11 | philosophy. |
|  | 12 | I have a juris doctor degree. |
|  | 13 | Q. And where did you receive your bachelor's |
|  | 14 | degree? |
| 11:56:59 | 15 | A. Rosary College in River Forest, Illinois. I |
|  | 16 | believe it's currently known as Dominican University. |
|  | 17 | Q. And where did you receive your law degree? |
|  | 18 | A. Northwestern. |
|  | 19 | Q. And are you currently employed? |
| 11:57:21 | 20 | A. No. |
|  | 21 | Q. When is the last time you were employed? |
|  | 22 | A. 2010 . |
|  | 23 | Q. And how were you employed in 2010? |
|  | 24 | A. I was an attorney at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw |
| 11:57:35 | 25 | Pittman. |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR |



| 11:59:41 |  | MR. ALDRICH: We lost audio. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | MR. GREER: Can you hear us, Linda? |
|  | 3 | THE WITNESS: People that are applying for the |
|  | 4 | green cards have to meet the normal -- |
| 12:00:09 | 5 | MR. ALDRICH: Ma'am, I'm sorry. Ms. Stanwood, |
|  | 6 | we lost you, and we all could see you, you were |
|  | 7 | talking, and we couldn't hear you. So I'll ask you to |
|  | 8 | back up, if you would. You were -- I think we lost you |
|  | 9 | about the time you were saying that it's in distressed |
| 12:00:29 | 10 | areas and about job creation. |
|  | 11 | THE WITNESS: All right. In order -- it |
|  | 12 | allows people from foreign countries to get green |
|  | 13 | cards, provided that a certain number of jobs are |
|  | 14 | created to meet the EB5 requirements in these |
| 12:00:47 | 15 | distressed areas. |
|  | 16 | The people involved have to meet the normal |
|  | 17 | immigration requirements. In other words, they can't |
|  | 18 | be criminals. They can't be otherwise excludable. |
|  | 19 | But assuming they meet these requirements and |
| 12:01:04 | 20 | the project meets the requirements -- and i'm being |
|  | 21 | very general here, obviously -- then it allows people |
|  | 22 | to receive green cards in return for an investment in |
|  | 23 | the projects. There's a lot more to it, but that's a |
|  | 24 | very general overview. |
|  | 25 | $\backslash \backslash \backslash$ |
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| 12:01:20 | 1 | BY MR. ALDRICH: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | Q. And it's my understanding that you're |
|  | 3 | currently senior vice president for -- strike that. |
|  | 4 | Ask it differently. |
| 12:01:31 | 5 | Are you currently senior vice president for |
|  | 6 | any entities? |
|  | 7 | A. Yes. |
|  | 8 | Q. Okay. What entities are you senior vice |
|  | 9 | president for? |
| 12:01:45 | 10 | A. Honestly, I would have to look up the names. |
|  | 11 | There is several entities involved in my husband's |
|  | 12 | business. I 'm senior vice president of one of them. |
|  | 13 | Q. Okay. Are you senior vice president for Las |
|  | 14 | Vegas Development Fund? |
| 12:02:03 | 15 | A. That sounds correct; although, like I said, to |
|  | 16 | be entirely sure, I'd have to look it up. |
|  | 17 | Q. Okay. Just so that you know, Las Vegas |
|  | 18 | Development Fund is the lender in this litigation. |
|  | 19 | Does that help you any? |
| 12:02:17 | 20 | A. Yes. |
|  | 21 | Q. Okay. Does that make you believe that you're |
|  | 22 | senior vice president for Las Vegas Development Fund? |
|  | 23 | A. Yes. |
|  | 24 | Q. Another one of the defendant entities in this |
| 12:02:29 | 25 | matter is EB5 Impact Capital Regional Center, LLC. Are |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR |

12:02:36

12:03:37

12:05:1725
you senior vice president of that entity?
A. I don't believe so, but I'm not sure. All of this was for the purposes of estate planning, and I haven't looked at any of this in quite some time.
Q. All right. And then there's another entity that is called EB5 Impact Advisors, LLC, which has been dissolved. Were you senior vice president of that entity?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. And it's my understanding that you are married to Robert Dziubla; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And do you know John Fleming?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And how do you know John Fleming?
A. I've met him on several social occasions.
Q. Do you personally have any knowledge of Mr. Fleming's experience in EB5 lending or raising of money?
A. Not personally.
Q. And do you currently work with Mr. Fleming in any capacity?
A. No.
Q. All right. There was some testimony yesterday when $I$ was asking Mr. Dziubla questions about how EB5

| 12:05:24 | 1 | Impact Capital Regional Center, which we refer to as |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | the regional center, was capitalized. Do you have any |
|  | 3 | knowledge of how that regional center was capitalized? |
|  | 4 | A. No. |
| 12:05:43 | 5 | Q. And do you have any knowledge whether |
|  | 6 | Mr. Dziubla capitalized that with his own funds? |
|  | 7 | A. I don't have any knowledge about that. |
|  | 8 | Q. Have you had any involvement whatsoever with |
|  | 9 | EB5 Impact Advisors, which is the marketing entity |
| 12:06:20 |  | that's a defendant in this case? |
|  | 11 | A. No. |
|  | 12 | Q. Okay. Did you engage in any marketing of the |
|  | 13 | Front Sight project on behalf of any of the defendant |
|  | 14 | entities in this case? |
| 12:06:35 | 15 | A. No. |
|  | 16 | Q. Have you ever received any form of |
|  | 17 | compensation from Las Vegas Development Fund? |
|  | 18 | A. No. |
|  | 19 | Q. Have you ever received any form of |
| 12:07:14 | 20 | compensation from EB5 Impact Advisors, which is the |
|  | 21 | marketing entity? |
|  | 22 | A. No. |
|  | 23 | Q. And have you ever received any compensation |
|  | 24 | from the regional center? |
| 12:07:30 | 25 | A. No. |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR |
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12:07:40
12:08:155
12:09:3025
Q. All right. \(W e{ }^{\prime} r e\) going to show you an exhibit. On the screen, \(I\) think you'll probably lose seeing us, but we're going to go to Exhibit 1, which is an email. So let me know if you can see that.
Ms. Stanwood, can you see that email?
A. Parts of it, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. I can see the whole thing -- what appears to be the whole thing.
Q. Okay. That's great. All right. This is an email that's already been admitted as an exhibit. I want to give you a chance to just read over that and familiarize yourself with it. And let me know when you've been able to do that, please.
A. IIve read it.
Q. Do you remember being copied on this email
back in May of 2018?
A. I don't particularly remember it, no.
Q. Okay. Do you see on the copy line that it appears your email address is there?
A. Yes. I see that.
Q. Okay. Is that an email address that you used?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. If \(I\) could draw your attention to the last full paragraph right above "thanks." And it
``` Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{12:09:35} & 1 & starts out "in the meantime." Do you see that? \\
\hline & 2 & A. I do. \\
\hline & 3 & Q. Okay. And it says, "In the meantime, I am \\
\hline & 4 & pleased to say that Linda Stanwood (included on this \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:09:49} & 5 & email) has joined our company as senior vice \\
\hline & 6 & president." \\
\hline & 7 & Did I read that correctly? \\
\hline & 8 & A. Yes. \\
\hline & 9 & Q. Okay. When did you join the company as senior \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:10:05} & 10 & vice president? \\
\hline & 11 & A. It was sometime around May of 2018. \\
\hline & 12 & Q. All right. And then it goes on and says, \(\mathrm{II}^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline & 13 & have copied her on this email. Linda has been working \\
\hline & 14 & informally with us for several years and is quite \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:10:39} & 15 & familiar with the EB5 business." \\
\hline & 16 & Did I read that correctly? \\
\hline & 17 & A. Yes. \\
\hline & 18 & Q. Okay. What does "working informally with us" \\
\hline & 19 & mean to you? \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:11:01} & 20 & A. I assume that who wrote the email was speaking \\
\hline & 21 & Of the fact that we had had -- he and I had had \\
\hline & 22 & discussions about his EB5 business on a very informal \\
\hline & 23 & basis over the years. \\
\hline & 24 & Q. And what work had you performed over the \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12:11:29} & 25 & course of several years? \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
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\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 12:11:37 & 1 & A. I had informal discussions with Bob about the \\
\hline & 2 & EB5 projects he was working on. \\
\hline & 3 & Q. Anything else besides that? \\
\hline & 4 & A. It's possible, although I don't have specific \\
\hline 12:12:01 & 5 & recollections of any specific documents, that he may \\
\hline & 6 & have asked me to read over a document and give himmy \\
\hline & 7 & thoughts on questions he had about the document. He \\
\hline & 8 & occasionally does that. As I said, I don't recall any \\
\hline & 9 & specific documents, but it's possible we -- 1 had done \\
\hline \(12: 12: 26\) & 10 & some of that with his EB5 business. \\
\hline & 11 & Q. Okay. Okay. And then moving to the next \\
\hline & 12 & sentence in that email, "She has been working with us \\
\hline & 13 & on a formal and full-time basis since January 1 after \\
\hline & 14 & John's decision to go pursue other business \\
\hline 12:12:52 & 15 & opportunities." Did \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ( read that correctly? \\
\hline & 16 & A. Yes. \\
\hline & 17 & Q. All right. What -- well, strike that. \\
\hline & 18 & Is there some sort of documentation or \\
\hline & 19 & something that shows that you began working formally \\
\hline 12:13:05 & 20 & for the company as of January 1, 2018? \\
\hline & 21 & A. I don't know. \\
\hline & 22 & Q. And then it says full-time. How many hours a \\
\hline & 23 & week were you working in the first five months of 2018 \\
\hline & 24 & on behalf of the company? \\
\hline 12:13:33 & 25 & A. I don't know. I was not asked to keep track \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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of hours.
Q. Can you give me your best estimate of how many hours a week you were working?

MR. GREER: Which time period?
MR. ALDRICH: From January 1st of 2018 to the date of this email.

MR. GREER: Prior to this email? Okay.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. Did you do any work on behalf of the company in that time frame?
A. As \(I\) said, \(I\) had informal discussions with my husband, Bob, about things that he was doing at his EB5 business.

And it is possible on occasion that he may have asked me to review some documents that he had drafted or some documents that he had received. He did occasionally ask me to do that. \(I\) don't recall any specific document.
Q. Okay. Was there any work that you did formally on behalf of the company besides this informal discussion and possible review of documents?
A. I'm not sure what you mean by "formal." MR. GREER: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. MR. ALDRICH: A little late.
```
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{12:15:05} & 1 & THE COURT: You can go ahead and explain what \\
\hline & 2 & you mean by nformal." \\
\hline & 3 & MR. ALDRICH: Sure. \\
\hline & 4 & BY MR. ALDRICH: \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:15:10} & 5 & Q. Did you attend any meetings on behalf of the \\
\hline & 6 & company? \\
\hline & 7 & A. No. \\
\hline & 8 & Q. Did you participate in any conference calls on \\
\hline & 9 & behalf of the company? \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:15:23} & 10 & A. I don't think so. \\
\hline & 11 & Q. Did you draft any emails on behalf of the \\
\hline & 12 & company? \\
\hline & 13 & A. Again, I don't think so. \\
\hline & 14 & Q. Did you take any trips on behalf of the \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:15:41} & & company? \\
\hline & 16 & A. No. \\
\hline & 17 & Q. So in the time frame from January 1 to May 12 \\
\hline & 18 & Of 2018, did you do anything besides have informal \\
\hline & 19 & discussions and possibly informally review some \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:15:58} & 20 & documents? \\
\hline & 21 & A. Not that I recall. \\
\hline & 22 & Q. This email references that you've become the \\
\hline & 23 & senior vice president of the company. Do you know \\
\hline & 24 & which company you were senior vice president of? \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12:16:26} & 25 & A. Again, I'm not sure I would get it right if I \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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gave you the name.
Q. Going back to the email where it says, "Linda has been working informally with us for several years," do you know who "us" is referred there?
A. I assume that it's the companies that my husband uses to do his EB5 business.
Q. All right. And then continuing in that sentence, it mentions that you are quite familiar with the EB5 business. How did you gain familiarity with EB5 business?
A. Through these informal discussions with my husband and through possibly looking at some of the documentation that he was using in the business.
Q. Are you aware that a notice of default has been filed on behalf of Las Vegas Development Fund for the Front Sight project?
A. Yes. I'm aware of that.
Q. Did you have any role in the decision to file the notice of default?
A. No.
Q. And as the senior vice president of the lender and maybe the regional center, do you have a plan for what happens as the company moves forward?

MR. GREER: Vague and ambiguous.
THE COURT: Anything you want to add to that,
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(12: 19: 36\)} & 1 & Mr. Aldrich? \\
\hline & 2 & MR. ALDRICH: NO. \\
\hline & 3 & THE COURT: I'll sustain. \\
\hline & 4 & BY MR. ALDRICH: \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:19:46} & 5 & Q. As the senior vice president of Las Vegas \\
\hline & 6 & Development Fund and possibly the regional center, do \\
\hline & 7 & you have a strategy for how the company will proceed in \\
\hline & 8 & the event it's successful in foreclosing? \\
\hline & 9 & A. No. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:21:00} & 10 & Q. Ms. Stanwood, can you still hear me? \\
\hline & 11 & A. I can. \\
\hline & 12 & Q. Okay. We can't see you, but I'll just keep \\
\hline & 13 & asking questions. I'm going to go back to Exhibit 1A. \\
\hline & 14 & All right. Actually, let's go ahead and -- \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{12:21:20} & 15 & now that we did all that, let's go to Exhibit 20 . \\
\hline & 16 & Ms. Stanwood, can you see this document? \\
\hline & 17 & A. Part of it, yes. \\
\hline & 18 & Q. All right. So let me know if you have any \\
\hline & 19 & difficulty seeing it. What I will ask -- so my \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\(12: 21: 54\)} & 20 & assistant Traci is moving it around, so just let us \\
\hline & 21 & know if -- if you're not sure what I'm asking or want \\
\hline & 22 & to see something on it. \\
\hline & 23 & What I would like to do -- this is an -- it's \\
\hline & 24 & been admitted already. It's a letter from Las Vegas \\
\hline 12:22:09 & 25 & Development Fund to Front Sight. You're copied on it. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 12:22:14 & 1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
We'll show that to you real quick. \\
The bottom of the fifth page of the document.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 3 & Can you see that you're copied there? \\
\hline & 4 & A. Yes. \\
\hline \(12: 22: 31\) & 5 & Q. Okay. And so Traci can move back to the top \\
\hline & 6 & and let you take a look at the first page. I want to \\
\hline & 7 & give you a chance to look at however much of this you \\
\hline & 8 & want before I ask you a couple of questions about it. \\
\hline & 9 & A. All right. \\
\hline 12:22:45 & 10 & Q. Can you see that? \\
\hline & 11 & A. Yes. \\
\hline & 12 & Q. Okay. Because we can't actually even see what \\
\hline & 13 & you can see, so let me know if there's a problem. If \\
\hline & 14 & you would -- feel free to read however much of that you \\
\hline 12:22:58 & 15 & want, and I'll give you a heads-up on what my question \\
\hline & 16 & is. \\
\hline & 17 & My question is, do you remember seeing this \\
\hline & 18 & document? There's two questions. And did you have any \\
\hline & 19 & involvement in its preparation? \\
\hline 12:23:17 & 20 & A. All right. I've looked at the first page. \\
\hline & 21 & Q. Okay. Can you move to the second page. \\
\hline & 22 & A. I've looked at the first half of the second \\
\hline & 23 & page. \\
\hline & 24 & I've looked at the second half. \\
\hline 12:24:28 & 25 & Q. Okay. Let me - I'm going to ask you a \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 12:26:10 & 1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
look at that. \\
A. Okay. I've looked at the top half of the
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 3 & page. \\
\hline & 4 & All right. I've looked at the bottom half of \\
\hline 12:27:20 & 5 & the page. \\
\hline & 6 & Q. All right. So with regard to what was on that \\
\hline & 7 & page, do you have any personal knowledge regarding what \\
\hline & 8 & is asserted there? \\
\hline & 9 & A. No. \\
\hline 12:27:28 & 10 & Q. Okay. \\
\hline & 11 & A. Okay. I've looked at the top half of the \\
\hline & 12 & page. \\
\hline & 13 & I've looked at the bottom half of the page. \\
\hline & 14 & Q. All right. With regard to what's alleged on \\
\hline 12:29:11 & 15 & that page, do you have any personal knowledge? \\
\hline & 16 & A. No. But \(I\) do want to say I do know that there \\
\hline & 17 & was a loan agreement because I have seen a copy of the \\
\hline & 18 & loan agreement at some point in time. Other than that, \\
\hline & 19 & I don't have any knowledge of what has been -- I don't \\
\hline 12:29:31 & 20 & have any personal knowledge of the things that are \\
\hline & 21 & stated in this letter so far. \\
\hline & 22 & Q. Okay. \\
\hline & 23 & A. And by "personal knowledge, " I mean I don't \\
\hline & 24 & have any knowledge except what comes from things that \\
\hline 12:29:45 & 25 & other people may have said to me. \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

12:32:01 25
Q. Okay.
A. All right. I've seen the top half of the page.

And I've seen the bottom half of the page.
Q. All right. With regard to that page, do you have any personal knowledge of what's alleged there?
A. Only that the address for Las Vegas Development Fund was the actual address. And, again, I do know there was a loan agreement, and I've seen a copy of it. Other than that, none -- no personal knowledge.
Q. Okay. Thank you for that. Okay. So we'll look real quick at -- there's another exhibit, Exhibit 22, which is another notice of default. So it is seven pages, and you are copied. I'll make that representation to you.

Traci, if you'll just move up enough so she can see the beginning of it there. Yeah.

And we may be able to short-circuit this. Do you believe that you have any personal knowledge related to what's in this notice of default?
A. I haven't reviewed the notice of default. I doubt that \(I\) have any personal knowledge of anything, but \(I\) haven't reviewed it, so \(I\) don't know whether something is said in there which \(I\) would have personal
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 12:32:03 & 1 & knowledge. \(\quad\) would be very surprised if that were the \\
\hline & 2 & case. \\
\hline & 3 & Q. Okay. \\
\hline & 4 & A. Again, other than the fact that I've seen the \\
\hline 12:32:09 & 5 & loan agreement and some of the other loan documents \\
\hline & 6 & personally. \\
\hline & 7 & Q. Okay. Would that be true of any other notice \\
\hline & 8 & Of default that would have been sent? You wouldn't \\
\hline & 9 & expect to know anything from personal knowledge? \\
\hline 12:32:24 & 10 & A. That would be correct. From my personal \\
\hline & 11 & knowledge. I have talked to people about it, but that \\
\hline & 12 & is all, as far as I can recall, hearsay. \\
\hline & 13 & Q. Okay. All right. With regard to any investor \\
\hline & 14 & agents for the investors, have you had any \\
\hline 12:32:46 & 15 & communications with any investor agents for the \\
\hline & 16 & immigrant investors related to the Front sight project? \\
\hline & 17 & A. No. \\
\hline & 18 & Q. Do you even know who the investor agents are? \\
\hline & 19 & A. I might recognize some names if you recited \\
\hline 12:33:11 & 20 & them, but \(I\) could not, from my own personal knowledge, \\
\hline & 21 & give you names, no. \\
\hline & 22 & Q. All right. And do you know Professor Sean \\
\hline & 23 & Flynn? \\
\hline & 24 & A. I do. \\
\hline \(12: 33: 34\) & 25 & Q. How do you know him? \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 12:33:38 & 1 & A. Sean flynn and I have been personal friends \\
\hline & 2 & for a number of years. \\
\hline & 3 & Q. Do you have any business associations with \\
\hline & 4 & Sean Flynn? \\
\hline 12:33:51 & 5 & A. No. \\
\hline & 6 & Q. Are you aware that his company is a part owner \\
\hline & 7 & of the regional center? \\
\hline & 8 & A. I believe that might be correct. \\
\hline & 9 & Q. And does Sean Flynn have any involvement in \\
\hline 12:34:18 & 10 & the day-to-day operations of the regional center? \\
\hline & 11 & A. I don't know anything about that from my \\
\hline & 12 & personal knowledge. \\
\hline & 13 & Q. All right. And I understand that you were an \\
\hline & 14 & attorney. Is your law license still active? \\
\hline 12:34:47 & 15 & A. My law license is currently inactive. \\
\hline & 16 & Q. And do you have any other licenses? \\
\hline & 17 & A. No. \\
\hline & 18 & Q. All right. With regard to this litigation, \\
\hline & 19 & have you, Linda Stanwood, done any work as an attorney \\
\hline 12:35:24 & 20 & on behalf of any of the defendant entities? \\
\hline & 21 & A. No. \\
\hline & 22 & Q. With regard to the defendant that we're \\
\hline & 23 & calling EB5 IA, which is the marketing entity, do you \\
\hline & 24 & have any knowledge about the recordkeeping of that \\
\hline 12:36:09 & 25 & entity? \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
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\hline 12:38:43 & 1 & MR. ALDRICH: And, Ms. Stanwood, I'm sorry, I \\
\hline & 2 & need just a moment, please. \\
\hline & 3 & THE WITNESS: Sure. \\
\hline & 4 & MR. ALDRICH: I believe that those are all the \\
\hline 12:39:40 & 5 & questions that I have. Ms. Stanwood, I appreciate your \\
\hline & 6 & time. \\
\hline & 7 & THE COURT: Thank you, sir. \\
\hline & 8 & MR. GREER: No question s. \\
\hline & 9 & THE COURT: Mr. Greer. \\
\hline 12:39:48 & 10 & MR. GREER: No questions, your Honor. \\
\hline & 11 & THE COURT: All right. Okay. Ma'am, thank \\
\hline & 12 & you. You are excused. \\
\hline & 13 & MR. GREER: Thank you, Ms. Stanwood. \\
\hline & 14 & THE WITNESS: Thank you. \\
\hline 12:39:56 & 15 & MR. ALDRICH: Again, thanks for your time. \\
\hline & 16 & THE COURT: All right. So we can go to \\
\hline & 17 & scheduling issues. \\
\hline & 18 & MR. ALDRICH: Yes. \\
\hline & 19 & MR. GREER: One is an easy one, your Honor. \\
\hline 12: \(40: 13\) & 20 & And that is we currently have motions to -- plaintiffis \\
\hline & 21 & motion to dismiss defendant's counterclaims set for \\
\hline & 22 & August 7, and then two are set for August 9. \\
\hline & 23 & 80 percent the same issues between the two sets. \\
\hline & 24 & We'd like to move all of the motions to \\
\hline 12: \(40: 35\) & 25 & dismiss to be heard on August 7 rather than coming back \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 12: 40 : 38 & 1 & in two days, same -- back same issues. And if we could \\
\hline & 2 & have a specific hearing time set for -- what was the \\
\hline & 3 & term, Mr. Aldrich? Specific setting of 10:30? Do you \\
\hline & 4 & recall? \\
\hline 12:40:53 & 5 & MR. ALDRICH: Yeah, so we've actually -- I \\
\hline & 6 & think we have the motion that I just filed -- or that I \\
\hline & 7 & filed for the blocked account that we can file the \\
\hline & 8 & opposition to. \\
\hline & 9 & MR. GREER: Right. We want to include that. \\
\hline 12:41:24 & 10 & THE COURT: Do you want to make it at 10:30? \\
\hline & 11 & (A discussion was held off the record.) \\
\hline & 12 & THE COURT: 10:30 on the 20th? \\
\hline & 13 & MR. GREER: Outstanding. \\
\hline & 14 & MR. ALDRICH: 10:30. \\
\hline 12:42:21 & 15 & THE COURT: For the record, let's just make \\
\hline & 16 & sure we understand where we're moving, so the court \\
\hline & 17 & clerk can correctly move it. \\
\hline & 18 & MR. GREER: That will be the Front Sight's \\
\hline & 19 & motion to dismiss defendant's cross-claim currently set \\
\hline 12:42:33 & 20 & for August 7 th, will be moved to the 20 th. Two -- \\
\hline & 21 & Ignatius Piazza's motion to dismiss defendant's \\
\hline & 22 & cross-claim and Mrs. Jennifer Piazza and the two \\
\hline & 23 & trusts' motion to dismiss defense cross-claim currently \\
\hline & 24 & set for August 9 th would be moved to August 20 th. And \\
\hline 12:43:01 & 25 & then lastly -- yeah, lastly, on August 14, currently \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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again?

MR. GREER: September 5 .
THE COURT: That's good for 10:30 on for the rest of the day.

MR. GREER: Let's do that for our testimony. See if we can squeeze it in.

MR. ALDRICH: September 5 .

MR. GREER: Okay.

THE COURT: That will be the continuation of the evidentiary hearing.

Are there any other scheduling issues?
MR. GREER: Not with us.

THE COURT: My next question: What about a trial date, just in case? What about a trial date, just in case?

MR. ALDRICH: Well, \(I\) know that -- I looked at the joint case conference report at the dates. I have them here on a very handy stick note somewhere. I know that the dates that we agreed to were -- discovery cutoff in June. \(I\) know the Court is wanting it to go faster than that. November is just - I mean, we're not even getting to the rest of the evidentiary hearing until September.

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. ALDRICH: SO --

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 12:48:05 & 1 & MR. GREER: Oh, oh, okay. We may have a \\
\hline & 2 & problem. Piazza's criminal trial is August 19 in San \\
\hline & 3 & Diego. I don't think it will take more than a day, \\
\hline & 4 & though. \\
\hline \(12: 48: 23\) & 5 & THE COURT: You know what we can do? We can \\
\hline & 6 & hold the dates we have now. If there's a problem, just \\
\hline & 7 & let us know. \\
\hline & 8 & MR. GREER: Put it on the 20 th for now and be \\
\hline & 9 & flexible. That's fine. \\
\hline 12:48:33 & 10 & THE COURT: I mean, if you need -- if he's in \\
\hline & 11 & trial, he's in trial. You can't be in two places at \\
\hline & 12 & the same time. \\
\hline & 13 & MR. GREER: Yeah. \\
\hline & 14 & MR. ALDRICH: That's true. \\
\hline \(12: 48: 39\) & 15 & MR. GREER: Very good. Hold on. He said he's \\
\hline & 16 & not available until August 22nd. Oh, that's because \\
\hline & 17 & he's in trial maybe. He said he wasn't available until \\
\hline & 18 & the \(22 n d . \quad\) So he's probably got to be in San Diego for \\
\hline & 19 & the criminal trial. \\
\hline \(12: 48: 55\) & 20 & MR. ALDRICH: I know nothing about that. \\
\hline & 21 & MR. GREER: That's -- we're good, your Honor. \\
\hline & 22 & THE COURT: Okay. \\
\hline & 23 & MR. GREER: Let's hold those dates. \\
\hline & 24 & THE COURT: Yeah. Hold them. \\
\hline 12:49:02 & 25 & MR. GREER: We thank the Court. \\
\hline & & Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC v.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 41/11 41/18 42/3 & & 40 & connect [2] 9/ \\
\hline attorney [4] 16/24 & because [7] 10/13 & bring [1] 6/8 & \[
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\hline 36/25 & behalf [12] 7/14 & call [6] 5/9 5/13 & 32/ & 32/ \\
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\hline \[
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\] & between [2] 35/ & 29/10 29/13 29/21 & company [15] & couple [2] 29/8 \\
\hline 18/8 22/17 27/2 & 36/23 & 32/18 37/12 36/16 & 23/5 23/9 24/20 & 30/3 \\
\hline 28/13 29/5 36/2 & bifurcate [1] 40/3 & 37/7 37/17 38/9 & 24/24 25/10 25/2 & course [1] 23/2 \\
\hline 37/1 40/7 & bifurcated [1] 40/4 & 39/6 41/5 41/5 & 26/6 26/9 26/12 & court [6] 1/6 1/19 \\
\hline background [1] & bifurcation [1] & can't [6] 14/17 & 26/15 26/23 26/2 & 5/9 37/16 39/20 \\
\hline 16/9 & 40/14 & 18/17 18/18 28/12 & 27/23 28/7 34/6 & 41/2 \\
\hline basis [2] 23/23 & big [1] & 29/12 41/11 & compensation [3] & Court's [1] 35/ \\
\hline 24/13 & bills [1] 35/2 & capacity [1] 20/22 & 21/17 21/20 21/2 & courtroom [1] \\
\hline be [33] \(6 / 37 / 2\) & bit [1] 30/25 & Capital [2] 19/25 & computer [3] 9/12 & 15/12 \\
\hline 9/23 9/24 9/25 11/8 & blocked [4] 37/7 & & 9/22 13/14 & create [1] 17/24 \\
\hline 11/20 12/24 14/11 & 38/2 38/4 38/10 & capitalized [3] & concerned [1] & reated [1] 18/14 \\
\hline 14/11 14/24 18/18 & BlueJeans [2] 9/17 & 21/2 21/3 21/6 & 6/22 & reation [1] 18/10 \\
\hline 18/18 19/16 22/9 & 9/20 & cards [3] 18/ & concession [1] & criminal [2] 41/2 \\
\hline 30/10 30/13 32/19 & Bob [2] 24/1 25/13 & 18/13 18/22 & 7/24 & 41/19 \\
\hline \(33 / 133 / 733 / 10\) & borrower [1] & + & luded [1] & 18/ \\
\hline 34/8 36/25 37/18 & & 12/20 & & coss [3] 37/19 \\
\hline 37/20 37/24 38/13 & both [2] 8/19 9/16 & case [9] 1/1 & ference [2] & 37/22 37/23 \\
\hline 38/18 39/9 41/8 & bottom [4] 29/2 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 21 / 1021 / 1433 / 2 \\
& 39 / 1439 / 1539 / 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 26/8 39/17 & cross-claim [3] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline C & DIEGO [3] \(2 / 17\) & 11/13 24/9 34/19 & ESQ [3] 2/4 2/14 & Fax [2] 2/19 3/11 \\
\hline cross-claim... [3] & differently [1] & doubt [1] 32/23 & estate [2] 17/9 & \[
\text { 3] } 5 / 1429 / 14
\] \\
\hline 37/19 37/22 37/23 & \[
19 / 4
\] & DOWN [1] 43/5 & 20/3 & \[
30 / 1
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
currently [11] \\
16/16 16/19 19/3
\end{tabular} & difficulty [1] 28/19 & draft [1] 26/11 & estimate [2] 17/5 & fifth [1] 29/2 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
16/16 16/19 19/3 \\
19/5 20/21 34/15
\end{tabular} & DIRECT [1] 16/3 & drafted [1] 25/17 & 25/2 & file [4] 27/18 37/7 \\
\hline & DIRECTION [1] & drafting [2] 30/6 & Estimated [1] & 40/19 40/21 \\
\hline \[
37 / 2538 / 17
\] & 43/9 & 30/8 & 10/ & filed [3] 27/15 37/6 \\
\hline cutoff [1] 39/20 & discov & dr & ev & 37 \\
\hline D & & Dziubla [5] & event [1] & nance [1] \\
\hline 38 & 10/3 25/22 37/1 & 20/11 20/25 21/6 & ever [3] 21/ & finances [1] 35/2 \\
\hline 38/25 39/14 39/14 & 42/9 & 35/9 & 21/19 21/23 & financing [2] \\
\hline DATED [1] 1/21 & & E & everyone [1] 13/4 & 17/20 17/21 \\
\hline dates [5] 12/21 & 26/19 27/11 & ea & 38/7 38/17 & \[
2 / 1612
\] \\
\hline 39/17 39/19 41/6 & dismiss [6] 12/23 & easy [1] 36/19 & evidentiary & \[
35 / 2541 / 9
\] \\
\hline 41/23 & 36/21 36/25 37/19 & EB5 [19] 17/11 & 39/10 39/22 & FIRM [1] 3/5 \\
\hline \[
34 / 10
\] & 37/21 37/23 & 17/14 17/20 18/14 & EXAMINATION [1] & first [8] 12/8 15/20 \\
\hline \[
41 / 3
\] & dissolved [1] 20/7 & 19/25 20/6 20/18 & 16/3 & 15/24 24/23 29/6 \\
\hline days [2] \(7 / 737 / 1\) & distress [1] 17/22 & 20/25 21/9 21/20 & examined [1] & 29/20 29/22 30/2 \\
\hline decision [2] 24/14 & distressed [2] 18/9 & 23/15 23/22 24/2 & 15/2 & five [1] 24/23 \\
\hline 27/18 & 18/15 & 24/10 25/13 27/6 & except [1] 31/ & Fleming [7] 11/8 \\
\hline default [8] 27/14 & DISTRICT [2] 1/6 & 27/9 27/10 34/23 & excludable [1] & 12/11 12/15 20/13 \\
\hline 27/19 30/9 30/11 & 1/19 & economic [1] & 18/18 & 20/15 20/21 42/4 \\
\hline 32/14 32/21 32/22 & do & & excuse & Fleming's [1] \\
\hline 33/8 & DOCKET [1] & educatio & excused [1] 36/12 & 20/18 \\
\hline defendant [9] 1/13 & doctor [1] 16/12 & 16/8 & exhibit [7] 22/2 & flexible [1] 41/9 \\
\hline 2/2 7/17 19/24 & document [10] & either [2] 5/23 & 22/3 22/11 28/13 & flight [1] \\
\hline 21/10 21/13 34/20 & 14/5 24/6 24/7 & 11/ & 28/15 32/13 32/14 & Flynn [4] 33/23 \\
\hline 34/22 35/10 & 25/19 28/16 29/2 & else [1] 2 & Exhibit 1 [1] 22/3 & 34/1 34/4 34/9 \\
\hline defendant's [3] & 29/18 30/3 30/6 & email [14] & Exhibit 1A [1] & focus [1] 13/22 \\
\hline 36/21 37/19 37/21 & 30/8 & 22/5 22/11 22/1 & 28 & follows [1] 15/2 \\
\hline Defendants [1] & documentation [2] & 22/20 22/22 23/5 & Exhibit 20 [1] & foreclosing [1] \\
\hline 7/23 & 24/ & 23/13 23/20 24/12 & 28 & 28/8 \\
\hline defense [1] 37/23 & documents [7] & 25/6 25/7 26/22 & Exhibit 22 [1] & FOREGOING [1] \\
\hline definitely [2] & 24/5 24/9 25/16 & 27/2 & & 43/10 \\
\hline 10/25 11/17 & 25/17 25/22 26/20 & emails [4] 26/ & expect [1] 33/9 & foreign [2] 17/23 \\
\hline degree [4] 16/10 & & 35/8 35/11 35/13 & experience [2] & 18/12 \\
\hline 16/12 16/14 16/17 & does [6] 8/11 & & & st [1] 16/1 \\
\hline DEL [1] 2/15 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 19/19 19/21 23/18 } \\
& 24 / 834 / 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
16/19 16/21 16/23 \\
encompasses [1]
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
explain [1] 26 \\
extra [1] 7/6
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { form [2] } 21 / 16 \\
& 21 / 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline DEPT [1] \(1 / 3\) & 24/8 34/9 & encompasses [1] & extra [1] \(7 / 6\) & 21/19 \\
\hline desk [1] 14/25 & doing [1] \(25 / 13\) & end [2] 5/18 & F & 5/23 26/ \\
\hline development [11] & Dominican [1] & engage [1] 21/12 & fact [2] 23/21 33 & formally [2] 24/19 \\
\hline 1/12 17/10 19/ & 16/16 & enough [2] 14/1 & facts [1] 10/20 & 25/21 \\
\hline 27/15 28/6 28/25 & don't [32] 5/8 5/22 & 32/17 & familiar [2] 23/15 & forward [2] 11/15 \\
\hline \[
32 / 8 \text { 35/21 }
\] & 8/6 10/2 13/9 14/2 & entered [2] 35/8 & 27/8 & 27/23 \\
\hline did [25] 5/11 7/11 & 14/13 14/17 20/2 & 35/17 & familiarity [1] & four [1] \\
\hline 7/24 16/13 16/17 & 20/9 21/7 22/18 & entirely [1] 19/16 & 27/9 & rame [2] 25/11 \\
\hline 17/4 21/12 23/7 & 24/4 24/8 24/21 & entities [7] 19/6 & familiarize [1] & 26/17 \\
\hline 23/9 23/16 24/15 & 24/25 25/8 25/18 & 19/8 19/11 19/24 & 22/13 & free [1] \\
\hline 25/10 25/17 25/20 & 26/10 26/13 30/1 & 21/14 34/20 35/10 & far [6] 6/21 15/5 & freeze [2] 38/1 \\
\hline 26/5 26/8 26/11 & 30/7 30/12 30/19 & ENTITLED [1] 43/6 & 30/19 31/21 33/12 & 38/12 \\
\hline 26/14 26/18 27/9 & 31/19 31/19 31/23 & entity [7] 20/1 & 40/14 & friends [1] 34/1 \\
\hline 27/18 28/15 29/18 & 32/24 34/11 35/13 & 20/5 20/8 21/9 & FARMER [1] \(2 / 3\) & front [11] 1/9 9/12 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
30 / 535 / 15
\] \\
didn't [1] 8/10
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 41 / 342 / 2 \\
& \text { done [5] } 11 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 21/21 34/23 34/25 } \\
& \text { equipment [2] } \\
& 9 / 1442 / 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
FARMERCASE.COM \\
[1] 2/9 \\
faster [1] 39/21
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 16 / 6 \quad 21 / 13 \quad 27 / 16 \\
& 28 / 2533 / 1635 / 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline F & & & I'll [11] 6/3 11/12 & intend [1] 7/20 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{front... [3] 35/9
\(35 / 1637 / 18\)
fulfilled [1] \(6 / 23\)
full [5] \(15 / 2422 / 25\)} & GREER [5] \(2 / 13\) & held [2] 37/11 42/9 & 14/18 15/1 17/18 & intended [1] 6/16 \\
\hline & 2/14 7/17 36/9 & Hello [3] 6/13 6/13 & 18/7 28/3 28/12 & 35/8 \\
\hline & 40/18 & 16/5 & 29/15 32/15 40/1 & 43/8 \\
\hline & GR & help [2] 8/15 19/19 & I'm [21] 6/18 6/1 & invest [1] 17/24 \\
\hline 24/13 24/22 43/10 & & HENDERSON [1] & 8/16 9/2 14/12 & investment [1] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{full-time [2] 24/13
\[
24 / 22
\]} & & & /16 14/22 18/5 & \\
\hline & H & he & 18/20 19/12 20/2 & st \\
\hline FUND [11] 1/12 & & & & \\
\hline 7/17 19/14 19/18 & & 13/14 14/2 15/8 & 28/13 28/21 29/25 & investors [2] \\
\hline 22 21/17 27/15 & & & 30/2 30/22 35/2 & \\
\hline 28/6 28/25 32/8 & 10/8 & here [10] & 36/ & invitation [1] \\
\hline 35/21 & 23/8 23/21 23/21 & 7/22 8/21 10/10 & I've [14] 10/6 & involved [2] 18/ \\
\hline fundraising [ & 23/21 23/21 23/2 & 14/11 14/17 15/1 & 20/16 22/15 29/20 & 11 \\
\hline 17/12 & 24/1 24/7 24/9 & 15/12 18/21 39/18 & 29/22 29/24 31/2 & volvem \\
\hline funds & 25/12 25/16 25/1 & HEREBY [1] 43/5 & 31/4 31/11 31/13 & 21/8 29/19 30/5 \\
\hline further [2] 14/2 & 33/14 43/6 43/12 & HEREUNTO [1] & 32/2 32/4 32/9 33/4 & 30/7 34/9 35/19 \\
\hline \[
14 / 24
\] & half [8] 29/22 & & IA & is [63] \\
\hline G & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline \text { gain [1] } 27 / 9 \\
\text { gave [2] } & 10 / 12
\end{array}
\]} & 32/4 & 24/6 33/25 & 7/7 7/23 8/17 11/7 & issue [3] 9/1 38/10 \\
\hline & hand [1] 15/1 & his [10] 10/9 10/ & 14/12 14/16 15/15 & 40/11 \\
\hline & handle [1] 12 & 11/12 12/13 21/6 & 18/8 22/4 22/24 & issues [4] 36/17 \\
\hline general [2] 18/21 & handling [1] & 23/22 24/10 25/13 & 26/25 28/18 28/21 & 36/23 37/1 39/11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18/24} & handy [1] 39/18 & 27/6 34/6 & 28/21 29/13 29/13 & t [64] \\
\hline & happen [3] 8/17 & HOLBERT [3] 2/4 & 30/1 32/17 33/1 & it's [19] 7/21 9/1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|cc|}
\hline \text { get [9] } & 9 / 410 / 21 \\
10 / 22 & 10 / 23 \\
10 / 23
\end{array}
\] & 11/13 11/23 & 6/7 6 & 33/19 35/13 35/ & 12/21 13/21 14/25 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/14 15/8 18/12} & happens [1] 27/23 & hold [4] 41/6 41/15 & 37/1 39/6 41/6 & 16/16 18/9 19/2 \\
\hline & has [7] 20/6 23/5 & 41/23 41/24 & 41/10 41/10 42/2 & 20/10 24/4 24/9 \\
\hline 26/25 & 23/13 24/12 27/3 & homeless [2] 14/7 & Ignatius [2] 11/20 & 27/5 28/8 28/23 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
getting [1] 39/22 \\
give [8] 8/17 22/12
\end{tabular} & 27/14 31/19 & 14/7 & 37 & 8/24 35/12 38/2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 24 / 625 / 2 \text { 29/7 } \\
& 29 / 1533 / 2135 / 23
\end{aligned}
\]} & have [87] & Honestly [1] 19/10 & ill [2] 10/7 10/12 & 38/11 38/14 \\
\hline & h & Honor [13] 5/7 & Illinois & its [2] 13/22 29/1 \\
\hline go [17] 6/7 8/8 9/6 & & & immigrant [1] & J \\
\hline 11/15 11/25 14/16 & \[
30 / 230 / 7
\] & 17 36/10 36/19 & migration [1] & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15 / 722 / 324 / 14 \\
& 26 / 128 / 1328 / 14
\end{aligned}
\] & Hawaii [2] 7/3 7/5 & \[
41 / 21
\] & \[
18 / 17
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { JALD } \\
3 / 12
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{28/15 30/22 36/16} & \[
\text { he [15] } 10 / 18
\] & HONORABLE & Impact [5] 19/25 & January [4] 24/13 \\
\hline & 23/21 24/2 24/5 & 1/18 & 20/6 21/1 21/9 & 24/20 25/5 26/17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
goes [1] 23/12 \\
going [18] 5/19
\end{tabular}} & 7 24/7 25/13 & hours [3] & 21/20 & January 1 [3] \\
\hline & /15 25/16 25 & 25/1 25/3 & 80] & 24/13 24/20 26/17 \\
\hline 6/21 11/7 11/15 & 25/17 27/13 41/ & housekeeping [2] & inactive [1] 34/1 & anuary 1st [1] \\
\hline 11/20 12/1 12/12 & 41/17 41/17 & 12/7 12/19 & include [1] 37/9 & 25/5 \\
\hline 12/12 22/1 22/3 & he's [14] 10/7 & how [18] 6/14 8/4 & included [1] 23/4 & Jennifer [1] 37/22 \\
\hline 27/2 28/13 29/25 & 10/12 10/15 10/15 & 8/11 8/18 9/8 16/23 & INDEX [1] 3/15 & job [2] 17/25 18/10 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{30/10 30/13 30/22} & 11/20 11/20 12/1 & 17/1 17/4 20/15 & indicated [4] & jobs [1] 18/13 \\
\hline & 38/24 40/18 41/10 & 20/25 21/3 24/22 & 10/11 11/16 40/18 & JOHN [5] 3/6 7/14 \\
\hline good [15] 5/6 5/7 & 41/15 41/17 & /2 27/9 28/7 & 43/7 & 16/5 20/13 20/15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/2 6/5 6/6 6/12} & & 33/25 40/6 40/ & indulgence [1] & John's [1] 24/14 \\
\hline & & however [2] 29/ & 35/24 & [1] \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 13 / 2438 / 2139 / 3 \\
& 40 / 1241 / 1541 / 21
\end{aligned}
\]} & heads-up [1] & 29/14 & informal [6] 23/2 & WFIRM.COM [1] \\
\hline & & husband [4] 25/13 & 4/1 25/12 25/21 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{got [1] \(41 / 18\) government [1]} & & 27/6 27/12 35/13 & 27 & n [1] 23/9 \\
\hline & /7 & husba & Informaly [4] & ined [1] 23/5 \\
\hline 17/21 & 8/7 28/10 & 19/11 & 23/14 23/18 26/19 & int [2] 39/17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
great [2] 6/25 \\
22/10 \\
green [3] 18/4
\end{tabular}} & \[
40 / 1740 / 20
\] & I & injunction [2] 1/16 & JUDGE [2] \(1 / 18\) \\
\hline & hearing [4] 6/21 37/2 39/10 39/22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I'd [4] 5/15 5/24 } \\
& 7 / 6 \text { 19/16 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 38/23 & \[
\begin{array}{|ll}
\text { 1/19 } \\
\text { JULY [2] } & 1 / 215 / 1
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline J & & looking [1] 27 & mentions [1] 27/8 &  \\
\hline jump [1] 5/20 & lastly [2] 37/25 & lose [1] 22/2 & message [1] 10/9 & Ms. [17] 6/7 6/12 \\
\hline jump [1] 5/20 & 37/25 & lost [3] 18/1 18/6 & met [1] 20/16 & 7/21 10/25 12/15 \\
\hline juris [1] \(16 / 12\) & late [1] 25/2 & 18/8 & microphone [1] & 12/15 13/10 13/17 \\
\hline just [25] 5/20 12/3 & law [5] 3/5 16/17 & lot [1] 18/ & 15/3 & 15/15 16/5 18/5 \\
\hline 12/7 12/14 13/21 & 17/4 34/14 34/15 & lunch [2] 11/ & might [2] 33/19 & 22/5 28/10 28/16 \\
\hline 13/21 14/23 14/24 & led [1] 5/18 & 11/11 & 34/8 & \(36 / 136 / 5\) 36/13 \\
\hline 17/18 19/17 22/12 & lender [2] 19/18 & [1] & m & Ms. Fleming [1] \\
\hline 28/12 28/20 30/23 & & M & & \\
\hline \(32 / 1735 / 2335 / 24\)
\(36 / 237 / 637 / 15\) & let [11] 11/20 22/4 & ma'am [4] 6/5 & 8/17 11/2 & 6/7 6/12 \\
\hline \(36 / 237 / 637 / 15\)
\(39 / 1439 / 1539 / 21\) & 22/13 28/18 28/20 & 6/23 18/5 36/11 & moderator [1] 6/3 & Ms. Stanwood \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 39 / 1439 / 1539 / 21 \\
& 40 / 341 / 6
\end{aligned}
\] & 29/6 29/13 29/25 & machine [1] 9/21 & moment [3] 6/10 & 7/21 10/25 12/15 \\
\hline & 30/23 30/25 41/7 & made [2] 5/20 6/16 & 35/23 36/2 & 13/10 13/17 15/15 \\
\hline K & let's [8] 8/8 11/25 & maintain [1] 13/22 & money [1] 20/19 & 16/5 18/5 22/5 \\
\hline K & 15/7 28/14 28/15 & make [9] 5/14 7/23 & months [1] 24/23 & 28/10 28/16 36/1 \\
\hline ep [2] 24/25 & 37/15 39/5 41/23 & 8/21 11/12 11/23 & more [5] 7/7 11/3 & 36/5 36/13 \\
\hline 28/12 & letter [6] 28/24 & 19/21 32/15 37/10 & 18/23 30/25 41/3 & much [2] 29/7 \\
\hline keeping [1] 35/20 & 30/13 30/17 30/18 & 37/15 & morning [11] 5/6 & 29/14 \\
\hline KEITH [2] 2/14 & 30/23 31/21 & MANAGEMENT [1] & 5/7 6/2 6/5 6/6 6/12 & my [27] 6/2 7/21 \\
\hline 7/16 & license [2] 34/1 & 1/9 & 6/13 7/16 10/11 & 11/6 11/17 16/5 \\
\hline KEITH.GREER [1] & 34/15 & many [2] 24/2 & 10/21 12/11 & 19/2 19/11 20/10 \\
\hline 2/20 & licenses [1] 34/16 & 25/2 & motion [11] 36/21 & 24/6 25/12 27/5 \\
\hline KHOLBERT [1] 2/9 & light [1] 12/10 & Marin & 37/6 37/19 37/21 & 27/11 28/19 29/15 \\
\hline know [35] 6/15 & like [8] 6/7 11/2 & marketing [4] & 37/23 38/1 38/11 & 29/17 30/24 33/10 \\
\hline 7/6 7/7 9/5 11/20 & 11/16 13/19 19/15 & 21/9 21/12 21/21 & 38/14 38/23 40/19 & 33/20 34/11 34/15 \\
\hline 14/13 17/14 17/16 & 28/23 30/1 36/24 & 34/23 & 40/21 & 35/13 39/13 40/17 \\
\hline 19/17 20/13 20/15 & LINDA [7] 15/19 & married [1] 20/10 & motions [4] 12/23 & 43/9 43/11 43/14 \\
\hline 22/4 22/13 24/21 & 16/1 18/2 23/4 & matter [2] 19/25 & 36/20 36/24 40/17 & 43/14 \\
\hline 24/25 26/23 27/4 & 23/13 27/2 34/19 & & & N \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}28 / 18 & 28 / 21 ~ 29 / 13 \\ 30 / 12 & 31 / 16 ~ 32 / 9\end{array}\) & & may \([11] ~ 22 / 17\)
\(23 / 11\)
\(24 / 5\)
\(25 / 15\) & & \\
\hline \(30 / 1231 / 1632 / 9\)
\(32 / 2433 / 933 / 18\) &  & \(\begin{array}{ll}23 / 111 & 24 / 5 \\ 26 / 17 & 31 / 25 \\ 32 / 19\end{array}\) & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
37 / 1738 / 540 / 2 \\
\text { moved [3] } 37 / 20
\end{array}
\] & name [6] \(6 / 215 /\)
\[
15 / 2416 / 527 / 1
\] \\
\hline 32/24 33/9 33/18 & \[
9 / 22 \text { 10/22 }
\] & 35/12 35/23 41/1 & moved [3] \(37 / 20\)
\(37 / 2438 / 18\) & 43/14 \\
\hline 39/16 39/18 39/20 & literature [1] & 42/6 & moves [1] 27/23 & names [3] 19/10 \\
\hline 41/5 41/7 41/20 & 16/10 & May 12 [1] 26/17 & moving [4] 12/21 & 33/19 33/21 \\
\hline knowledge [22] & litigation [3] 17/9 & maybe [3] 27/22 & 24/11 28/20 37/16 & narrative [1] 17/ \\
\hline 20/17 21/3 21/5 & 19/18 34/18 & 40/10 41/17 & Mr. [14] 10/6 11/8 & nature [1] 15/10 \\
\hline 21/7 30/16 30/19 & little [3] 14/1 & me [23] 5/18 6/7 & 12/11 20/18 20/21 & need [10] 5/8 8/14 \\
\hline 31/7 31/15 31/19 & 25/25 30/25 & 10/12 10/18 12/3 & 20/25 21/6 28/1 & 9/8 9/25 10/4 12/24 \\
\hline 31/20 31/23 31/24 & live [3] 6/8 6/11 & 12/15 13/19 14/10 & 35/9 36/9 37/3 & 14/10 36/2 38/21 \\
\hline 32/6 32/11 32/20 & 9/4 & 14/12 14/17 22/4 & 38/23 40/18 42/4 & 41/10 \\
\hline 32/23 33/1 33/9 & LLC [4] 1/9 1/12 & 22/13 24/6 25/2 & Mr. Aldrich [2] & needed [2] 5/20 \\
\hline 33/11 33/20 34/12 & 19/25 20/6 & 25/16 25/18 28/10 & 28/1 37/3 & 40/4 \\
\hline 34/24 & Ioan [8] 31/17 & 28/18 29/13 29/25 & Mr. Dziubla [3] & NEVADA [4] \(1 / 7\) \\
\hline known [3] 5/15 & 31/18 32/9 33/5 & 31/25 35/13 35/23 & 20/25 21/6 35/9 & 5/1 43/2 43/15 \\
\hline 5/24 16/16 & 33/5 35/4 35/7 & mean [7] 23/19 & Mr. Fleming [4] & never [1] 6/15 \\
\hline knows [1] 17/19 & 35/17 & 25/23 26/2 31/23 & 11/8 12/11 20/21 & new [1] 10/20 \\
\hline & long [6] 8/11 8/13 & 39/21 40/23 41/10 & 42/4 & next [8] 7/20 11/ \\
\hline L & 10/24 11/1 17/1 & meantime [2] 23/1 & Mr. Fleming's [1] & 12/13 14/9 24/11 \\
\hline L-I-N-D-A [1] 16/2 & 17/4 & 23/3 & 20/18 & 38/22 39/13 42/3 \\
\hline \[
\text { LAS [12] } 1 / 123 / 9
\] & look [7] 19/10 & meet [4] 18/4 & Mr. Greer [2] 36/9 & no [38] 1/1 6/18 \\
\hline 4/8 19/13 19/17 & 19/16 29/6 29/7 & 18/14 18/16 18/19 & 40/18 & 6/18 10/2 10/21 \\
\hline 19/22 21/17 27/15 & 30/23 31/1 32/13 & meeting [1] 9/23 & Mr. Piazza [2] 10/6 & 11/3 13/15 16/20 \\
\hline 28/5 28/24 32/7 & looked [10] 20/4 & meetings [2] 26/5 & 38/23 & 17/13 20/23 21/4 \\
\hline 35/21 & 29/20 29/22 29/24 & & Mrs. [1] 37/22 & 21/11 21/15 21/18 \\
\hline Las Vegas [1] 28/5 & 30/2 31/2 31/4 & meets [1] 18/20 & Mrs. Jennifer [1] & 21/22 21/25 22/18 \\
\hline last [3] 15/24 & 31/11 31/13 39/16 & \[
\operatorname{mentioned~[1]~}_{35 / 3}
\] & 37/22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 26 / 7 \text { 26/16 27/20 } \\
& 28 / 2 \text { 28/9 30/4 30/8 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline N & & 32 & planning [1] 20/3 & \\
\hline no... [14] 30/14 & & & please [7] 10/5 & \[
30
\] \\
\hline 31/9 31/16 32/10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
41/1 41/1 41/16 \\
okay [61]
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 22 / 25 \\
\text { PARKWAY [1] } 2 / 5
\end{array}
\] & please [7] 10/5 15/16 15/25 16/8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
30/2 \\
put [5] 9/22 10/4
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(33 / 1733 / 2134 / 5\)
\(34 / 1734 / 2135 / 1\) & okay [61] on [62] & \begin{tabular}{l}
PARKWAY [1] \(2 / 5\) \\
part [2] 28/17 34/6
\end{tabular} & 15/16 15/25 16/8
22/14 36/2 40/24 & put [5] 9/22 10/4
10/10 13/3 41/8 \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{llll}34 / 17 & 34 / 21 & 35 / 1 \\ 35 / 18 & 35 / 22 & 36 / 8\end{array}\) & once [2] & participate [2] & pleased [1] & \\
\hline 36/10 & one [7] 6/10 19/19 & & podium [4] 14/ & \\
\hline none [1] 32/10 & /24 36/19 36/19 & particular [1] & 12 14/22 15/4 & \[
\mathbf{q u}
\] \\
\hline nonprofit [1] &  & particularly [1] & & 30/24 36/8 39/13 \\
\hline 13/23 & Only [1] 32/7 opened [1] 11/17 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { particularly [1] } \\
& 22 / 18
\end{aligned}
\] & possible [5] 24/4
24/9 25/15 25/22 & questions [7] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
normal [2] 18/4 \\
18/16
\end{tabular} & operated [1] \(14 / 8\) & Parts [1] & 35/12 & 20/25 24/7 28/13 \\
\hline Northwestern & operations [1] & party's [1] 6/ & possibly [4] \(8 / 16\) & 29/8 29/18 36/5 \\
\hline \[
16 / 18
\] & 34/10 & patch [1] 9/2 & 26/19 27/12 28/6 & 36/10 \\
\hline not [26] 6/19 7/5 & opportunities & paying [1] & practice [2] 17 & quick [2] 29/1 \\
\hline 8/13 8/16 8/20 8/21 & 24/15 & PEGGY [3] 1/2 & & 32/13 \\
\hline 10/6 10/12 10/15 & opposition [1] & 43/4 43/17 & practiced [2] 17/8 & quite [3] 20/4 \\
\hline 10/15 10/24 10/25 & 37/8 & pending [3] & 17 & 23/ \\
\hline 11/3 11/20 20/2 & or [10] 7/7 7/7 & [ 23 & & R \\
\hline 20/20 24/25 25/23 & & & & RAINBOW [1] \\
\hline 26/21 26/25 28/21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24 / 1825 / 17 \text { 28/21 } \\
& 35 / 2037 / 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 18 / 318 / 1218 / 16 \\
& 18 / 2131 / 2533 / 11
\end{aligned}
\] & preparation [1] & raise [1] 15/16 \\
\hline 30/2 33/20 39/12 & \[
\text { order [1] } 18
\] & percent [2] 14/8 & 29/19 & raising [1] 20/1 \\
\hline note [1] 39/18 & Organization [1] & 36/23 & prepare & rather [1] 36 \\
\hline noted [1] 40/1 & 14/6 & performed [1] & president [14] & ead [11] 13/1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{NOTES [1] 43/8 nothing [2] \(15 / 21\)} & other [11] & & 19/3 19/5 19/9 & 13/19 13/20 14/4 \\
\hline & 18/17 24/14 31/18 & period [1] & 19/12 19/13 19/22 & 22/12 22/15 23/7 \\
\hline \[
41 / 20
\] & 31/25 32/10 33/4 & person [1] & 20/1 20/7 23/6 & 23/16 24/6 24/15 \\
\hline notice [8] 27/14 & 33/5 33/7 34/16 & rsonal [16] & 23/10 26/23 26/2 & 29/14 \\
\hline 27/19 30/9 30/11 & 39/11 & 30/16 30/19 31/7 & 27/21 28/5 & ing \\
\hline 32/14 32/21 32/22 & others [1] 35/10 & /15 31/20 31/23 & printed [1] 13/21 & \(y\) [3] \(8 / 212\) \\
\hline 33/7 & otherwise [1] & 32/6 32/10 32/20 & prior [3] 25/7 & 13/6 \\
\hline November [1] & 18/ & & & eal [4] 8/25 17 \\
\hline 39/21 & our [9] 9/4 9 & 33/10 33/20 34/1 & privy [1] 35/1 & 29/1 32/13 \\
\hline now [6] 5/25 6/11 & 10/21 10/23 14/2 & 34 & PRO [1] & \\
\hline 12/23 28/15 41/6 & 23/5 38/22 39/5 & personally [3] & probably [3] 5/20 & \[
11 /
\] \\
\hline 1/8 & 40/2 & 20/17 20/20 33/6 & 41/ & \[
\text { L] } 1
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { number [2] } 18 / 13 \\
& 34 / 2
\end{aligned}
\]} & ut [4] 23/1 30/10 & perspective [1] & problem [5] 6/18 & call [8] 24/8 25/8 \\
\hline & 30/13 30/14 & 1 & 29/13 40/23 41/2 & \\
\hline NV [3] 1/24 2/7 3/9 & Outstanding & pl & & receive [4] 16/13 \\
\hline 0 & over [6] 10/9 & phone [1] 5/2 & Proceedings [3] & 16/17 18/22 42/7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{15}{*}{```
o'clock [2] 10/10
38/5
00o [2] 12/4 12/5
oath [3] 13/7
    15/11 15/17
Objection [1]
25/24
obviously [2] 9/12
18/21
occasion [1] 25/15
occasionally [2]
24/8 25/18
occasions [1]
20/16
off [4] 10/3 12/13
37/11 42/9
```} & 22/12 23/23 23/24 & Piazza [4] 10/6 & 42/10 43/6 43/12 & received [6] 10/8 \\
\hline & 24/6 & 11/20 37/22 38/23 & process [1] 10/21 & 10/11 21/16 21/19 \\
\hline & overa & Piazza's [2] 37/21 & Professor [1] & 21/23 25/17 \\
\hline & errule [1] & , & & Recess [1] 1 \\
\hline & overview [1] 18/24 & picks [2] 15/2 15/4 & program [4] 17/14 & recited [1] 33/ \\
\hline & own [2] 21/6 33/20 & Pillsbury [2] 16/24 & 17/20 17/21 17/23 & recognize [2] 30/3 \\
\hline & owned [1] 14/8 & 17/2 & project [6] 18/20 & 33/19 \\
\hline & owner [1] 34/6 & Pittman [1] 16 & 21/13 27/16 33/16 & recollections [1] \\
\hline & P & \[
\text { [3] } 7 / 11
\] & 35/5 35/16 & record [10] 10/1 \\
\hline & pa & places [1] 41/1 & projects [4] 17/22
\[
17 / 2418 / 2324 / 2
\] & 10/3 10/4 10/5 12 \\
\hline & 3/21 29/2 29/6 & plaintiff [4] \(1 / 10\) & protocol [1] 9/5 & 15/25 37/11 37/15 \\
\hline & 29/20 29/21 29/23 & 3/2 7/15 8/20 & provided [2] 12/ & 42/9 43/11 \\
\hline & /3 31/5 31/7 & plaintifs [2] & 18/13 & recordkeeping [ \\
\hline & \(1231 / 1331 / 15\) & 36/20 38/1 & purposes [1] 20/3 & 34/24 \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 32 / 332 / 432 / 5 \\
& \text { pages [2] } 30 / 3
\end{aligned}
\] & plan [2] 11/6 27/22 & pursuant [1] 38/22 & records [1] 35/20 refer [1] 21/1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline R & & 20 & 10 & 43/13 \\
\hline references [1] & Robert [2] \(7 / 18\)
20/11 & 26/23 26/24 27/21 & 25/16 25/17 26/19 & successful [1] 28/8 \\
\hline 6/22 & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 27 / 1231 / 1833 / 5 \\
& 33 / 1935 / 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline referred [1] 27/4 & room [1] 9/23 & 30/12 30/13 30/14 & something [6] & SUITE [3] 2/6 2/16 \\
\hline regard [6] \(31 / 6\)
\(31 / 1432 / 533 / 13\) & Rosary [1] 16/15 & 33/8 & 5/18 13/17 13/20 & 3/8 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 31 / 1432 / 533 / 13 \\
& 34 / 1834 / 22
\end{aligned}
\] & rules [1] 6/22 & sentence [2] 24/12 & 24/19 28/22 32/25 & SUPERV \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
34/18 34/22 \\
regarding [1]
\end{tabular} & \[
\text { rush [1] } 10 / 21
\] & 27/8 & sometime [1] & 43/9 \\
\hline regional [9] 19/25 & S & Sept & S & sure [9] 14/6 19/16 \\
\hline 21/1 21/2 21/3 & & & s & \\
\hline 21/24 27/22 28/6 & & & & \\
\hline 34/7 34/10 & \[
\text { said [11] } 5 / 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Se} \\
& 39
\end{aligned}
\] &  & surprised \\
\hline ed [7] 30/16 & 19/15 24/8 25/12 & session [1] 1 & sort [2] 17/23 & sustain [1] 28/3 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
32/21 33/16 35/4 \\
35/10 35/16 35/20
\end{tabular} & 31/25 32/25 38/9 & set [12] 10/20 11/8 & 24/18 & sworn [2] 15/8 \\
\hline member [3] & 38/24 41/15 41/17 & 17/21 36/21 36/22 & sounds [1] 19/15 & 15/20 \\
\hline 2/16 22/18 29/17 & ```
43/7 [6] 9/15 9/22
``` & 37/2 37/19 37/24 & \begin{tabular}{l}
SOUTH [1] 3/7 \\
speaking [1] 23/20
\end{tabular} & T \\
\hline remote [1] 15/10 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { same [6] } 9 / 159 / 22 \\
& 36 / 2337 / 137 / 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
38 / 138 / 238 / 438 / 5 \\
\text { sets [1] } 36 / 23
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
speaking [1] 23/20 \\
specific [6] 24/4
\end{tabular} & take [8] 8/ \\
\hline report [1] 39/17 & \[
41 / 12
\] & setting [1] \(37 /\) & 24/5 24/9 25/19 & take [8]
\(12 / 812 / 20\) \\
\hline REPORTED [1]
\[
1 / 24
\] & SAN [3] 2/17 41/2 & seven [1] 32/15 & 37/2 37/3 & 29/6 30 \\
\hline REPO & & several [5] 19/1 & specificall & [ \\
\hline 43/4 & say [4] 9/3 11/3 & 20/16 23/14 23/25 & 35/14 & lk [3] 8/21 11/ \\
\hline REPORTER'S [2] & 23/4 31/16 & 27/3 & spelling [1] & /21 \\
\hline 1/15 42/13 & \[
\text { says [4] } 23 / 323 / 12
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Shaw [1] 16/24 \\
she [15] 5/10 5/11
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
squeeze \\
stand [1]
\end{tabular} & \[
33 / 11
\] \\
\hline represent [1] 16/6 & \[
24 / 22 \quad 27 / 2
\] & \[
5 / 195 / 205 / 247 / 22
\] & standing [2] \(8 / 2\) & talking [3] 9/ \\
\hline representation 32/16 & schedule [2] 5/11 & 13/12 14/11 14/14 & 15/4 & 9/19 18/7 \\
\hline & 11/12 & 14/17 14/17 17/18 & Stanwood [20] & chnical \\
\hline  & scheduled [2] 5/10 & 17/19 24/12 32/17 & 7/21 10/25 12/15 & chnically [1] 9/2 \\
\hline quest [1] & 8/9 & she's [4] 5/25 7/4 & 13/10 13/16 13/17 & chnology [1] \\
\hline requirements [5] & sched & 7/4 8/2 & 14/4 15/15 15/19 & 14 \\
\hline 6/22 18/14 18/17 & 11/14 12/10 36/ & short [2] 30 & 16/1 16/5 18/5 22/5 & eleconferencing \\
\hline 18/19 18/20 & 39/11 40/15 & 32/19 & 23/4 28/10 28/16 & 1] 9/5 \\
\hline Rescuing [1] 14/6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
screen [1] 22/2 \\
screens [1] 14/3
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { short-circuit [2 } \\
& 30 / 2432 / 19
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4 / 1936 / 136 / 5 \\
& 5 / 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|lll}
\text { tell } \\
\text { term [2] } & 16 / 8 & 17 \\
\text { t1] } & 37 / 3
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rest [4] } 30 / 130 / 23 \\
& 39 / 439 / 22
\end{aligned}
\] & Sean [4] 33/22 & SHORTHAND [1] & starts [1] 23/ & tested [3] 9/14 \\
\hline turn [1] 18 & 34/1 34/4 34/9 & 43/4 & state [3] 15/23 & /21 10/23 \\
\hline review [3] 25/16 & second [3] 29/2 & should [4] & 43/2 43/14 & testified [1] 15/2 \\
\hline 25/22 26/19 & 29/22 29/24 & 14/11 38/13 38/18 & stated [1] 31/ & stify [1] 15/20 \\
\hline reviewed [3] 30/18 & see [24] 13/9 & show [3] 13/21 & statement [1] 40/2 & testimony \\
\hline 32/22 32/24 & 13/14 14/2 14/12 & 22/1 29/1 & status [2] 40/10 & 10/24 12/13 13/1 \\
\hline right [42] \(5 / 8\) & 14/17 14/19 14/23 & showed [1] 35/13 & 40/14 & 20/24 38/22 39/5 \\
\hline 7/8 7/19 9/18 10/7 & 18/6 22/4 22/5 22/8 & shows [1] 24/19 & stay [4] 7/6 14/11 & 40/4 \\
\hline 11/24 12/6 12/23 & 22/19 22/21 23/1 & SIGHT [9] 1/9 16/6 & 14/18 15/1 & testing [1] 10/ \\
\hline 14/16 15/14 15/16 & 28/12 28/16 28/22 & 21/13 27/16 28/25 & STENOTYPE [2] & sts [1] 9/13 \\
\hline 18/11 20/5 20/24 & 29/3 29/10 29/12 & 33/16 35/5 35/9 & 43/5 43/8 & text [3] 10/8 10/11 \\
\hline 22/1 22/10 22/24 & 29/13 30/1 32/18 & 35/16 & stick [1] 39/18 & 19 \\
\hline 22/25 23/12 24/17 & 39/6 & Sight's [1] 37/18 & still [3] 14/2 28/10 & than [7] \\
\hline 26/25 27/7 28/14 & seeing [3] 22/3 & since [1] 24/13 & 34/14 & 31/18 32/10 33/4 \\
\hline 28/18 29/9 29/20 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
28 / 1929 / 17 \\
\text { seen [7] } 30 / 21
\end{array}
\] & \[
\text { sir [2] } 35 / 2536 / 7
\] & stipulate [2] 12/13 & 36/25 39/21 41/3 thank [14] 6/10 \\
\hline \(30 / 1531 / 431 / 6\)
\(31 / 1432 / 232 / 5\) & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { seen [7] 30/21 } \\
31 / 1732 / 232 / 4
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
sitting [1] \(5 / 25\) \\
Six [1] 17/3
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
15/11 \\
strategy [1] 28/
\end{tabular} & thank [14] 6/10
\[
6 / 246 / 257 / 27 / 13
\] \\
\hline \(31 / 1432 / 232 / 5\)
\(33 / 1333 / 22 ~ 34 / 13\) & \[
32 / 933 / 435 / 12
\] & Skype [1] 8/2 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { strategy [1] 28, } \\
& \text { strike [3] } 19 / 3
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
13 / 5 \quad 15 / 17 \quad 15 / 23
\] \\
\hline 33/13 33/22 34/13 & sees [1] 14/14 & so [44] & 24/17 35/2 & 32/12 36/7 36/11 \\
\hline 6/16 37/9 42/1 & send [2] 9/13 9/21 & social [1] 20/ & subpoenaed [1] & 36/13 36/14 41/25 \\
\hline River [1] 16/15 & senior [14] 19/3 & some [15] 12/7 & 7/22 & thanks [2] 22/25 \\
\hline RMR [2] 1/24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 19 / 5 \text { 19/8 19/12 } \\
& 19 / 1319 / 22 \quad 20 / 1
\end{aligned}
\] & 12/19 17/23 20/4 & SUBSCRIBED [1] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 36 / 15 \\
\text { that [156] }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline T & 12/11 15/10 19/18 & truth [3] 15/20 & 14/8 & ] 10/9 \\
\hline that's [23] 8/25 & 19/24 20/3 20/4 & 15/21 15/21 & ] 14/ & well [4] 24/17 \\
\hline 9/2 9/7 10/18 11/6 & 21/10 21/14 22/10 & try [2] 40/16 40/19 & VIA [1] 2/15 & 30/18 38/11 39/1 \\
\hline 12/16 12/18 13/3 & 22/16 23/4 23/13 & trying [1] 30/24 & vice [15] \(2 / 12\) 19/3 & were [21] 14/5 \\
\hline 13/25 18/23 20/12 & 25/6 25/7 25/21 & TUESDAY [2] 1/21 & 19/5 19/8 19/12 & 16/21 16/23 17/1 \\
\hline 21/10 22/10 22/11 & 26/22 28/16 28/23 & 5/1 & 19/13 19/22 20/1 & 17/6 17/6 18/6 18/8 \\
\hline 35/25 38/7 38/7 & 29/7 29/17 30/9 & Twentieth [2] 40/8 & 20/7 23/5 23/10 & 18/9 20/7 24/23 \\
\hline 39/3 40/4 41/9 & 30/13 31/21 32/19 & 40/9 & 26/23 26/24 27/21 & 25/3 26/24 30/10 \\
\hline 41/14 41/16 41/21 & 32/21 34/18 40/6 & two [8] 10/23 & 28/5 & 33/1 34/13 35/8 \\
\hline their [2] 9/11 9/13 & 40/25 & 29/18 36/22 36/23 & video [6] 7/23 8/24 & 35/10 39/19 42/10 \\
\hline them [14] 8/17 & those [4] 35/11 & 37/1 37/20 37/22 & 10/22 12/17 42/3 & 43/8 \\
\hline 8/21 8/22 9/4 9/13 & 35/12 36/4 41/23 & 41/11 & 42/7 & weren't [1] 12/12 \\
\hline 9/15 9/21 9/24 11/8 & though [2] 7/4 & TYPEWRITING [1] & virtual [1] 9/23 & what [33] \(6 / 15\) \\
\hline 19/12 33/20 35/13 & 41/4 & 43/8 & visual [1] 42/7 & 10/18 11/25 12/25 \\
\hline 39/18 41/24 & & typ & W & 1816/8 17/6 \\
\hline then [16] 9/23 & & U & 5 & \\
\hline 11/7 11/8 11/8 & & und & [1 & \[
9 / 822 / 823 / 18
\] \\
\hline 12/14 14/17 18/21 & \[
10 / 21 \quad 27 / 11 \quad 27 / 1
\] & und & /11 12/20 22/12 & 26/1 27/23 28/19 \\
\hline 20/5 23/12 24/11 & time [19] 10/24 & understand [7] & 27/25 28/21 29/6 & 28/21 28/23 29/12 \\
\hline 24/22 27/7 30/22
\(36 / 22\) 37/25 38/21 & 11/14 12/13 13/3 & 6/20 8/23 9/1 34/13 & 29/8 29/15 30/1 & 29/15 31/6 31/7 \\
\hline \(36 / 2237 / 25 ~ 38 / 21\)
there [27] 6/19 7/7 & \(\begin{array}{ll}16 / 21 & 18 / 9 \\ \text { 120/4 }\end{array}\) & 37/16 39/24 40/24 & 30/22 31/16 37/9 & 31/19 31/24 37/2 \\
\hline there [27] 6/19 7/7 & 24/13 24/22 25/4 & understanding [3] & 37/10 & 38/15 38/25 39/13 \\
\hline 13/12 14/15 14/19 & 25/11 26/17 31/18 & 7/21 19/2 20/10 & wanting [1] 39/20 & 39/14 41/5 \\
\hline & 36/6 36/15 37/2 & Unfortunately [1] & was [37] 5/19 5/24 & what's [5] 30/16 \\
\hline & 41/12 42/3 43/7 & 11/19 & 7/3 7/7 7/22 12/11 & 31/14 32/6 32/21 \\
\hline 30/11 31/8 31/16 & TIMOTHY [1] 1/18 & University [1] & 15/21 16/24 20/3 & 38/15 \\
\hline 32/6 32/9 & today [8] 6/4 6/16 & 16/16 & 20/24 20/25 21/2 & whatsoever [1] \\
\hline 32/25 35/3 35/8 & 8/20 10/7 10/12 & until [5] 10/16 & 21/3 23/11 23/20 & 21/8 \\
\hline 38/10 39/11 40/16 & 11/13 12/14 16/7 & 11/21 39/23 41/1 & 24/2 24/25 25/13 & when [8] 9/1 12/2 \\
\hline \(42 / 3\) & today's [1] 6/21 & 41/17 & 25/20 27/13 30/10 & 14/22 15/3 16/21 \\
\hline & together [1] 12/14 & up [15] 8/14 11/8 & 30/11 30/11 30/12 & 20/25 22/13 23/9 \\
\hline  & told [1] 10/18 & 11/17 14/16 14/22 & 30/13 30/14 30/23 & where [8] 9/24 \\
\hline \[
29 / 18 \text { 32/13 41/6 }
\] & too [2] 7/1 42/3 & 15/2 15/4 17/21 & 31/6 31/17 32/8 & 11/14 14/10 16/13 \\
\hline & took [2] 5/16 43/5 & 18/8 19/10 19/16 & 32/9 35/3 35/8 37/2 & 16/17 17/22 27/2 \\
\hline 43/7 & top [4] 29/5 31/2 & 29/15 32/17 38/2 & 37/11 40/7 42/9 & 37/16 \\
\hline these [3] 18/1 & 31/11 32/2 & 38/ & wasn't [2] 8/9 & WHEREOF [1] \\
\hline 18/19 27/11 & Traci [3] 28/ & us [17] 7/11 8/15 & & \\
\hline they [6] 9/12 9/15 & 29/5 32/17 & 9/15 11/20 13/17 & way [4] 5/23 9/2 & Whereupon [1] \\
\hline 9/20 18/17 18/18 & track [2] 10 & 16/8 17/21 18/2 & 6 11/1 & \\
\hline 18/19 & 24/25 & 22/3 23/14 23/18 & we [71] & herever [1] 15/2 \\
\hline they'll [1] 9/22 & TRANSCRIBED [1] & 24/12 27/3 27 & we'd [2] 8/20 & hether [2] 21/5 \\
\hline they're [1] 9/11 & &  & &  \\
\hline they've [1] 6/22 & 1/15 43/10 & used [1] 22/22 & 9/15 9/21 9/24 & /1 21/9 21/20 \\
\hline thing [2] 22/8 22/9 & transmission [1] & uses [1] 27/6 & 10/23 11/5 11/14 & 2/3 25/4 26/24 \\
\hline things [3] 25/13 & 42/8 & using [1] 27/13 & 11/22 12/14 12/25 & 32/14 32/25 34/23 \\
\hline \[
\text { 15] } 5
\] & travel [1] 10/12 & V & 12/25 13/3 29/1 & 38/4 \\
\hline 5/19 10/10 10/24 & trial [9] 7/4 39/14 & & 30/25 32/12 38/20 & while [2] 15/16 \\
\hline 14/17 18/8 22/2 & 39/14 40/3 41/2 & Vague [2] 25/24 & 40/10 40/19 & 17/6 \\
\hline 26/10 26/13 37/6 & 41/11 41/11 41/17 & 27 & we're [16] 6/20 & who [4] 9/8 23/20 \\
\hline 38/7 38/11 40/3 & 41/19 & VEGAS [12] \(1 / 12\) & 8/6 9/17 9/19 11/7 & 27/4 33/18 \\
\hline 41/3 & trips [1] 26/14 & 3/9 5/1 19/14 19/17 & 11/13 11/14 12/12 & whole [5] 15/21 \\
\hline thinking & TRO [2] 38/13 & 19/22 21/17 27/15 & 22/1 22/3 34/22 & 22/8 22/9 30/18 \\
\hline this [40] 9/1 9/1 & 38/14 & 28/5 28/24 32/7 & 37/16 38/21 39/21 & 35/8 \\
\hline 9/13 10/1 10/4 10/5 & true [3] 33/7 41/14 & 35/21 & 40/2 41/21 & WIGWAM [1] 2/5 \\
\hline 10/11 10/20 10/21 & 43/10 & very [8] \(11 / 118 / 21\) & we've [1] 37/5 & will [12] 5/14 7/8 \\
\hline 11/15 12/1 12/8 & trusts' [1] 37/23 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 18 / 2423 / 2233 / 1 \\
& 39 / 1840 / 1241 / 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { week [2] } 24 / 23 \\
& 25 / 3
\end{aligned}
\] & 13/22 14/11 28/7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC v.
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline W & you'd [1] 15/10 & \\
\hline will... [7] 28/19 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { you'll [2] 22/2 } \\
& 32 / 17
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline 37/18 37/20 39/9 & you're [9] 12/2 & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
40/5 41/3 42/2 \\
WILIIAMS [1]
\end{tabular} & 15/3 19/2 19/21 & \\
\hline \[
1 / 18
\] & 28/21 28/25 29/3 & \\
\hline Winthrop [1] & 35/3 40/6 & \\
\hline 16/24 & you've [3] 6/16 & \\
\hline wish [1] 7/3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
22/14 26/22 \\
your [27] 5/7 5/17
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline witness [5] \(4 / 2\) & \[
6 / 146 / 176 / 247 / 9
\] & \\
\hline 7/20 11/19 12/21 & 6/14 6/17 6/24 7/9
\(7 / 16\) 9/25 13/5 13/7 & \\
\hline 43/13 & \[
14 / 2515 / 1615 / 24
\] & \\
\hline witnesses [2] 8/19 & 15/24 16/8 16/13 & \\
\hline 10/23 & 16/17 17/17 22/20 & \\
\hline words [1] 18/17 & \(16 / 1717 / 17\) 22/20
\(22 / 24\) 25/2 34/14 & \\
\hline work [5] 20/21 & 22/24 25/2 34/14 & \\
\hline 23/24 25/10 25/20 & \[
36 / 536 / 1036 / 15
\]
\[
36 / 1941 / 21
\] & \\
\hline 34/19 & yourself [1] 22/13 & \\
\hline working [8] 23/13 & yourself [1] 22/13 & \\
\hline 23/18 24/2 24/12 & Z & \\
\hline 24/19 24/23 25/3 & zoomed [1] 14/25 & \\
\hline would [25] 5/25 & & \\
\hline 6/7 7/23 9/12 10/10 & & \\
\hline 11/3 11/8 13/17 & & \\
\hline 13/18 15/16 15/23 & & \\
\hline 16/8 17/5 18/8 & & \\
\hline 19/10 26/25 28/23 & & \\
\hline 29/14 32/25 33/1 & & \\
\hline 33/7 33/8 33/10 & & \\
\hline 37/24 38/5 & & \\
\hline wouldn't [1] 33/8 & & \\
\hline wrote [1] 23/20 & & \\
\hline X & & \\
\hline XVI [1] 1/3 & & \\
\hline Y & & \\
\hline yeah [12] 9/10 & & \\
\hline 10/8 12/8 12/22 & & \\
\hline 32/18 37/5 37/25 & & \\
\hline 38/15 40/16 40/21 & & \\
\hline 41/13 41/24 & & \\
\hline years [7] 17/3 17/5 & & \\
\hline 23/14 23/23 23/25 & & \\
\hline 27/3 34/2 & & \\
\hline yes [27] 5/12 6/9 & & \\
\hline 7/9 7/13 7/25 9/20 & & \\
\hline 12/9 13/8 13/11 & & \\
\hline 14/21 17/15 19/7 & & \\
\hline 19/20 19/23 20/14 & & \\
\hline 22/6 22/21 22/23 & & \\
\hline 23/8 23/17 24/16 & & \\
\hline 27/17 28/17 29/4 & & \\
\hline 29/11 35/6 36/18 & & \\
\hline yesterday [3] 5/16 & & \\
\hline 10/14 20/24 & & \\
\hline you [182] & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
BCO

\section*{DISTRICT COURT}
CLARKCOUNTY, NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC, a )
Nevada Limited Liability Company,
Plaintiff(s), )
-vs-
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability
Company; EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL
EGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President ) and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of ) LAS VEGAS DEVEOPMENT FUND ) LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS ) LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and as Senior Vice President of LAS ) VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC ) and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; ) DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive, ) Defendant(s). \(\qquad\)
BUSINESS COURT ORDER

This Business Court Order ("Order") is entered to reduce the costs of litigation, to assist the parties in resolving their disputes if possible and, if not, to reduce the costs and difficulties of discovery and trial. This case is deemed complex and is automatically exempt from arbitration. This Order may be amended or modified by the Court upon good cause shown, and is made subject to any Orders that have heretofore been entered herein.

\section*{ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:}

\section*{I. Mandatory Rule 16 Conference}
A. Pursuant to NRCP 16, a mandatory case management conference with the Court and counsel/parties in proper person will be held on August 20, 2019 at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 3H of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Department XVI, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 , unless before then the record shows that this case is in the Court-Annexed Arbitration Program.
B. The purpose of this case management conference is to expedite settlement or other appropriate disposition of the case. Counsel/parties in proper person must be prepared to discuss the following:
(1) Status of settlement discussions and a review of possible court assistance;
(2) Alternative dispute resolution, if any, appropriate to this case;
(3) Simplification of issues;
(4) A summary of discovery conducted to date and the nature and timing of all remaining discovery;
(5) Whether the parties believe an Electronic Filing and Service Order should
be entered;
(6) An estimate of the volume of documents and/or electronic information likely to be the subject of discovery in the case from parties and nonparties and whether there are technological means, including, but not limited to, production of electronic images rather than paper documents and any associated protocol, that may render document discovery more manageable at an acceptable cost;
(7) Identification of any and all document retention/destruction policies including electronic data, and whether a demand for presentation of electronic data has been made;
(8) The extent to which electronic discovery may be relevant to the case, to include scope, presentation, collection, review, format, search procedures and privilege;
(9) Whether the appointment of a special master or receiver is necessary and/or may aid in the prompt disposition of this action;
(10) Any special case management procedures appropriate to this case;
(II) Trial setting; and
(12) Other matters as may aid in the prompt disposition of this action.
D. Trial or lead counsel for all parties are required to attend the case management conference unless excused by the Court.
E. Parties desiring a settlement conference shall so notify the Court at the setting.
F. Plaintiff is responsible for serving a copy of this Order upon counsel for all parties who have not formally appeared in this case as of the date of the filing of this order.

\section*{II. Pretrial Motions}
A. Any requests for injunctive relief must be made with notice to the opposing party unless extraordinary circumstances exist. All parties shall advise the Court in writing if there is an agreement to consolidate the trial on the merits with the preliminary injunction hearing pursuant to NRCP 65(a)(2).
B. With the exception of motions in limine (see below), any motions which should be addressed prior to trial - including, without limitation, motions for summary judgment - shall be served, filed and scheduled for hearing as set forth in the applicable Trial Order. Except upon a showing of unforeseen extraordinary circumstances, the Court will not shorten time for the hearing of any such motions.
C. Motions in limine shall be served, filed and scheduled as set forth in the Trial Order. Except upon a showing of unforeseen extraordinary circumstances, the Court will not shorten time for the hearing of any such motions.

\section*{III. Discovery}
A. Discovery disputes in this matter shall be handled by the District Court Judge rather than the Discovery Commissioner.
B. A continuance of trial does not extend the deadline for completing discovery. A request for an extension of the discovery deadline, if needed, must be presented in compliance with EDCR 2.35.
C. A party objecting to a written discovery request must, in the original objection, specifically detail the reasons that support the objection, and include affidavits or other evidence for any factual assertions upon which an objection is based.
D. Documents produced in compliance with NRCP 16.1 or in a response to a written discovery request, must be consecutively Bates stamped or numbered and accompanied by an index with a reasonably specific description of the documents.
E. Any party, whether in compliance with NRCP 16.1 or in a response to a written discovery request not producing all documents in its possession, custody or control, shall:
(1) identify any documents withheld with sufficient particularity to support a Motion to Compel; and
(2) state the basis for refusing to produce the documents(s).
F. If photographs are produced in compliance with NRCP 16.1 or in a response to a written discovery request, the parties are instructed to include one (1) set of color prints (Color laser copies of sufficient clarity are acceptable), accompanied by a front page index, location depicted in the photograph (with reasonable specificity) and the date the photograph was taken. If color laser copies are deposited, any party wishing to view the original photographs shall make a request to do so with the other party.

When a case is settled, counsel for the plaintiff and each unrepresented plaintiff of record shall notify the District Court Judge in writing within twenty-four (24) hours of the settlement and shall advise the Court of the identity of the party or parties who will
prepare and present the judgment, dismissal, or stipulation of dismissal, which shall be presented within twenty (20) days of the notification of settlement.

Failure to comply with any provision of this Order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

DATED: July 23, 2019
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Timothy C. Williams DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT SIXTEEN LAS VEGAS, NY 89155

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I hereby certify that on or about the date filed, a copy of the foregoing BUSINESS COURT ORDER was E-Served, mailed or a copy was placed in the attorney's folder in the Clerk's Office as follows:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
John P. Aldrich & jaldrich@johnaldrichlawfirm.com \\
Traci Bixenmann & traci@johnaldrichlawfirm.com \\
Keith Greer & keith.greer@greerlaw.biz \\
Kathryn Holbert & kholber!@farmercase.com \\
Dianne Lyman & dianne.lyman@greerlaw.biz \\
Court Filings & FNLG-Court-Filings-NV@fnf.com \\
Mia Hurtado & mia.hurtado@fnf.com \\
Marni Watkins & mami.watkins@fnf.com
\end{tabular}



THIS BUSINESS COURT SCHEDUILNG ORDER SETTING TRIAL ("Scheduling
Order') is entered following the Rule 16 conference conducted on August 20, 2019, pursuant to the Business Court Order previously entered herein and NRCP 16, and the Court having discussed with counsel, as appropriate, the subjects referred to in NRCP 16(c);

NOW, THEREFORE, the Court hereby issues this Order pursuant to NRCP 16(e) reciting the action taken at such conference and scheduling trial and incidental dates and discovery and motion deadlines:

\section*{A. PRELIMINARY.}
1. If and when there is agreement among counsel that the case is ripe for a settlement conference with a Business Court judge, counsel are to contact the departmental JEA of this Department for direction in scheduling the same. If there is no such agreement, any effort to obtain such a settlement conference should be made by motion herein.

\section*{B. DISCOVERY AND MOTYON DEADLINES.}
1. All parties shall complete discovery on or before June 5, 2020. The Court will hear any discovery motions. However, in the event it becomes necessary, the Court may request nominations for a stand-by special master for referrals of discovery issues on a motion-by-motion basis.
2. All parties shall file motions to amend pleadings or add parties on or before March 5, 2020.
3. All parties shall make initial expert disclosures pursuant to NRCP 16.1(a)(2) on or before March 5, 2020 .
4. All parties shall make rebuttal expert disclosures pursuant to NRCP 16.1(a)(2) on or before April 6, 2020.
5. All parties shall file dispositive motions on or before July 6, 2020.
6. Counsel/parties in proper person are also directed to abide by EDCR 2.47 concerning the time for filing and noticing motions in limine. Except upon a showing of unforeseen extraordinary circumstances, the Court will not shorten time for the hearing of any such motions.

\section*{C. TRIAL AND INCIDENTAL DATES AND OBLIGATIONS.}
1. A jury trial of the above-entitled case is set on a five week stack to begin, \(\mathbf{5}^{\mathbf{t h}}\) day of October, 2020 at 9:30 a.m.
2. A pre-trial/calendar call will be held on September 17, 2020 at 10:30 a.m.
3. A status check re Trial Readiness is scheduled to be held on June 17, 2020 at

\section*{9:00 am.}
4. The Pre-Trial Memorandum must be filed no later than October 2, 2020, with a courtesy copy delivered to Department XVI. All parties, (Attorneys and parties in proper person) MUST comply with All REQUIREMENTS of EDCR 2.67, 2.68 and 2.69. Counsel should include in the Memorandum an identification of orders on all motions in limine or motions for partial summary judgment previously made, a summary of any anticipated legal issues remaining, a brief summary of the opinions to be offered by any witness to be called to offer opinion testimony as well as any objections to the opinion testimony.
5. All original depositions anticipated to be used in any manner during the trial must be delivered to the clerk prior to the firm trial date given at pre-trial/calendar call. If deposition testimony is anticipated to be used in lieu of live testimony, a designation (by page/line citation) of the portions of the testimony to be offered must be filed and served by facsimile or hand, two (2) judicial days prior to the firm trial date given at the pre-trial/calendar
call. Any objections or counter-designations (by page/line citation) of testimony must be filed and served by facsimile or hand, one (1) judicial day prior to the firm trial date given at the pretrial/calendar call. Counsel shall advise the clerk prior to publication.
6. In accordance with EDCR 2.67, counsel shall meet, review, and discuss exhibits. All exhibits must comply with EDCR 2.27. Two (2) sets must be three-hole punched and placed in three ring binders along with the exhibit list. The sets must be delivered to the clerk prior to the firm trial date given at the pre-trial/calendar call. Any demonstrative exhibits including exemplars anticipated to be used must be disclosed prior to the calendar call. Pursuant to EDCR 2.68, counsel shall be prepared to stipulate or make specific objections to individual proposed exhibits. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, demonstrative exhibits are marked for identification but not admitted into evidence.
7. In accordance with EDCR 2.67, counsel shall meet, review, and discuss items to be included in the Jury Notebook. Pursuant to EDCR 2.68, counsel shall be prepared to stipulate or make specific objections to items to be included in the Jury Notebook.
8. In accordance with EDCR 2.67, counsel shall meet and discuss preinstructions to the jury, jury instructions, special interrogatories, if requested, and verdict forms. Each side shall provide the Court an agreed set of jury instructions and proposed form of verdict along with any additional proposed jury instructions with an electronic copy in Word format.

Failure of the designated trial attorney or any party appearing in proper person to appear for any court appearances or to comply with this Order shall result in any of the following: (1) dismissal of the action (2) default judgment; (3) monetary sanctions; (4) vacation of trial date; and/or any other appropriate remedy or sanction.

Counsel is asked to notify the Court Reporter at least two (2) weeks in advance if they are going to require daily copies of the transcripts of this trial or real time court reporting. Failure to do so may result in a delay in the production of the transcripts or the availability of real time court reporting.

Counsel is required to advise the Court immediately when the case settles or is otherwise resolved prior to trial. A stipulation which terminates a case by dismissal shall also indicate whether a Scheduling Order has been filed and, if a trial date has been set, the date of that trial. A copy should be given to Chambers.

DATED this \(20^{\text {th }}\) day of August, 2019.


\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I hereby certify that on or about the date signed, I served a true and correct copy upon the parties by electronic transmission through the Eighth Judicial District Court EFiling System in accordance with the mandatory electronic service requirements of Administrative Order 14-2 and the Nevada Electronic Filing and Conversation Rules.


NTC
ANTHONY T. CASE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6589
tcase@farmercase.com
KATHRYN HOLBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
kholbert(f)farmercase.com
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) 579-3900
Facsimile: (702) 739-3001
C. KEITH GREER, ESQ.

Cal. Bar. No. 135537 (Pro Hac Vice)
Keith.greer@greerlaw.biz
GREER \& ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.
16855 W. Bernardo Dr., Suite \#255
San Diego, California 92128
Telephone: (858) 613-6677
Facsimile: (858) 613-6680
Attorneys for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC.
EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER, LLC, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS, LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC., a )
Nevada Limited Liability Company, ) CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B
Plaintiff, ) DEPT NO.: XVI
v.

LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, ) a Nevada Limited Liability Company, EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Company, EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO

LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and )
as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS )
DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 ,
IMPACT ADVISORS LLD; CHICAGO )
TITLE COMPANY, a California corporation; ) DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE
CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,
Defendants.
and related Cross-Claims.

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS COUNTER CLAIM}

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on the 13th day of September, 2019, an Order Granting in Party and Denying in Party Counter Defendants Motion to Dismiss Counter Claim was entered on the Court docket regarding the above referenced case.

A copy of said Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
DATED this day of September, 2019.

FARMER CASE \& FEDOR


KATHRYN HOLBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) 579-3900
kholbert@farmercase.com
Attorney for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND
LC., EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL
CENTER, LLD, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS,
LC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON
FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

Front Sight Management LLC v. Las Vegas Development Fund LLC, et al,, Case No.: A-18-781084-B Dept. No.: XVI
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS COUNTER CLAIM Page 2 of 3

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE and/or MAILING}

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Farmer Case \& Fedor, and that on this date, I caused true and correct copies of the following documents):

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS COUNTER CLAIM}
to be served on the following individuals/entities, in the following manner,
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
1601 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 160
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
By:
- ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Said documents) was served electronically upon all eligible electronic recipients pursuant to the electronic filing and service order of the Court (NECRF 9).
- U.S. MAIL: I deposited a true and correct copy of said documents) in a sealed, postage prepaid envelope, in the United States Mail, to those parties and/or above named individuals which were not on the Court's electronic service list.

FACSIMILE: I caused said documents) to be transmitted by facsimile transmission. The sending facsimile machine properly issued a transmission report confirming that the transmission was complete and without error.
Dated: September \(13 \frac{2}{2}, 2019\)


Ant Employee of FARMER CASE \& FEDOR

Front Sight Management LLC v. Las Vegas Development Fund LLC. et al.. Case No.: A-18-781084-B Dept. No.: XVI NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS COUNTER CLAIM Page 3 of 3

\section*{EXHIBIT A}

\section*{EXHIBIT A}

ORDR
ANTHONY T. CASE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6589
tcase@farmercase.com
KATHRYN HOLBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
kholbert@farmercase.com
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) 579-3900
Facsimile: (702) 739-3001
C. KEITH GREER, ESQ.

Cal. Bar. No. 135537 (Pro Hac Vice)
Keith.greer@greerlaw.biz
GREER \& ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.
16855 W. Bernardo Dr., Suite 255
San Diego, California 92127
Telephone: (858) 613-6677
Facsimile: (858) 613-6680
Attorneys for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC.
EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER, LLC, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS, LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC., a )
Nevada Limited Liability Company,
CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B
v.

LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, Nevada Limited Liability Company, EBJ IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Company, EB5 Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT
FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS

DEPT NO.: XVI
ORDER GRANTING IN PART
AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS, MOTIONS TO DISMISS COUNTER CLAIM

Hearing Time: 10:30 a.m.
)

\footnotetext{
Hearing Date: August 20, 2019
}

Front Sight Management LLC v. Las Vegas Development Fund LLC. et al,, Case No.: A-18-781084-B Dept. No.: XVI ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS MOTIONS TO DISMIS COUNTER CLAIM
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LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and ) as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS ) DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY, a California corporation; ) DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.
and related Cross-Claims.

\section*{ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART COUNTER DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS COUNTER CLAIM}

This matter having come before the Court on August 20, 2019 at 10:30 a.m. on Counter Defendants' Front Sight Management, LLC; Ignatius Piazza, individually and as Trustee of and/or beneficiary of the VNV Dynasty Trusts I and II; Jennifer Piazza, individually and as Trustee of and/or beneficiary of the VNV Dynasty Trusts I and II and the VNV Dynasty Trusts I and II's Motions to Dismiss Defendants' Counter Claim; John Aldrich, Esq. with Aldrich Law Firm, Ltd., appearing in person on behalf of Plaintiff and Counter Defendants; Keith Greer, Esq. with Greer and Associates and Kathryn Holbert, Esq. with Farmer Case and Fedor appearing in person on behalf of Defendants and Counter Claimants and Mr. Robert Dziubla also personally appearing for himself and on behalf the entity Defendants and Counter Claimants; the Court having reviewed the pleading and having heard argument and stipulations by counsel and good causc appearing therefore, hereby finds as follows:
1. Counter Claimants have agreed to voluntarily dismiss their First Cause of Action, Breach of Contract and their Second Cause of Action, Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing as against all Counter Defendants.
//I
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2. That as Counter Claimants have not alleged that Counter Defendant Jennifer Piazza has any ownership interest in the subject real property, Counter Claimants claim for waste as against Jennifer Piazza fails as a matter of law.

Based upon the above findings of fact and for good cause appearing therefore,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Cross Claimants First Cause of Action, Breach of Contract and Counter Claimants Second Cause of Action, Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing shall be and is hereby dismissed as against all Counter Defendants.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Counter Claimants' Seventh Cause of Action for Waste shall be and is hereby dismissed as against Counter Defendant Jennifer Piazza only.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Counter Defendants' Motions to Dismiss are denied as to all other causes of actions against all other Counter Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this \(\angle 2^{\boxed{ }}\) day of September, 2019.


Respectfully submitted by:
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR


Kathryn Holbert, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Tel: (702) 579-3900
Fax: (702) 739-3001
Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS
DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, EB5 IMPACT
CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, EB5
IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W.
DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
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NTC
ANTHONY T. CASE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6589
tcase@farmercase.com
KATHRYN HOLBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
kholbert@farmercase.com
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) 579-3900
Facsimile: (702) 739-3001
C. KEITH GREER, ESQ.

Cal. Bar. No. 135537 (Pro Hac Vice)
Keith.greer@greerlaw.biz
GREER \& ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.
16855 W. Bernardo Dr., Suite \#255
San Diego, California 92128
Telephone: (858) 613-6677
Facsimile: (858) 613-6680
Attorneys for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC.
EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER, LLC, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS, LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC., a )
Nevada Limited Liability Company,
CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ODER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
RELATED TO INVESTOR FUNDS AND INTEREST PAYMENTS

LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and ) as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS ) DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5
IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; CHICAGO
TITLE COMPANY, a California corporation; ) DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE
CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,
Defendants.
and related Cross-Claims.

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ODER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION RELATED TO INVESTOR FUNDS AND INTEREST PAYMENTS}

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on the 13th day of September, 2019, an Order regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction Related to Investor Funds and Interest Payments was entered on the Court docket regarding the above referenced case.

A copy of said Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
DATED this \(/ 3^{\text {th }}\) day of September, 2019.

FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
KATHRYN HOLBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar, No. 10084
2190E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) \(579-3900\)
kholbert@farmercase.com
Attorney for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND
LLC., EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL
CENTER, LLC, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS,
LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON
FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
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\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE and/or MAILING}

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Farmer Case \& Fedor, and that on this date, I caused true and correct copies of the following documents):

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ODER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION RELATED TO INVESTOR FUNDS AND INTEREST PAYMENTS} to be served on the following individuals/entities, in the following manner,

John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
1601 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 160
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
Attorneys for Plaintiff
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC

By:
- ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Said documents) was served electronically upon all eligible electronic recipients pursuant to the electronic filing and service order of the Court (NECRF 9).
\(\square\) U.S. MAIL: I deposited a true and correct copy of said documents) in a sealed, postage prepaid envelope, in the United States Mail, to those parties and/or above named individuals which were not on the Court's electronic service list.

FACSIMILE: I caused said document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile transmission. The sending facsimile machine properly issued a transmission report confirming that the transmission was complete and without error.
Dated: September \(\mathbb{B L}_{2}^{2019}\)
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\section*{EXHIBIT A}

\section*{EXHIBIT A}

ORDR
ANTHONY T. CASE, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6589
tcase@farmercase.com
KATHR YN HOLBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
kholbert \(a\) farmercase.com
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) 579-3900
Facsimile: (702) 739-3001
C. KEITH GREER, ESQ.

Cal. Bar. No. 135537 (Pro Hac Vice)
Keith.greer@greerlaw.biz
GREER \& ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.
16855 W. Bernardo Dr., Suite 255
San Diego, California 92127
Telephone: (858) 613-6677
Facsimile: (858) 613-6680
Attorneys for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC.
EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER, LLC, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS, LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC., a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,
v.

LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Company, EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS
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LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and ) as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY, a California corporation; DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants
and related Cross-Claims.

\section*{ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFE'S MOTION FOR TEMIPORARY}

\section*{RESTRAINING ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION RELATED TO INVESTOR FUNDS AND INTEREST PAYMENTS}

This matter having come before the Court on August 20, 2019 at 10:30 a.m. on Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction related to Investor Funds and Interest Payments; John Aldrich, Esq. with Aldrich Law Firm, Ltd., appearing in person on behalf of Plaintiff; Keith Greer, Esq. with Greer and Associates and Kathryn Holbert, Esq. with Farmer Case and Fedor appearing in person on behalf of Defendants and Mr. Robert Dziubla also personally appearing for himself and on behalf the entity Defendants; the Court having reviewed the pleading and having heard argument by counsel and good cause appearing therefore, and pursuant to the findings of facts as were set forth on the record;

III
/II
/II
//I
(II
//I
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IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction related to Investor Funds and Interest Payments is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this \(12^{t}\) day of September, 2019.


DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
A-18-781084-B
Dept 16
Approved as to form and content:
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.


Jofin P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Tel: (702) 853-5490
Fax: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff FRONT SIGHT
MANAGEMENT LLC IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
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Nevada Bar No. 6589
tcase@farmercase.com
KATHRYN HOLBERT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
kholbert@farmercase.com
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) 579-3900
Facsimile: (702) 739-3001
C. KEITH GREER, ESQ.

Cal. Bar. No. 135537 (Pro Hac Vice)
Keith.greer@greerlaw.biz
GREER \& ASSOCIATES, A.P.C.
16855 W. Bernardo Dr., Suite \#255
San Diego, California 92128
Telephone: (858) 613-6677
Facsimile: (858) 613-6680
Attorneys for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC.
EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER, LLC, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS, LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

\section*{CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA}

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC., a )
Nevada Limited Liability Company,
Plaintiff,
v.

LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, ) a Nevada Limited Liability Company, EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Company, EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS

CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B
DEPT NO.: XVI
)

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER}

STAYING ALL SUBPOENAS FOR DOCUMENTS AND DEPOSITIONS WHICH WERE SERVED ON NON-PARTIES BY PLAINTIFF
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PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on the 13th day of September, 2019, an Order Staying all Subpoenas for Documents and Depositions which were served on Non-Parties by Plaintiff was entered on the Court docket regarding the above referenced case.

A copy of said Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
DATED this \(z^{t h}\) day of September, 2019.
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR


Nevada Bar No. 10084
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Telephone: (702) 579-3900
kholbert@farmercase.com
Attorney for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND
LLD., EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL
CENTER, LLC, EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS,
LDC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON
FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE and/or MAILING}

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Farmer Case \& Fedor, and that on this date, I caused true and correct copies of the following documents):

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER STAYING ALL SUBPOENAS FOR DOCUMENTS AND DEPOSITIONS WHICH WERE SERVED ON NON-PARTIES BY PLAINTIFF}
to be served on the following individuals/entities, in the following manner,
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD. 1601 S. Rainbow Blvd., Suite 160
Las Vegas, Nevada 89146
By:
- ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Said documents) was served electronically upon all eligible electronic recipients pursuant to the electronic filing and service order of the Court (NECRF 9).
- U.S. MAIL: I deposited a true and correct copy of said documents) in a sealed, postage prepaid envelope, in the United States Mail, to those parties and/or above named individuals which were not on the Court's electronic service list.

FACSIMILE: I caused said document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile transmission. The sending facsimile machine properly issued a transmission report confirming that the transmission was complete and without error.
Dated: September 3 , 2019


An Employee of FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
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2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
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Facsimile: (702) 739-3001
C. KEITH GREER, ESQ.
Cal. Bar. No. 135537 (Pro Hac Vice)
Keith.greer@greerlaw.biz
GREER \& ASSOCLATES, A.P.C.
16855 W. Bernardo Dr., Suite 255
San Diego, California 92127
Telephone: (858) 613-6677
Facsimile: (858) 613-6680
Attorneys for Defendants
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC.
EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER, LLC,
EB6 IMPACT ADVISORS, LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA,
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC., a
Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,
    v. Plaintiff,
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, )
a Nevada Limited Liability Company, EB5
IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER
SUBPOENAS FOR DOCUMENTS
LLC, a Nevada Limited Company, EB5
AND DEPOSITIONS WHICH
IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada
    WERE SERVED ON NON-
Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W.
DZIUBLA, individually and as President and
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LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and ) as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY, a California corporation; DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS \(1-10\), inclusive,

Defendants.

\section*{ORDER STAYING ALL SUBPOENAS FOR DOCUMENTS AND DEPOSITIONS WHICH WERE SERVED ON NON-PARTIES BY PLAINTIFF}

This matter having come before the Court on September 3, 2019 at 3:00 p.m. on Plaintiff's Motion to Reschedule the hearing set for September 5, 2019 regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction regarding foreclosure and Defendants Motions to Quash numerous subpoenas for document and deposition which Plaintiff served upon non-parties.; John Aldrich, Esq. with Aldrich Law Firm, Ltd., appearing telephonically on behalf of Plaintiff; Keith Greer, Esq. with Greer and Associates and Kathryn Holbert, Esq. with Farmer Case and Fedor, appearing telephonically on behalf of Defendants, and Mr. Robert Dziubla also appearing telephonically for himself and on behalf the entity Defendants; the Court having reviewed Plaintiff's request to continue the September 5, 2019 hearing, and the Court having considered Defendants' oral request to stay all subpoenas issued to third parties, and good cause appearing therefore, hereby finds as follows:
1. That Plaintiff's counsel's personal circumstances constitute good cause to continue the hearing set for September 5, 2019.
2. That because the new hearing date of September 20,2019 is after the date for production regarding at least some of Plaintiff's subpoenas to non-parties, it is in the best interests of faimess and justice to stay production by all non-parties, specifically to include

Front Sight Management LLC v. Las Vegas Development Fund LLC, et ali, Case No.: A-18-781084-B Dept. No.: XVI
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David C. Keller, Jay Carter, Empyrean West, Sean Flynn, Wells Fargo Bank, Bank of Hope, Open Bank, and Signature Bank pursuant to the subpoenas and/or any amended subpoenas issued by Plaintiff until after the Court has an opportunity to hear and decide Defendants' motions to quash and/or motions for protective orders, the hearing on which has now been continued to September 20, 2019 at 9:15 a.m.

Based upon the above findings of fact and for good cause appearing therefore,
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motions to Quash and/or Motions for Protective Order which were previously set for hearing on September 5, 2019 at 9:30 a.m. shall be continued to September 20, 2019 at 9:15 a.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that production of any documents by any non-party, specifically to include David C. Keller, Jay Carter, Empyrean West, Sean Flynn, Wells Fargo Bank, Bank of Hope, Open Bank, and Signature Bank to Plaintiff and/or Plaintiff's counsel is hereby prohibited and stayed until further order of this Court.
//I

III
/II
//I
//I
I/I
III
//I
//I
//I
Front Sight Management LLC v. Las Vegas Development Fund LLC. et al, Case No.: A-18-781084-B Dept. No.: XVI
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IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any deposition of any non-party, specifically to include David C. Keller, Jay Carter, Jay Carter on behalf of Empyrean West or Sean Flynn is hereby prohibited and stayed until further order of this Court.

\section*{IT IS SO ORDERED.}

DATED this \(12^{-4}\) day of Augtrst, 2019.


Approved as to form and content:
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.


John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Wevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Tel: (702) 853-5490
Fax: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff FRONT SIGHT
MANAGEMENT LLC

DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

Front Sight Management LLC v. Las Vegas Development Fund LLC, et al,, Case No.: A-18-781084-B Dept. No.: XVI
ORDER STAYING ALL SUBPOENAS FOR DOCUMENTS AND DEPOSITIONS WHICH WERE SERVED ON NON-PARTIES BY PLAINTIFF Page 4 of 4

MOT
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
Matthew B. Beckstead, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14168
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89117
Telephone: (702) 853-5490
Facsimile: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\title{
EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
}

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

> Plaintiff,
vs.

LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; et al.,

Defendants.

AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

COMES NOW Plaintiff FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "Front Sight"), by and through its attorneys, John P. Aldrich, Esq., Catherine Hernandez, Esq., and Matthew B. Beckstead, Esq., of the Aldrich Law Firm, Ltd., and hereby moves the Court for an order of sanctions against Defendant EB5 Impact Advisors LLC and its officers and members (collectively "EB5IA") for Defendant EB5IA's violation of the Court's Order to produce a full accounting and failure to produce a full accounting pursuant to this Court's Order, and for Defendants' EB5IA and Dziubla's intentional spoliation of key evidence in this case.

Defendants EB5IA and Dziubla intentionally discarded receipts, invoices, and other records normally retained in the ordinary course of business for accounting purposes. That evidence is relevant to this litigation, but in an intentional act to destroy evidence, Defendant Robert Dziubla, the CEO of Defendant EB5IA and a California-licensed attorney, threw out what Plaintiff believes to be hundreds if not thousands of pages of documents that are relevant to this matter. Therefore, the Court should strike EB5IA's Answer or, in the alternative, give an adverse inference instruction that the records EB5IA should have retained and produced would support Front Sight's claims of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conversion, breach of contract, and civil conspiracy. In addition, the Court should sanction EB5IA in an amount equal to the amount of money Defendant EB5IA took from Plaintiff that Defendant EB5IA cannot prove was used properly to market the Front Sight project.

Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions is made and based on the attached memorandum of points and authorities and supporting documentation, the papers and pleadings on file in this action, and any oral argument this Court may allow.

DATED this \(17^{\text {th }}\) day of September, 2019.

\section*{ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.}
/s/ John P. Aldrich
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
Matthew B. Beckstead, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 14168
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Telephone: (702) 853-5490
Facsimile: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendants

\section*{MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES}

\section*{I.}

\section*{STATEMENT OF FACTS}

The Court is well aware of the facts and the various claims and counterclaims asserted in this case. Consequently, Plaintiff will not set forth those allegations in detail here.

On November 26, 2018, the Court ordered EB5IA to "provide Plaintiff with an accounting of all funds it has received from Front Sight. Said accounting must include all money received from Plaintiff by EB5 Impact Advisors LLC, how all funds were spent, identification of who received any portion of the funds, and any and all documentation to support payments made or funds spent." (See Notice of Entry of Order on Plaintiff's Petition for Appointment of Receiver and for an Accounting filed on November 27, 2018 attached hereto as Exhibit 1.)

On January 4, 2019, Plaintiff filed its Second Amended Complaint setting forth causes of action for: (1) Fraud/Intentional Misrepresentation/Concealment; (2) Breach of Fiduciary Duty; (3) Conversion; (4) Civil Conspiracy; (5) Breach of Contract; (6) Contractual Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; (7) Tortious Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; (8) Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage; (9) Unjust Enrichment; (10) Negligent Misrepresentation; (11) Negligence; and (12) Alter Ego.

On January 18, 2019, after Defendant EB5IA failed to comply with the Court's Order, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Compel and for Sanctions. On April 10, 2019, the Court again ordered EB5IA to "provide Plaintiff with an accounting of all funds it has received from Front Sight. Said accounting must include all money received from Plaintiff by EB5 Impact Advisors LLC, how all funds were spent, identification of who received any portion of the funds, and any and all documentation to support payments made or funds spent." (See Notice of Entry of Order on

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel and for Sanctions filed on April 10, 2019 attached hereto as

\section*{Exhibit 2.)}

In an alleged attempt to comply with this Court's Order, EB5IA produced an "Updated
Declaration of Robert W. Dziubla Re - Accounting" dated April 3, 2019, and certain documents attached as Exhibits A-D. (See Evid. Hrg. Exhibit 46.) The exhibits include: (A) an alleged copy of the Budget and Timeline that was attached to the engagement letter dated February 14, 2013; (B) an alleged copy of EB5IA's QuickBooks transaction ledger showing over \$300,000.00 in payments received from Front Sight for the period February 2013 through March 2018; (C) an alleged copy of EB5IA's QuickBooks transaction ledger showing expenses in excess of payments received from Front Sight from February 2013 through August 2018; and (D) an alleged copy of EB5IA's QuickBooks transaction ledger showing contributions from EB5 Impact Capital Regional Center LLC from 2013 through 2017.

On June 3, 2019, the Court commenced an evidentiary hearing related to Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Regarding EB5IA's financial records, Dziubla testified:

\section*{Q. And did you keep records such as receipts and invoices related to the expenditures of EB-5IA?}
A. We had credit card statements, and we kept them for a while. And then we tossed them a few years -- you know, later on after time had passed simply because time had passed and we had bank statements, credit card statements, checks, and, you know, our QuickBooks ledger.
Q. So you're telling me that you tossed the underlying records?
A. Many times we didn't even have the records. We had the bank statements. We had debit cards. We didn't have credit cards. So generally speaking, we put it through the debit card and it showed up on the bank statement.
Q. And so you didn't keep the receipt related to the expenses that would show up on the bank statement?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever keep any receipts for the expenses that would show up on the bank statements?
A. Some of them, yes. If they came -- if we were paying with checks, we would often keep the invoices.
Q. Did you file taxes for EB-5IA every year?
A. I'm not sure if -- I think we did, but I'm not sure if my accountants rolled it up into the upstream entities or not. I'd have to look.
Q. And you didn't have to provide receipts and invoices to your accountant so you could do taxes?
A. We gave them what we had and gave them the bank statements and the credit cards statements.
Q. Have you disposed of any receipts, invoices, or underlying documentation for expenses from EB-5IA since it was dissolved?
A. No.
Q. You're aware that in this litigation plaintiff brought a motion to compel an accounting, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that motion was granted, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you, through your counsel, have provided documents to plaintiff, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you provided every document that you have that relates to that order compelling the accounting?
A. Yes.
(See June 3, 2019 Evid. Hrg. Tr., p. 48, 1. 12 - p. 50, 1. 6.) (Emphasis added).
Moreover, Nye County recently filed criminal charges against Defendants Dziubla and
Fleming in connection with the misrepresentations made by Defendants to Front Sight.

\section*{II.}

\section*{LEGAL ARGUMENT}

\section*{A. SANCTIONS AGAINST EB5IA ARE APPROPRIATE FOR ITS SPOLIATION OF EVIDENCE BY DISPOSING OF DOCUMENTS HIGHLY RELEVANT TO MATERIAL ISSUES IN THIS CASE}

Sanctions are within the power of the district court and will not be reversed absent an abuse of discretion. GNLV Corp. v. Serv. Control Corp., 111 Nev. 866, 869, 900 P.2d 323, 325 (1995). An adverse inference is appropriate when evidence is lost or destroyed through negligence. Bass-Davis v. Davis, 122 Nev. 442, 448-49, 134 P.3d 103, 106-07 (2006).

The Court ordered EB5IA produce an accounting of: (1) all money received from Front Sight; (2) how all funds were spent; and (3) identification of who received any portion of the
funds. The Court also ordered EB5IA produce "any and all documentation to support payments made or funds spent."

Dziubla testified that he approved EB5IA's expenditures and he produced every document he had related to this Court's order compelling EB5IA produce a full accounting. Dziubla testified he would often keep invoices if he paid by check, but did not keep receipts related to expenses that would show up on EB5IA's bank statements. Front Sight's counsel asked Dziubla: "did you keep records such as receipts and invoices related to the expenditures of EB-5IA?" Dziubla answered: "We had credit card statements, and we kept them for a while. And then we tossed them a few years -- you know, later on after time had passed simply because time had passed and we had bank statements, credit card statements, checks, and, you know, our QuickBooks ledger." (See June 3, 2019 Evid. Hrg. Tr., p. 47, 1. 25 - p. 50, 1. 6.) (Emphasis added).

When asked if he had discarded any records related to EB5IC, Dziubla responded: "I don't think so, but I can't say definitively." Similarly, when asked whether he had discarded any receipts or invoices related to LVDF's expenditures, Dziubla answered: "Not that I remember." Id. at p. 50, ls. 23-25; p. 51, 1. 1; p. 56, ls. 4-7. Dziubla does not think, or cannot remember whether, he discarded receipts and invoices related to EB5IC's expenses or LVDF's expenses. Although the Court has not yet ordered Dziubla to produce a full accounting for EB5IC or LVDF, the Court ordered a full accounting from EB5IA. However, Dziubla admittedly and conveniently "tossed" relevant documentation related to Defendant EB5IA.

Front Sight's causes of action include fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conversion, breach of contract, and civil conspiracy. EB5IA's production of the ordered documentation is crucial to Front Sight's prosecution of these claims. However, EB5IA asserts it cannot comply because it did not retain the documents necessary to "support payments made or funds spent."

Dziubla testified at the evidentiary hearing that from approximately the end of 2017 until he dissolved Defendant EB5IA without notice to Front Sight, he did not market Front Sight's project. (See June 3, 2019 Evid. Hrg. Tr., p. 27, 1. \(10-\) p. 28, 1. 8; p. 32, 1s. 4-15.) However, pursuant to the Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Ignatius Piazza in Support of Plaintiff's Renewed Motion for an Accounting Related to Defendant Las Vegas Development Fund LLC and for Release of Funds filed on November 13, 2018, the redacted wire and bank transfers show that Front Sight paid Dziubla \(\$ 140,000.00\) in "marketing payments" intended for Defendant EB5IA to use in marketing Front Sight's project during 2018. (See Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Ignatius Piazza attached as Exhibit 3.)

It is normal business practice to retain receipts, invoices and statements to track and memorialize expenditures for accounting and tax purposes. However, Dziubla, an attorney who knows better than to destroy evidence, "tossed" records highly relevant to material issues in this case. Therefore, sanctions against EB5IA are appropriate because it has not and cannot comply with this Court's order because it failed to retain documentation kept in the ordinary course of business.

\section*{B. IN NEVADA, SANCTIONS ARE APPROPRIATE WHEN A PARTY LOSES OR DESTROYS EVIDENCE.}

In Bass-Davis, 122 Nev. 442, 134 P.3d 103 (2006), the plaintiff slipped and fell in the defendant's convenience store. The plaintiff requested a copy of the video tape to no avail. During discovery, the plaintiff learned the defendant sent the tape to the company's main office which had forwarded it to its insurer, where it was lost. The district court denied the plaintiff's request for an adverse inference against the defendant. The jury returned a verdict in the defendant's favor. \(I d\).

The Nevada Supreme Court found the district court abused its discretion by either refusing to grant the plaintiff's request for an adverse inference that the lost video tape would have been unfavorable to the defendant or to impose other appropriate sanctions for the lost evidence. Based on its finding of abuse, the Court reversed the judgment and remanded for a new trial consistent with its findings. Id.

In Fire Ins. Exchange v. Zenith Radio Corp., 103 Nev. 648, 747 P.2d 911 (1987), the plaintiff hired an expert to investigate the cause of the fire that destroyed its insured's home. The expert opined faulty wiring in a television manufactured by the defendant caused the fire. After the investigation, the plaintiff removed and disposed of the debris, including the television.

Over two years later, the plaintiff sued the television manufacturer. The television manufacturer requested production of the television, but plaintiff did not produce it. The district court ordered the plaintiff produce the television, however, the plaintiff did not (and could not) comply with the order. Id.

Subsequently, the defendant television manufacturer moved for sanctions under NRCP 37 or, in the alternative, the exclusion of the plaintiff's expert's testimony and summary judgment. The district court ordered exclusion of the plaintiff's expert's testimony. Because the plaintiff admitted it could not support a prima facie case against the defendant without its expert's testimony, the district court granted summary judgment in the defendant's favor. Id.

On appeal, the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed the district court's decision because the district court did not abuse its discretion in excluding the plaintiff's expert's testimony. The Court stated: "It would be unreasonable to allow litigants, by destroying physical evidence prior to a request for production, to sidestep the district court's power to enforce the rules of discovery." Id.

\section*{C. EB5IA INTENTIONALLY DISCARDED CRITICAL DOCUMENTS KEPT IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS FOR ACCOUNTING AND RECORD KEEPING PURPOSES.}

Here, Dziubla, as CEO of Defendant EB5IA, admitted that he and EB5IA had "tossed" receipts, credit card statements and other such financial and accounting records. (See June 3, 2019 Evid. Hrg. Tr., p. 48, ls. 12-19.) Dziubla admitted EB5IA did not retain receipts for expenditures paid by a debit card that would show up on a bank statement but would keep invoices paid by check. \(I d\). at p. 48, 1. 22 - p. 49, 1. 8.

Like Fire Ins. Exchange, where the court excluded a party's expert's testimony based on evidence the party controlled and destroyed, EB5IA cannot defend this case on summary QuickBooks ledgers when it failed to retain and produce the documents the QuickBooks ledgers are based. Consequently, the Court should strike EB5IA's Answer.

\section*{D. EB5IA'S INTENTIONAL SPOLIATION OF CRITICAL DOCUMENTS HIGHLY RELEVANT TO MATERIAL ISSUES IN THIS CASE WARRANTS STRIKING EB5IA'S ANSWER}

Young v. Johnny Ribiero, 106 Nev. 88, 93, 787 P.2d 777, 780 (1990), sets forth eight factors to consider in determining whether a sanction such as striking a party's answer is appropriate. Under the factors outlined in Young, it is appropriate to strike EB5IA's Answer.

\section*{1. The Willfulness of the Offending Party}

This factor strongly supports striking EB5IA's Answer and Counterclaim because EB5IA intentionally "tossed" documents normally kept in the ordinary course of business. Moreover, Dziubla is an attorney who knows it is unlawful to intentionally destroy evidence, and Dziubla knew the documents he "tossed" were highly relevant. The only reason a person knowing the law, like Dziubla, would intentionally discard documents such as receipts, invoices and statements is to hide his unlawful conduct.

\section*{2. The Extent to Which the Non-Offending Party Would be Prejudiced by a Lesser Sanction}

Dziubla intentionally discarded EB5IA's records that should have been kept in the ordinary course of business. Although it remains to be seen if Dziubla was telling the truth, he stated he did not know if he discarded similar EB5IC or LVDF documents. (See June 3, 2019 Evid. Hrg. Tr., p. 50, 1. 19 - p. 52, 1. 9; p. 56, ls. 4-7.) The discarded documents were the only known copies of documents that could justify EB5IA's expenditure of Front Sight's funds and are crucial to the prosecution of Front Sight's claims. Because the Court found these records relevant to show how EB5IA spent Front Sight's money, it ordered their production.
"[F]ailure to comply with court orders mandating discovery 'is sufficient prejudice."" Foster v. Dingwall, 126 Nev. 56, 66, 227 P.3d 1042, 1049 (2010) (citing In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products, 460 F.3d 1217, 1236 (9th Cir. 2006)). Therefore, the Court must find Front Sight suffered prejudice because EB5IA failed to comply with this Court's order to, among other things, produce "any and all documentation to support payments made or funds spent." Any lesser sanction would reward Dziubla's conduct while hurting Front Sight's ability to prove its case. Therefore, EB5IA's Answer should be stricken as a sanction for its wrongful conduct.

\section*{3. The Severity of Striking the Party's Answer Relative to the Severity of the Discovery Abuse}

EB5IA's summary QuickBooks ledgers give some indication of Dziubla's deceitful practices; the "tossed" documents would have been a watershed of evidence against EB5IA's business practices and that it spent Front Sight's money for purposes other than intended. Dziubla is a lawyer. It makes sense that Dziubla "tossed" the subject documents because he knew they were highly damaging to himself and Defendant EB5IA. Striking EB5IA's Answer
and Counterclaim would be a slap on the hand compared to the civil and criminal consequences if the subject documents had come to light.

\section*{4. Whether the Evidence Has Been Irreparably Lost}

Dziubla testified he "tossed" the documents this Court ordered to be produced; they are gone forever. Thus, this factor strongly supports striking Defendant EB5IA's Answer.

\section*{5. The Feasibility and Fairness of Alternative Less Severe Sanctions}

Dziubla's intentional destruction of crucial documents highly relevant to material issues in this case puts Front Sight at a severe disadvantage. The subject documents were concrete evidence of EB5IA's and Dziubla's fraud and misconduct. Less severe sanctions would not be feasible in facilitating justice and would be unfair to Front Sight. This factor weighs heavily in favor of striking Defendant EB5IA's Answer.

\section*{6. The Policy Favoring Adjudication on the Merits}

Front Sight wants the opportunity to prove its case on the merits, however, that is not possible. Front Sight cannot have a fair trial because Dziubla, thinking ahead, "tossed" documents crucial to Front Sight's case. Striking EB5IA's Answer and Counterclaim would not be an abuse of discretion.

\section*{7. Whether Sanctions Unfairly Operate to Penalize a Party for Misconduct of the Party's Attorney}

This is not a factor. Defendant Dziubla, not his attorney, "tossed" the documents.

\section*{8. The Need to Deter the Parties and Future Litigants from Similar Abuses}

Dziubla is an attorney (he even paid his bar dues using Front Sight's money), and he knew better than to intentionally destroy evidence. But Dziubla intentionally destroyed crucial evidence that would have proven many of Front Sight's claims. If the Court does not sanction EB5IA's conduct in this matter, EB5IA will get away with its fraudulent and unlawful conduct
and will be encouraged to continue such conduct with other innocent parties in the future. Therefore, the Court should strike EB5IA's Answer.

\section*{E. EB5IA SHOULD ALSO RECEIVE MONETARY SANCTIONS}

The Nevada Supreme Court has found monetary sanctions appropriate in addition to striking an answer and counterclaim for discovery abuse. See Bahena v. Goodyear Tire \& Rubber Co., 126 Nev. 243, 235 P.3d 592 (2010); see generally Havas v. Bank of Nevada, 96 Nev. 567, 613 P.2d 706 (1980). In the present case, Front Sight's counsel requests attorney's fees and costs for having to bring this Motion, as well as the other motions related to compelling an accounting from Defendant EB5IA. For EB5IA's intentional and malicious conduct, Front Sight further requests a monetary sanction in an amount equal to the amount of money Defendant EB5IA took from Plaintiff that Defendant EB5IA cannot prove was used properly to market the Front Sight project.
F. EB5IA'S ACCOUNTING IS VAGUE, HIGHLY SUSPECT AND DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE THE EXPENSES ARE RELATED TO MARKETING FRONT SIGHT'S PROJECT; IT IS REASONABLE TO INFER THAT RECEIPTS, INVOICES AND OTHER RELATED DOCUMENTS EB5IA DISCARDED WOULD DEMONSTRATE A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF EB5IA'S EXPENSES ARE NOT SUBSTANTIALLY RELATED TO FRONT SIGHT

EB5IA received funds from Front Sight well in excess of \$300,000.00. (See Evid. Hrg. Exhibit 6).

EB5IA showed legal expenses of over \(\$ 100,000.00\) from February 2013 through February 2017, an amount that grossly exceeded the original legal budget. (See Evid. Hrg. Exhibit 46, at p. 9; Evid. Hrg. Exhibit 6, at p. 7.) The majority of the legal expenses relate to EB5IC and LVDF, companies Dziubla also owns and controls. (See Evid. Hrg. Exhibit 46, at pp. 18-135.)

On February 26, 2013, EB5IA used Front Sight's money to retain the California law firm of Baker \& McKenzie. Id. at p. 9. EB5IA did not produce documentation showing what services Baker \& McKenzie provided for the money Front Sight paid.

On September 14, 2013, Defendant EB5IA paid Baker \& McKenzie additional money apparently to represent it in connection with the formation of the Regional Center. Id. at pp. 143-150.

On April 1, 2014, it appears Defendant EB5IA reimbursed Dentons for EB5IC’s USCIS regional center filing fee. \(I d\). at p. 9 .

The accounting shows several entries for funds paid to the Nevada Secretary of State and to Incorporating Services, Ltd. over a 4-year period. It appears EB5IA paid these fees on behalf of EB5IC and LVDF. Id.

On January 2, 2015, Defendant EB5IA paid money to the Las Vegas Justice Court on Dziubla's behalf for Citation \#X01053227. Id. at 14.

EB5IA showed travel expenses from December 2013 through January 2018 in amounts far exceeding the original travel budget. EB5IA reimbursed tens of thousands of dollars in travel expenses without any documentary support or explanation, except most of it went to Dziubla and Defendant Fleming. (See Evid. Hrg. Exhibit 46, at pp. 10, 14.) Many of the meal expenses are local and look like personal expenses, not legitimate business expenses that relate to marketing Front Sight's project in China.

The accounting further hints that Dziubla operated EB5IA, EB5IC and Kenworth Capital interchangeably. Dziubla testified that he and Defendant Fleming contributed only a few thousand dollars to create the Regional Center, Defendant EB5IC. (See June 3, 2019 Evid. Hrg. Tr., p. 39, ls. 4-10.) Dziubla later testified that Defendant EB5IC (also controlled by Defendant Dziubla and which had also received a large influx of money from Front Sight) contributed
capital to EB5IA "because it was starving of capital." (See June 3, 2019 Evid. Hrg. Tr., p. 43, 1s. 13-16.) Dziubla claimed EB5IC infused money into EB5IA. Over the same period, EB5IA paid substantial sums of money to: (1) Kenworth Capital (owned by Dziubla); (2) Legacy Realty (owned by Fleming); and (3) Dziubla himself. It seems EB5IA repaid EB5IC's capital infusion to others besides EB5IC.

Defendant EB5IA's accounting is vague, questionable, suspicious, and grossly incomplete; even on its surface it does not demonstrate EB5IA's expenses related to Front Sight's project. Dziubla admitted he discarded receipts, invoices, and other records retained by businesses in the normal course for accounting purposes. Therefore, the Court should conclude that the records EB5IA should have retained, and produced, would support Front Sight's claims of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conversion, breach of contract, and civil conspiracy.

\section*{G. IF THE COURT DOES NOT STRIKE DEFENDANT EB5IA'S ANSWER, IT SHOULD GIVE A NEGATIVE INFERENCE INSTRUCTION}

In the alternative, under Bass-Davis v. Davis, supra, the Court is empowered to enter an adverse inference instruction against Defendant EB5IA. When dismissal is not granted, an adverse inference should be set forth to the finder of fact as a result of the loss or destruction of pertinent evidence in a lawsuit. Bass-Davis v. Davis, 122 Nev. 442, 134 P.3d 103 (2006). Generally, in cases based on negligently lost or destroyed evidence, an adverse inference instruction is tied to a showing that the party controlling the evidence had notice that it was relevant at the time when the evidence was lost or destroyed. In other words, when presented with a spoliation allegation, the threshold question should be whether the alleged spoliator was under any obligation to preserve the missing or destroyed evidence. In this case, it is undisputed that Defendant EB5IA, through Dziubla, has destroyed this critical evidence. Defendants EB5IA
and Dziubla, an attorney, should not be permitted to benefit from their intentional and nefarious conduct.

The duty to preserve springs from a variety of sources, including ethical obligations, statutes, regulations, and common law. Courts, including the Supreme Court of Nevada, that adhere to a common-law duty to preserve evidence have held that a party is required to preserve documents, tangible items, and information relevant to litigation that are reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

In the present case, if the Court will not strike the Answer and Counterclaim, the Court should enter an adverse inference against Defendant EB5IA. The inference should include an instruction to the jury that had the records, receipts, invoices, travel information, etc., been maintained, those records would have shown Defendants' misuse of funds and would have supported Front Sight's claims of fraud, misrepresentation, concealment, conversion, breach of contract, and civil conspiracy.

\section*{III.}

\section*{CONCLUSION}

Based on the foregoing, Defendant EB5IA's Answer should be stricken and Defendant EB5IA should be sanctioned monetarily for intentional and unlawful destruction and spoliation of evidence. Alternatively, Front Sight is entitled to a negative inference instruction that the records EB5IA should have retained and produced in this matter would demonstrate EB5IA used funds received from Front Sight in bad faith, fraudulently, and unlawfully.

Therefore, Front Sight respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions and further relief this Court deems just and equitable.

DATED this \(17^{\text {th }}\) day of September, 2019.

\author{
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD. \\ /s/ John P. Aldrich \\ John P. Aldrich, Esq. \\ Nevada Bar No. 6877 \\ Catherine Hernandez, Esq. \\ Nevada Bar No. 8410 \\ Matthew B. Beckstead, Esq. \\ Nevada Bar No. 14168 \\ 7866 West Sahara Avenue \\ Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 \\ Telephone: (702) 853-5490 \\ Facsimile: (702) 227-1975 \\ Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendants
}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the \(17^{\text {th }}\) day of September, 2019, I caused the foregoing
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SANCTIONS to be electronically filed and served with the Clerk of the Court using Wiznet which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List, or by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, if not included on the Electronic Mail Notice List, to the following parties:

\author{
Anthony T. Case, Esq. \\ Kathryn Holbert, Esq. \\ FARMER CASE \& FEDOR \\ 2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205 \\ Las Vegas, NV 89123 \\ Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND \\ LLC, EB5IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, \\ EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, \\ JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD \\ C. Keith Greer, Esq. \\ 16855 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 255 \\ San Diego, CA 92127 \\ Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND \\ LLC, EB5IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, \\ EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, \\ JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
}
/s/ T. Bixenmann
An employee of ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.

\section*{EXHIBIT 1}

\section*{EXHIBIT 1}

\section*{NEO}

John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Telephone: (702) 853-5490
Facsimile: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

\section*{CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B
vs.
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS
LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS
LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS
DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; CHICAGO TITLE
COMPANY, a California corporation; DOES 1IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; CHICAGO TITLE
COMPANY, a California corporation; DOES 110, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 110, inclusive,

Defendants.

> Plaintiff,
Derilatits.

DEPT NO.: 16

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT
OF RECEIVER AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Granting Plaintiff's Petition for Appointment of Receiver and for an Accounting was entered by the Court in the above-captioned action on the \(26^{\text {th }}\) day of November, 2018, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto.

DATED this \(27^{\mathrm{TH}}\) day of November, 2018.

\section*{ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.}
/s/ John P. Aldrich
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89117
Tel (702) 853-5490
Fax (702) 226-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the \(27^{\text {th }}\) day of November, 2018, I caused the foregoing

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT}

OF RECEIVER AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING to be electronically filed and served with the Clerk of the Court using Wiznet which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List, or by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, if not included on the Electronic Mail Notice List, to the following parties:

Anthony T. Case, Esq.
Kathryn Holbert, Esq.
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND
LLC, EB5IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC,
EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA,
JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
C. Keith Greer, Esq.

17150 Via del Campo, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92127
Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND
LLC, EB5IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC,
EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA,
JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
Marni Rubin Watkins, Esq.
FIDELITY NATIONAL LAW GROUP
1701 Village Center Circle, Suite 110
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Attorney for Defendant CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY
/s/ T. Bixenmann
An employee of ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.

ORDR
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89117
Telephone: (702) 853-5490
Facsimile: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

\section*{CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,
vs.
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; CHICAGO TITLE COMPANY, a California corporation; DOES 110, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 110, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B DEPT NO.: 16

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING

\title{
ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF RECEIVER AND FOR AN ACCOUNTING
}

This matter having come before the Court, on October 31, 2018 at 9:30 a.m. on Plaintiff's Petition for Appointment of Receiver and for an Accounting, John P. Aldrich, Esq. appearing on behalf of Plaintiff and Kathryn Holbert, Esq., appearing on behalf of Defendants, the Court having reviewed the pleadings on file herein, having heard oral argument by the parties, and for good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Petition for Appointment of Receiver is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Petition for an Accounting is GRANTED as to Defendant EB5 Impact Advisors LLC, but DENIED as to all other Defendants.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant EB5 Impact Advisors LLC shall, within thirty (30) days, or on or before November 30, 2018, provide Plaintiff with an accounting of all funds it has received from Front Sight. Said accounting must include all money received from Plaintiff by EB5lmpact Advisors LLC, how all funds were spent, identification of who received any portion of the funds, and any and all documentation to support payments made or funds spent.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this \(20^{-4}\) day of November, 2018.


Respectfully submitted by:
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
olf Gelvil
Jofn P. Aldrich, Esq.
vevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Tel: (702) 853-5490
Fax: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC

Approved as to form and content:
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR

Anthony T. Case, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6589
Kathryn Holbert, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Tel: (702) 579-3900
Fax: (702) 739-3001
Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD

\section*{EXHIBIT 2}

\section*{EXHIBIT 2}

\section*{NEO}

John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Telephone: (702) 853-5490
Facsimile: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

\section*{CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA}

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,
vs.
CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B
DEPT NO.: 16

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

\section*{NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER}

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order Granting In Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's
Motion to Compel and for Sanctions was entered by the Court in the above-captioned action on the \(9^{\text {th }}\) day of April, 2019, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto.

DATED this \(10^{\text {th }}\) day of April, 2019.

\section*{ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.}
/s/ John P. Aldrich
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89117
Tel (702) 853-5490
Fax (702) 226-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE}

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the \(10^{\text {th }}\) day of April, 2019, I caused the foregoing
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER to be electronically filed and served with the Clerk of the
Court using Wiznet which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List, or by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, if not included on the Electronic Mail Notice List, to the following parties:

Anthony T. Case, Esq.
Kathryn Holbert, Esq.
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND
LLC, EB5IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC,
EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA,
JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
C. Keith Greer, Esq.

17150 Via del Campo, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92127
Attorneys for Defendants LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND
LLC, EB5IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC,
EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, ROBERT W. DZIUBLA,
JON FLEMING and LINDA STANWOOD
/s/ T. Bixenmann
An employee of ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.

\section*{ORDR}

John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89117
Telephone: (702) 853-5490
Facsimile: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,
vs.
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; LINDA STANWOOD, individually and as Senior Vice President of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC; DOES 1 10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 110, inclusive,

\section*{Defendants.}

CASE NO.: A-18-781084-B
DEPT NO.: 16

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL AND FOR SANCTIONS

This matter having come before the Court, on February 28, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel and for Sanctions and Defendants' Countermotion for Relief From the November 20, 2018 Court Order Granting Plaintiff's Petition for an Accounting of Defendant EB5 Impact Advisors LLC, John P. Aldrich, Esq. appearing on behalf of Plaintiff and Kathryn Holbert, Esq. and C. Keith Greer, Esq., appearing on behalf of Defendants, the Court having reviewed the pleadings on file herein, having heard oral argument by the parties, and for good cause appearing therefore, AND

Further discussions regarding a deadline for supplementation of financial documents pursuant to the November 20, 2018 Court Order Granting Plaintiff's Petition for an Accounting of Defendant EB5 Impact Advisors LLC having occurred following the hearing on Plaintiff's Second Motion for Temporary Restraining Order on Thursday, March 21, 2019,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that as to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. While the Court finds good faith and substantial compliance by Defendants at this time, Defendants have an obligation to supplement pursuant to Rule 16.1, and pursuant to the November 20, 2018 Order, Defendants must fully comply with the Order to "provide Plaintiff with an accounting of all funds it has received from Front Sight. Said accounting must include all money received from Plaintiff by EB5Impact Advisors LLC, how all funds were spent, identification of who received any portion of the funds, and any and all documentation to support payments made or funds spent," with the remaining disclosure of accounting documents to occur on or before April 4, 2019.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Countermotion for Relief From the November 20, 2018 Court Order Granting Plaintiff's Petition for an Accounting of Defendant EB5 Impact Advisors LLC is DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's request for sanctions is denied at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED this 5 day of April, 2019.


Respectfully submitted by:
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.


Joni P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Tel: (702) 853-5490
Fax: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Approved as to form and content:
FARMER CASE \& FEDOR


Anthony T. Case, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6589
Kathryn Holbert, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10084
2190 E. Pebble Rd., Suite \#205
Las Vegas, NV 89123
Tel: (702) 579-3900
Fax: (702) 739-3001
Attorneys for Defendants

\section*{EXHIBIT 3}

\section*{EXHIBIT 3}

DECL
John P. Aldrich, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 6877
Catherine Hernandez, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8410
ALDRICH LAW FIRM, LTD.
7866 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
Telephone: (702) 853-5490
Facsimile: (702) 227-1975
Attorneys for Plaintiff

\section*{EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT}

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company,

Plaintiff,
vs.
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT CAPITAL REGIONAL CENTER LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company; ROBERT W. DZIUBLA, individually and as President and CEO of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 IMPACT ADVISORS LLC ; JON FLEMING, individually and as an agent of LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC and EB5 MPPACT ADVISORS LLC; DOES 1-10, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants. FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

\title{
SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OR IGNATIUS PIAZZA IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S RENEWED MOTION FOR AN ACCOUNTING RELATED TO DEFENDANT LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC AND FOR RELEASE OF fUNBS
}

STATE OF NEVADA )
) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK )
Affiant, being first duly sworn, deposes and states the following:
1. I, Ignatius Piazza, am the Founder and Director of Front Sight Management LLC, Plaintiff in this matter. I am also a custodian of Plantiff Front Sight Management LLC's records.
2. I have personal knowledge of the contents of this document, or where stated upon information and belief, I believe them to be true, and I am competent to testify to the facts set forth herein. I have personal knowledge of the contents of the Statement of Facts, or where stated upon information and belief, I believe thern to be true, and I am competent to testify to the facts set forth herein.
3. Contrary to Defendants' repeated assertions, Defendant Las Vegas Development Fund LLC is not a simple lender. On the contrary, Defendant Las Vegas Development Fund LLC has accepted money from Front Sight for marketing services as well. Attached to this Declaration are redacted bank statements and bank wire transfer receipts of Front Sight. Those are true and correct copies (some redacted) of Front Sight and I obtained them by accessing the records of Front Sight. I wrote the handwritten notes on those documents, and those comments are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
4. As the Court can see when it reviews the wire transfers attached to Dr. to this Supplemental Declaration, on October 17, 2016, Front Sight paid \$27,000.00 for marketing fees
to Mr. Dziubla through an account labeled "EB5 impact Advisors LLC." The next statement shows that on November 14, 2016, Front Sight made an interest payment of \(\$ 12,205.38\) to an account owned by Las Vegas Development Fund. Eleven days later, on November 24, 2018, Front Sight made a payment for makketing fees to an account owned by EB5 Impact Advisors LLC. The next statement shows that Front Sight made an interest payment of \(\$ 12,276.12\) on December 9, 2016 to an account owned by Las Vegas Development Fund. On that same day, Front Sight sent an \(\$ 8,000\) payment to EB5 Impact Advisors for marketing services.
5. The November 22, 2017 wire transfer receipt shows that Front Sight paid marketing fees to an account owned by EB5 Impact Advisors and a marketing fee payment to accounts owned by Las Vegas Development Fund LLC. The December 29, 2017 statement shows three payments by Front Sight: the first to EB5 Impact Advisors for marketing fees, the second to Las Vegas Development Fund LLC for interest, and a third payment to Las Vegas Development Fund LLC for marketing fees.
6. The March 1, 2018 wire transfer receipt shows a credit to Front Sight's account of \(\$ 125,000\) from Las Vegas Development Fund, as well as a payment by Front Sight into the same account for marketing fees. The March 2, 2018 wire transfer receipt shows an interest payment to Las Vegas Development Fund LLC, while the marketing fees were again paid to EB5 Impact Advisors. The May 2, 2018 wire transfer receipt shows both an interest payment and marketing fee paid to Las Vegas Development Fund LLC's account.
7. The attached wire transfers show that Las Vegas Development Fund LLC was accepting both interest payments and marketing payments from Front Sight.
\({ }^{\text {I }}\) The hank statements have been redacted to exclude irrelevant and unrelated information. Additionally, the handwriting is that of Dr. Piazza, as explained in his Declaration.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Nevada that this Declaration was executed on the \(6^{\text {th }}\) day of November, 2018 and that the foregoing is true and correct.
/s/ Ignatius Piazza
Ignatius Piazza

Your checking account
Bankof America


\section*{Your thecking account}

\section*{Bankof America}


Whithdrawais and other debits - continued Date
\(=\) Dascoiption


\(X\)
REF:O15888 BNF:LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND DDF 1502 BNFBKRANK OF HOPE


\(\times\)
17/23/16 WIRE TYPE:WIRE OUT DATE:1由123 TAME:0525 ET TRN:2016112700400556 SERVICE
\(-12,000.00\)



Your checking account


Withdrawals and other debits - continued


\section*{Bankotmmerica}
P.O. Box 15284

Wilmington, DE 19850

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
WIRE TRANSFER ADVICE
1 FLEET WAY PAG-580-04-05
SCRANTON, PA 1.8507

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT INC
7975 CAMERON DR STE 900
WINDSOR CA 95492~8570

DATE: 11/22ノ17
DIRECT INQUIRIES TD: 800.729 .9473 日PTION 2 ACCOUNT: XXXXXXXX8 176

THE FOLLOWING WIRE WAS DEBITED TQDAY:
TRANSACTION REF: 2017112200417182
payment detail

THE FQLLQWING WIRE WAS DEBITED TODAY:
TRANSACTIUN REF: 2017112200415764

BENEFICIARY'S EANK. BASY UEGAS DEVELDPMENT FUND LLC
PAYMENT DETAIL


\section*{}

PO. Box 15284
Wimington, DE 19850

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
WIRE TRANSFER ADVICE
1 FIEET WAY PA6-580-04-05
SCRANTON, PA 18507

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT INC
7975 CAMERON DR STE 900
WINDSOR CA 95492-8570
DATE: 12529817
DIRECT INQUIRIES TD:
\(800-729.9473\) DPTION 2
ALCOUNT : \(X \times X \times X X X \times 8176\)


\section*{Bankofnmerisa}

P:O. Box 15284
Wilmington, DE 19850
```

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT INC
775 CAMERON DR STE 900
7975 CAMERON SGR STESTO

```

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
WIRE TRANSFER ADVICE FA6-580-04-0 5
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { I FLEET WAY } & \text { PAG-580 } \\ \text { SCRANTON, PA } & 18507\end{array}\)
DATE: 03/01/18
    DIRECT INQUIRIESTO:
    801.729 .9473 OPTION 2
ACCOUNT: \(X C O X X X X 8176\)


THE FOLLDWING WIRE WAS DEBITED TDDAY:
TRANSACTIGN REF* 2018030100439351
EELATED REF REF. REJGVP:SDG
INSTRUCTING BANK: BENEFICIARY: BENEFICIARY'S BANK: BANK GF HDPE

PAYMENT DETAII:

USD AMOUNT \$60,000.00
SERUICE REF: 01624I
IMAD: 20180301 B6B7HULRD162GI
ID: UGQT
ID: eces. 99767
ID: 122041727

\section*{Eank \(\quad\) © Antierica}
P.O. Box 15284

Nilmington, DE 19850

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
WIRE TRANSFER ADVICE
1 FLEET WAY
SGRANTIN, PA
PA6-580-.04-05
18507

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT INC
7975 CAMERDN DR STE 900 NINDSOR CA 95492-8570

DATE: 03/02/18
DIRECT INQUIRIES TD:
 ACCDUNT: \(X X X N X X X X 8176\)


\section*{Bankoffreerica}
W.O. Box 15284 19850

EANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
WIRE TRANSFER ADVICE
1 FLEET WAY PAG-580-04-05
SCRANTON; PA I8507

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT INC
7975 CAMERON DR STE 900
WINDSOR CA 95492-8570
DATE: 05/02/18
DIRECT INQUIRIES TO:
800.729 .9473 OPTION

ACCOUNT: \(\times 2 \times X X X X \times \times 8176\)


1

2
CASE NO. A-18-781084-B
DOCKET U
DEPT. XVI

FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC,
Plaintiff,
vs.

LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND LLC,
Defendant.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
OF
HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE TIMOTHY C. WILLIAMS DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DATED FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2019

REPORTED BY: PEGGY ISOM, RMR, NV CCR \#541

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM

Pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment.
```

APPEARANCES:

```
FOR THE DEFENDANT:

FARMER, CASE \& FEDOR

BY: KATHRYN HOLBERT, ESQ.

2510 WIGWAM PARKWAY

SUITE 206

HENDERSON, NV 89074
(702) 579-3900

KHOLBERT@FARMERCASE.COM

PRO HAC VICE:

GREER \& ASSOCIATES

BY: KEITH GREER, ESQ.
17150 VIA DEL CAMPO

SUITE 100

SAN DIEGO, CA 92127
(858) 613-6677
(858) 613-6680 Fax

KEITH.GREER@GREERLAW.BIZ

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
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APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

```

ALDRICH LAW FIRM

BY: JOHN ALDRICH, ESQ.

1601 SOUTH RAINBOW AVENUE

SUITE 160

LAS VEGAS, NV 89146
(702) 853-5490
(702)227-1975 Fax

JALDRICH@JOHNALDRICHLAWFIRM.COM
```

                                * * * * *
    ```

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
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LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 20,2019
9:11 A..M.

P R O C E E D I N G S
* * * * * * *

THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and place our appearances on the record.

MR. ALDRICH: Good morning, your Honor. John Aldrich on behalf of the plaintiff. seated at counsel table helping me is my assistant, Traci Bixenmann. And seated behind me in the courtroom is Dr. Ignatius Piazza and Mike Meacher on behalf of Front Sight.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. HOLBERT: Good morning, your Honor.
Kathryn Holbert on behalf of defendants.
MR. GREER: Keith Greer, your Honor, also on behalf of defendants. And with me today is Robert Dziubla and also Jon Fleming.

THE COURT: All right. Once again, good morning.

And \(I\) see we have a few items on. Where do we go from here? What do you think is first up? Which makes sense?

MR. GREER: Does the Court not have a
preference?

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment.

THE COURT: I -- you know what? I feel
lawyers typically have a better idea as to the impact, and so \(I\) tend to follow their lead.

MR. GREER: We're hoping you did, so --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ALDRICH: Probably the big one makes sense first, the motion to dissolve the TRO, and for appointment of receiver. There's several motions, but that seems like --

THE COURT: There is a lot.

MR. ALDRICH: -- that's a good place to start.

MR. GREER: We just have the two; right?
MS. HOLBERT: We all have the motions to quash.

THE COURT: There is a motion to quash.
MR. GREER: Okay.
THE COURT: Subpoenas. Continuation of the preliminary injunction hearing. Motion to dissolve the TRO. Appoint a receiver.

MR. ALDRICH: And motion to bifurcate.
THE COURT: Yes, and motion to bifurcate.

There is a lot going on.
MR. GREER: Yes.

THE COURT: A lot of moving parts too; right?
MS. HOLBERT: Right.

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{0} & 1 & MR. GREER: Yes, your Honor. I'm good with \\
\hline & 2 & the TRO going first. \(\quad\) I think that's the most \\
\hline & 3 & significant probably of all the motions, your Honor. \\
\hline & 4 & Could we do that first, please, your Honor? \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:39:05} & 5 & THE COURT: You sure can. Whatever you feel \\
\hline & 6 & is appropriate, we'll run with that. \\
\hline & 7 & MR. GREER: Your Honor, this -- the Court will \\
\hline & 8 & recall that the TRO was entered -- the first one was \\
\hline & 9 & entered now almost nine months ago. And at that time \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:39:18} & 10 & we, on behalf of Las Vegas Development Fund, put into \\
\hline & 11 & evidence that there were about a dozen or so defaults \\
\hline & 12 & Of the construction loan agreement here. All of them \\
\hline & 13 & with the exception of failure to pay default interest \\
\hline & 14 & and failure to pay attorney's fees were performance \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:39:38} & & covenants, not monetary. \\
\hline & 16 & And the courts, in granting the TRO at that \\
\hline & 17 & time, in an effort to maintain the status quo made the \\
\hline & 18 & decision that without monetary defaults the status quo \\
\hline & 19 & could be maintained. Front Sight continued to make its \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:39:57} & 20 & monthly interest payments up until three months ago. \\
\hline & 21 & When the first one ended, the controversy started. And \\
\hline & 22 & now when it became apparent that Front sight has no \\
\hline & 23 & intent to ever make any interest payments, we now have \\
\hline & 24 & instead of a status quo being maintained with the \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{09:40:13} & & Court's order, we have the Court's order now \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
(702)671-4402 - CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & Pursuant to NRS 239.053, illegal to copy without payment. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment.

\(09: 40: 29\)

09:40:4410

11
maintaining a changed status quo which includes monetary defaults. So in light of that status quo changing and in light of the very significant monetary defaults now occurring, we deemed it appropriate to come in to your Honor and request that the TRO be dissolved.

We've submitted in our briefs the North versus Bank of America case in which it says the Court does have the discretion to dissolve a TRO when there has been a change in the status quo.

Here, there's -- it's imperative that in order to maintain that \(T R O\) that the moving party for the TRO show that they have a likelihood of winning. And it's now just when Front sight is not making any payments on a loan, it is impossible for them to prevail in the end.

There can be all kinds of arguments aside and all kinds of damage issues they can throw out there, but the bottom line they can't get around, they can't argue around is the fact that they are not making payments, and that is a material provision of the contract. And every -- this whole process is set in place so that lenders can have security or when the borrower doesn't make their payments they can go in and get relatively quick relief. That encourages the

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
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\(09: 42: 5125\)
borrowers, obviously, to make those payments.

Now, of course, what's happened with this TRO being in place -- which, you know, by law should have been resolved within 15 days; we submit that we stipulated to, you know, waiving that 15 days. But unfortunately what has happened is it's then had Las Vegas Development Fund's hands tied behind its back during this process and it's at the mercy of the Court's calendar, Mr. Piazza's calendar, Mr. Aldrich's calendar, and my calendar, \(I\) guess, to a certain extent to get all these witnesses heard that need to be heard in order to make a decision on the preliminary injunction.

So now this TRO is maintaining a different set of facts than the status quo that was originally established. And unless we dissolve it, it's going to continue to hamper and hinder Las Vegas Development Fund's ability to go forward with foreclosure on the loan until the preliminary injunction hearing is done.

And so \(I\) think that's -- factually there is a strong basis mandating dissolution of the TRO and also just in the interest of fairness and procedurally, right now the advantage is in the borrower's court to drag this thing out as long as they can because they're getting their relief. They've got \(\$ 6.75\) million worth

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
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\(09: 44: 0925\)
of loan. They're not making any interest payments on it. And so they're just doing whatever they want to do, and the lender is just stuck over here waiting for the preliminary injunction process to go.

I think that if the TRO gets dissolved as it should be, that would then put the burden on the borrower to expeditiously get through the rest of this preliminary injunction process and maybe we wouldn't see witnesses be on the stand for two days when actually an hour's worth of testimony would be sufficient to get in the evidence necessary for the preliminary injunction motion.

I think there is some distractions in Front Sight's papers. They submit the declaration of the statement of Ms. DeBono on the EB5 issues. Remember, your Honor, this isn't an EB5 debate. This is a breach of contract. This is the breach of a loan agreement. So we can talk about whether or not jobs are created, whether they're not - - and, by the way, we take great exception to the findings of both Ms. DeBono and the EB5 economic analysis that the plaintiffs have now submitted at the last minute.

But -- and we can talk about those if the Court wants to. They're all smoke and mirrors. They're not real. This new loan agreement that now

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
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\(09: 45: 3125\)
showed up yesterday at a rate of 12 percent with a personal guarantee required of Dr. Piazza-Mr. Piazza - I'm sorry - - is fake. He's not going to do it. He's had -- he's had two loan offers with better terms than this that he turned down. But he is not going to sign something that is a personal guarantee. This is thrown in at the last minute to try and -- with some argument to stop the inevitable, which is this Court stepping in and dissolving the TRO because they are not making their payments.

The Court will note that -- there's evidence already before this Court that Front Sight has a \$36 million line of credit at 7 percent, 5 percent lower than the current proposal, alleged proposal, with no personal guarantee, but they have failed to use any of it. They've got \(\$ 36\) million of much less expensive money sitting there that they're not using, which really goes to show that this is just a last-minute sham, you know, more hocus-pocus, smoke and mirrors on the eve of what is going to be -- presuming the court rules in our favor -- the result which is going to release the TRO.

I will note then also, your Honor, it's important that with regard to any unclean hands issue, first of all, and this is fortunately where it ties
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM

Pursuant to NRS 239.053, illegal to copy without payment.
into the motion to bifurcate, there -- and I'm going to have a little bit of overlap here. There is - there are clearly two separate cases here.

There is a case involving allegations that EB5 Impact Advisors didn't raise as much money as they were supposed to, and plaintiff is alleging that they spent the money that they were given in a way that they don't agree with. That's one case. That is a monetary damage case. That's a separate case.

Then on May \(12 \mathrm{th}, 2016\), there is a change in the fact pattern here. And this is where the cases diverge and separate because at that point in time -and this is already before the Court. And I attached also a copy of this email with our brief. At that point in time, Mr. Dziubla says: Hey, this is what we have. The money isn't what we expected, but now we need to decide what do we do with these EB5 investors money that we gathered at this point in time, and gave Front Sight three choices: One, we can give it back to the investors and walk away. Two, they can purchase Front \(s i g h t\) can purchase the resource center and start running this show on their own. Or three, let's drop the minimum raise issue and let's just lend the money that we have at this point in time.

So even in light of any alleged

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{09:47:07} & 1 & misrepresentations, misunderstandings, up to that point in time the parties were at a point where they're \\
\hline & 3 & saying, okay, here's this amount of money. What are we \\
\hline & 4 & going to do with it? \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:47:17} & 5 & And Front Sight says, You know what? Let's \\
\hline & 6 & borrow it. \\
\hline & 7 & So at this point in time then, now we have \\
\hline & 8 & innocent third-party investors, the EB5 investors now \\
\hline & 9 & are involving in. This is the separate case too. This \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:47:32} & 10 & is a standard straightforward borrower-lender dispute. \\
\hline & 11 & And -- and whether -- the issue on that case \\
\hline & 12 & is simply whether or not the lender did what it's \\
\hline & 13 & supposed to do in lending the money and whether or not \\
\hline & 14 & the borrower did what it's supposed to do which \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:47:48} & 15 & includes making the monthly payments they're not \\
\hline & 16 & making, providing eB5 documentation, providing plans, \\
\hline & 17 & giving access to the property, and the litany of other, \\
\hline & 18 & you know, requirements which we've shown they've \\
\hline & 19 & breached. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{09:48:00} & 20 & The reason why I use -- discuss those two \\
\hline & 21 & cases in my argument to segue into the unclean hands \\
\hline & 22 & argument is because the law is clear that an unclean \\
\hline & 23 & hands argument doesn't apply when innocent third \\
\hline & 24 & parties are going to be impacted. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{09:48:15} & 25 & So even -- first of all, any prior \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR \\
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & Pursuant to NRS 239.053, illegal to copy without payment \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\(09: 49: 4225\) this -- well, you know what? This goes to the bifurcation. There is a little bit of overlap. I can save these other arguments for the bifurcation part of the case. Just note that this is another reason why they're separate and makes them two separate cases here is that the Court will note we've cited in our briefs that the construction loan agreement states that the borrower waives any right to a jury trial on any claims arising out of the loan or the loan agreement. So that makes this -- these -- the loan case one that's totally separate that can be heard by the court.

And actually I'm segueing now into the bifurcation motion which since -- can we just do them both at the same time? Can \(I\) just segue since they kind of overlap?

THE COURT: That's fine.
Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
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\(09: 50: 2725\)
THE COURT: The appointment of a receiver
MR. GREER: Yes.
MR. ALDRICH: Correct.
I apologize.
MR. GREER: It's, yeah, maybe best just to keep these all succinct rather than thrown out in the middle.
With regard to the receiver, your Honor, well note that there is a contractual provision in the consumer loan agreement that allows for it. There is also, by law, a right to do it. The opposition, the plaintiff has said that it shouldn't be appointed because there is no risk of losing the property.
Well, it's wrong for two reasons. One, the risk of loss of the property isn't the most important
Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
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```

```
            (Unreportable cross-talk)
```

            (Unreportable cross-talk)
                            THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear what you're
                            THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear what you're
    saying, Mr. Aldrich.
saying, Mr. Aldrich.
MR. ALDRICH: I'm sorry. I was asking --
MR. ALDRICH: I'm sorry. I was asking --
(Unreportable cross-talk)
(Unreportable cross-talk)
THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry. I need one at a
THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry. I need one at a
MR. ALDRICH: -- because they were in a motion
MR. ALDRICH: -- because they were in a motion
together.
together.
issue?

```
issue?
```

| 09:50:30 | 1 | aspect of a need for the receiver. And this is why |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | it's in the contract as part of this -- of this loan. |
|  | 3 | This is EB5 investor money. This isn't the kind of |
|  | 4 | loan that if you just default on the loan, you can give |
| 09:50:43 | 5 | the money back, you can rescind the contract and just |
|  | 6 | give the money back, or you can go into foreclosure and |
|  | 7 | money damages are going to take care of it. |
|  | 8 | The reason these investors got into this was |
|  | 9 | because of their path to citizenship. And in order to |
| 09:50:59 | 10 | make that happen here, the receiver is going to be |
|  | 11 | necessary because the project really needs to be |
|  | 12 | completed. There needs to be work done on the project. |
|  | 13 | It needs to proceed. It has not been proceeding. |
|  | 14 | I presume if Mr. Piazza gets on the stand |
| 09:51:11 | 15 | today werll find out that there has been no work done |
|  | 16 | on it. Even though plenty of money is coming in to |
|  | 17 | Front Sight, the money is not being spent on building |
|  | 18 | vertical structures which are necessary to complete the |
|  | 19 | property -- the project. |
| 09:51:21 | 20 | That is why a receiver is needed, one thing. |
|  | 21 | Two, another impact of EB5 money is that |
|  | 22 | Mr. Dziubla has reporting requirements. We're getting |
|  | 23 | towards the end of the year now. He's going to have to |
|  | 24 | make an annual report, and he's going to need access to |
| 09:51:38 | 25 | documents and information, things as simple as bank |
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statements which we have never seen. And the only way that this is going to happen is if a receiver is reported -- is appointed to protect the interests of the innocent third-party EB5 investors.

We're not going to see the information we need. We're not going to see the documentation we need. We're not going to see the project move forward as it should in good-faith compliance with the terms of the agreement. The completion date for the project as agreed to in the contract is October $4 t h$, two weeks --

MS. HOLBERT: Two weeks.

MR. GREER: -- two weeks today, and we don't have any vertical structures even started yet. It's not going to happen.

Back when this argument came up before the Court a number of months ago, it was, well, we still have five, six months to go; maybe they can pull this all together. Your Honor, it's not happening in two weeks.

And so now we're really in a jam. And we've submitted before the Court previously writings from plaintiff's expert, Ms. DeBono, who talks about once you see a situation where the borrower is not giving access to records, not providing documentation, not proceeding with the project, those are all red flags
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that the Court needs to step in and do something. So, you know, the bottom line here, your Honor, this is a situation that calls out for the Court to intervene to protect innocent third parties.

Step one is -- to help those innocent third parties is to stop not allowing Las Vegas Development Fund from doing its job in protecting these investors and lift the $T R O$ so they can proceed with the foreclosure process.

There was, Mr. Aldrich's papers. He mentioned something about a defective notice being filed, taking issue with Ms. Holbert as the trustee. No cited authority anywhere. But you know what? If those are issues, those should be dealt with in the normal course of the foreclosure process, not inhibited by a TRO that if we -- if the lender does determine that they need to file an amended notice, they can't even do that now because we have this $T$ Ro keeping them from doing any additional foreclosure process.

So at this point in time, it's important that we pull the $T R O$, let the lender move forward as they should; two, and the Court has to appoint a receiver under the Court's direction and guidance to make sure that Front sight complies with the terms of the loan and protects the EB5 investors.
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| 09:54:09 | 1 | Again, Mr. Aldrich mentioned in his papers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | that he says there are already enough jobs created and |
|  | 3 | that can be battled out later. We disagree. That's |
|  | 4 | going to require experts. There's all kind of holes in |
| 09:54:20 | 5 | their arguments. |
|  | 6 | But the bottom line we need to do is get rid |
|  | 7 | Of this $T R O$ and appoint the receiver so this thing can |
|  | 8 | get going on the track. And the Court can also have |
|  | 9 | somebody report to your Honor that is a disinterested |
| 09:54:32 | 10 | third party, an officer of the court who the court can |
|  | 11 | trust and believe and not have to discern between the, |
|  | 12 | you know, sometimes very divergent arguments between |
|  | 13 | two opposing counsel. |
|  | 14 | It's just $I$ hate to see two dogs pulling at |
| 09:54:47 | 15 | each other, and that meat in the middle is EB5 |
|  | 16 | investors are the ones that are getting harmed, your |
|  | 17 | Honor. |
|  | 18 | That's all I have for now. |
|  | 19 | THE COURT: Thank you, sir. |
| 09:54:57 | 20 | MR. GREER: Any questions, your Honor? |
|  | 21 | MR. ALDRICH: Good morning, your Honor. One |
|  | 22 | Of the first things out of Mr. Greer's mouth a minute |
|  | 23 | ago was that months ago defendants put into evidence |
|  | 24 | the alleged defaults. Then he went on to say that |
| 09:55:27 | 25 | Front Sight has defaulted by not paying July, August, |
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| 09:55:33 1 |  | and september, and that they're never going to pay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | again. And then he talked about this monetary default. |
|  | 3 | I wrote it once and wrote three tabs next to it, so it |
|  | 4 | came up at least four times. |
| 09:55:46 | 5 | I've got a wire transfer receipt that says |
|  | 6 | Front Sight transferred money on the 17 th of this week |
|  | 7 | to make those three payments. |
|  | 8 | I want to remind the court we filed a motion |
|  | 9 | in July asking for a separate lockbox account because |
| 09:56:09 | 10 | Front Sight has been defrauded and they wanted to stop |
|  | 11 | having to pay under that fraud. Your Honor denied that |
|  | 12 | motion. |
|  | 13 | Notice of entry of that motion was entered on |
|  | 14 | Friday of last week. So there was one judicial day in |
| 09:56:23 | 15 | between the entry of that order and Front sight |
|  | 16 | deciding to pay or making that payment. |
|  | 17 | Now, we -- that has become an issue. |
|  | 18 | Mr. Dziubla submitted a declaration that was supposedly |
|  | 19 | signed on Monday that said that payment had not been |
| 09:56:40 | 20 | made or those three payments had not been made. It |
|  | 21 | wasn't filed with the Court until very late on |
|  | 22 | Wednesday. But nonetheless, those are inaccurate |
|  | 23 | factual statements that are essentially the only new |
|  | 24 | basis for the relief that the defendants are seeking |
| 09:57:0025 |  | right now. |
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And the defendants don't cite to any evidence to support the claim of inappropriate use of funds. Mr. Dziubla and defendants just continue to say that they misused the funds.

Now, we went out and hired these two experts -- I provided those reports to your Honor as quickly as $I$ could -- who are two of the most respected people, to do these jobs reports. They used the information -- the same information Mr. Dziubla has. And they were able to do their analysis just fine and, in fact, have found that the jobs requirement has more than been met by Front sight.

Now, there's another aspect to that that I'll get to in a minute. But it's important to note all this information that's been provided, and 1 walked through and laid it out, and those experts looked at the information and summarized it for the court, but
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10:00:0925
there's nothing that indicates that Front sight has misused the loan funds in any fashion pursuant to what's allowed in the agreement or what's allowed under USCIS guidelines. There's no evidence.

And $I$ go back to Mr. Greer's first statement is that he said that they put into evidence this information. There isn't evidence and there still isn't evidence because there isn't any.

And the next alleged default is failure to provide government-approved plans for construction. Now, that was still in their pleading papers again. We went ahead and put in our pleading papers what we had already responded to, which was that we hired an expert witness to look at those things, and it walks through and talks about how the loan proceeds can be paid, can be used for any expense related to the project except for interest payments made on the EB5 loan itself and expenses of the EB5 lender in connection with the EB5 offering and the loan.

And then Ms. Holmes goes on and says:
"The second sentence also incorrectly states that the construction schedule and construction budget must be substantially complied with in order to meet the immigrant investors' obligations under the EB5 program.
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In fact, USCIS policy requires only that EB5 investors' capital be used to fund the project described in the business plan filed with the USCIS. There is no requirement that the construction schedule or construction budget be complied with in order for the EB5 investors to obtain their visa.
"I have personally been engaged to provide legal assistance on a number of EB5 projects that had delays in construction and change in size and scope which did not result in any EB5 investors losing their immigration benefit under the EB5 program."
And then she talks about that it's quite common that there are delays.
Towards the bottom of that:
"As long as the EB5 investors can show that their capital is invested in the project generally described in the business plan filed with the USCIS, whether there were changes in the size of the project, project budget or construction timeline, the EB5 investors will receive their visas so long as the number of jobs created and the result of the work on the project are sufficient for each investor in the
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project.
"USCIS does not deny visas to EB5 investors in projects where there has been a change in construction schedule or construction budget."

A couple of important points here: That was in a report we submitted back in February. We're now seven months down the road, and there's nothing provided by defendants to refute that. There's just simply this allegation that we can't meet the construction deadline and that there's -- you know, we're not doing what we're supposed to do under the project.

And so this is -- this -- there is going to be a little bit of overlap to the motion to bifurcate because one of the things that defendants are asking this Court to do is to essentially disregard all of the fraud in the inducement and enforce a contract that my client was fraudulently induced into. There is a provision of the contract that in itself is a fraudulent inducement. They're relying on this provision that -- of construction that full project having to be completed. That's not the purpose here. The purpose is for $E B 5$ investors to be able to get their citizenship or have their -- their visas approved.
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| 10:02:34 | 1 | We've met that. We've completed the project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | for these investors under the EB5 program. That's |
|  | 3 | really important, because when Mr. Greer was up here, |
|  | 4 | he -- he mentioned unclean hands and he talks about |
| 10:02:47 | 5 | these innocent third-party investors. |
|  | 6 | Your Honor, more -- before this litigation |
|  | 7 | started, these jobs were done. These 1 -829 |
|  | 8 | applications should have been submitted last year. So |
|  | 9 | you got these investors who's -- who are now -- this |
| 10:03:08 | 10 | project is tied up in litigation because of this notice |
|  | 11 | Of default, when not only are we not in default because |
|  | 12 | we've met it, these innocent third-party investors that |
|  | 13 | the defendants keep referring to are being victimized |
|  | 14 | because the -- their applications are not moving |
| 10:03:23 | 15 | forward when we've met the job requirement. |
|  | 16 | And that is huge because there is -- ${ }^{\text {a mean }}$ |
|  | 17 | this -- the alleged breach here has nowhere and there |
|  | 18 | is -- it is not a breach in any respect. Mr. Dziubla |
|  | 19 | is going to have to answer to these investors as to why |
| 10:03:42 | 20 | he didn't move forward with their applications, but |
|  | 21 | that really has no place here. |
|  | 22 | The next allegation was that this Patriot |
|  | 23 | Pavilion has been reduced. Now, that's in there. |
|  | 24 | We've addressed that. Ms. Holmes has addressed that. |
| 10:04:07 | 25 | Here we are seven months later. Never been refuted. |

10:04:0725

```
10:04:12
```
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Next alleged breach, failure to obtain senior debt. Now, this is an interesting point. I've addressed previously that we have to use -- Front Sight has to use best efforts. That's what the contract requires.

Interestingly enough, when Mr. Dziubla caused this -- the first frivolous notice of default to be filed back in September, Front Sight lost someone who was ready to give them a commitment.

So now we have this situation where these alleged breaches, all of which have been refuted, all of which are administrative in nature except for the recent alleged monetary breach, caused them to not be able to obtain senior debt.

Now, here we are, yes, I provided some information yesterday, commitment letter from this company, that is willing to do it.

Yet it's true those terms are not nearly as favorable as Front Sight could have obtained prior to this -- the two frivolous notices of default and intent to sell being filed.

But that's where we are. And that's where Front Sight is. And Front Sight has to do what it can. Now, those are damages that go towards our claims, the fact that they had to borrow at a higher rate and
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| 10:05:31 | 1 | whatever else, whatever other consequences there are |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | from that. But Front Sight is not in breach on that |
|  | 3 | allegation either. |
|  | 4 | The next one, failure to provide monthly |
| 10:05:42 | 5 | project cost. Again, not in default. I've gone |
|  | 6 | through and laid out all the information that's been |
|  | 7 | provided. And, again, 1 reiterate the fact that our |
|  | 8 | jobs expert people were -- were fine with the |
|  | 9 | information that was provided to them. And it shows |
| 10:05:57 | 10 | that we've more than met the job requirement. |
|  | 11 | The next one is failure to notify of an event |
|  | 12 | Of default. Well, we are not in default, so there's no |
|  | 13 | default there under that one. |
|  | 14 | The eighth alleged notice of default -- or |
| 10:06:17 | 15 | alleged default -- I'm sorry -- is failure to inspect |
|  | 16 | the records. Well, I've cited in the brief, |
|  | 17 | Section 5.4, they're entitled to records pertaining to |
|  | 18 | the project and they're entitled access to inspect and |
|  | 19 | copy such books and records, meaning those related to |
| 10:06:35 | 20 | the project. |
|  | 21 | We've laid all that out. They've gotten |
|  | 22 | thousands and thousands of pages of documents. What we |
|  | 23 | haven't seen in this alleged breach is we haven't seen |
|  | 24 | anybody come in and say, well, yes, you gave us some |
| 10:06:5125 |  | information, but we need this, this, this, and this. |
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That's not what happens here. It's just it's spewed out there: Oh, we don't have -- we want more information. You're in breach.

And $I$ go back to that statement at the beginning when $M r$. Greer stood up and he said they had "put into evidence." They didn't put into evidence. They made more allegations that are not supported by anything.

The ninth alleged breach is failure to allow site inspection. Now, I've attached information related to that. My recollection is the last site inspection occurred on October lith of last year. Mr. Dziubla was out there. He brought some other people with him. It's my recollection there were at least a couple of more times where Mr. Dziubla requested to go out there.

We were asking to do discovery. They were asking to bring construction experts. I said, $I I f$ you want to start discovery, well do that. Otherwise, we're going to go ordinary course, which is Mr. Dziubla can come out there and look."

He declined to go a couple of times. So this allegation that we're not allowing him to go out there is not accurate. It is accurate that I - that $I$ personally told them if they were not willing to engage
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in discovery with us and provide information, that we were not going to allow the construction experts to go out there until we were in discovery.

I will also note discovery has been open for a couple of months and there has been no request to go out there at all. So we're not in breach under that one either.

The tenth alleged default is that we haven't -- we have not provided EB5 information. Again, we're not in default. There's been no discussion or explanation or what is missing. Just simply didn't provide EB5 information. Again, no evidence and we're not in default.

The llth and 12 th default are alleged failure to pay the default interest and the legal fees.

Now, another interesting point here that has gone on. Obviously, we continue to maintain the fraudulent inducement claims to enter into the $C L A$, and that -- that we were fraudulently induced. However, under the terms of the CLA and the attorney's fee provision and the costs and all that, there is the term "reasonable."

Now, even if Front Sight wants to come in and say, Look, we're reserving our rights on the fraud, we're going to cure this whole thing, we're entitled to
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| 10:09:30 | 1 | assess the reasonableness. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | I have asked over and over and over again for |
|  | 3 | that information. I attached the emails where I asked |
|  | 4 | for it. In general, 1 didn't get any response at all. |
| 10:09:44 | 5 | We requested that information in request for production |
|  | 6 | Of documents. We got nothing. We still have gotten |
|  | 7 | nothing. |
|  | 8 | So this allegation that we haven't paid |
|  | 9 | attorney's fees, default interest, anything else, we |
| 10:09:59 | 10 | don't need to pay default interest because we weren't |
|  | 11 | in default. We don't have to pay attorney's fees |
|  | 12 | because they will not -- they continue to refuse to |
|  | 13 | provide information to show reasonableness and by so |
|  | 14 | doing are just simply trying to thwart the entire |
| 10:10:16 | 15 | project and continue to allege breaches. |
|  | 16 | And I note -- and I noted in my brief -- |
|  | 17 | they're asking for \$789,000 to cure without any |
|  | 18 | documentation at all. |
|  | 19 | All right. Then the last alleged default, |
| 10:10:39 | 20 | which is new to this set of pleadings, was failure to |
|  | 21 | abide by applicable laws and give notice of a criminal |
|  | 22 | complaint. And the criminal complaint was filed by |
|  | 23 | Mr. Dziubla and his wife against Ignatius Piazza in |
|  | 24 | California. It has nothing to do with the underlying |
| 10:11:08 | 25 | facts in this case, but that's just simply their |
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attempt to let the Court know that they filed a criminal complaint. That's not a breach of anything.

I wanted to note and step back to the one before, in my asking for the reasonable attorney's fees. What $I$-- the last time, the response $I$ got back when $I$ asked was pay the interest and welll talk to you about giving you the information for the reasonableness of the attorney's fees.

Now, there is another thing that's happening here that causes me great concern and causes my client great concern. And that is we're moving forward on an evidentiary hearing that has gone on now for a little while. And we've had some scheduling issues and all those other things. And we're now here on this motion to dissolve the $T R O$ because defendants are trying to really just cram this down everybody's throat.

I just want to note we didn't -- discovery didn't begin until the middle of July. The Court may recall we were here on a motion for appointment of a special master that the defendants were asking for. They were claiming that we weren't providing information, we, Front sight, weren't providing them information. And they came in and insisted on the Court -- if the Court wasn't going to grant a special master, at least allow them to have documents from us
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| 10:12:43 | 1 | within 14 days. And that was in the middle of July. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | And your Honor granted that request. And 1 |
|  | 3 | said to the Court, "Is there a limitation on that? |
|  | 4 | And the Court said, "No." |
| $10: 12: 54$ | 5 | And so $I$ had my request for production ready |
|  | 6 | to go, and $I$ sent them out that very day. |
|  | 7 | And $I$ sent $a$ whole bunch of requests. $I$ sent |
|  | 8 | contention interrogatories, requests for a lot of |
|  | 9 | information. And to be completely candid with the |
| 10:13:10 | 10 | Court, to the six defendants, the total number was |
|  | 11 | about six -- a little - between 550 and 600 requests |
|  | 12 | because there were contention interrogatories related |
|  | 13 | to denials in paragraphs and things like that. |
|  | 14 | I got responses back in 14 days as the Court |
| 10:13:26 | 15 | had ordered. I got an objection to every single |
|  | 16 | request. Not one objection, repeated, repeated, |
|  | 17 | repeated objections. Attorney-client privilege, no |
|  | 18 | privilege log, like, all kinds of stuff. Not one |
|  | 19 | document was identified. Not one. |
| $10: 13: 44$ | 20 | We answered ours. Now, candidly, we had to do |
|  | 21 | more. We had to supplement because 14 days wasn't very |
|  | 22 | long, and theirs were less, in fairness to them. |
|  | 23 | But we identified them. We went through. |
|  | 24 | We've identified what documents we produced related to |
| 10:14:00 | 25 | each thing. We've - not one thing. |

10:14:0025
within 14 days. And that was in the middle of July. And your Honor granted that request. And I And the Court said, "No."

And so $I$ had my request for production ready to go, and $I$ sent them out that very day.

And $I$ sent $a$ whole bunch of requests. I sent contention interrogatories, requests for a lot of information. And to be completely candid with the Court, to the six defendants, the total number was about six -- a little - between 550 and 600 requests because there were contention interrogatories related to denials in paragraphs and things like that.

I got responses back in 14 days as the court had ordered. I got an objection to every single request. Not one objection, repeated, repeated, repeated objections. Attorney-client privilege, no privilege log, like, all kinds of stuff. Not one document was identified. Not one.

We answered ours. Now, candidly, we had to do more. We had to supplement because 14 days wasn't very long, and theirs were less, in fairness to them.

But we identified them. We went through. We've identified what documents we produced related to each thing. We've -- not one thing.
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Now, when we came here on the 20 th of August, Mr. Greer brought me a stick with -- with documents on it. I still don't have supplemental responses. I respectfully call the document disclosure a - I mean, it's divided into some emails, but it's kind of a document dump. It looks like they got shuffled a little bit. But what's happening now is they're in here going, Come on, your Honor, rule on this. Make this TRO go away. This is nothing.
And I've been portrayed, by the way, as the one who's delaying everything. I'm here trying to get information so \(I\) can move forward too. I have filed a motion to compel just last night. The Court will see that eventually. But it's important for the Court to understand what is going on here.
Now, we've walked through these things here. And \(I\) address that because it talks about - I'm sorry. Give me just one second here.
We've walked through and talked about some of the -- the defects that we saw. Welve made the argument that once an alleged monetary default occurs there has to be a separate notice of default filed, and we've cited the statute that talks about that, 107.8080 .
And -- and then we've also provided some
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| 10:15:33 | 1 | analysis about the refusal to give the information that |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | we requested about the reasonableness of the attorney's |
|  | 3 | fees. We've also addressed this defect a little bit. |
|  | 4 | The operative deed of trust is the amended |
| $10: 15: 49$ | 5 | deed of trust. Ms. Holbert, who signed the notice of |
|  | 6 | intent to sell, the brief -- the notice of breach - |
|  | 7 | was substituted as a trustee of the deed of trust, not |
|  | 8 | the amended deed of trust. |
|  | 9 | That means that Chicago Title is still the |
| 10:16:05 | 10 | trustee of the deed -- the amended deed of trust. And |
|  | 11 | we believe on that basis alone right now the court can |
|  | 12 | just simply grant our motion for preliminary |
|  | 13 | injunction, expunge the notice of default. And then if |
|  | 14 | they decide they want to cure, they'll have to do that. |
| 10:16:22 | 15 | They probably will because they -- the last time the |
|  | 16 | Court expunged it, they filed the very next day again. |
|  | 17 | But that is -- that is fatal to their position. |
|  | 18 | All right. $I$ want to talk about the |
|  | 19 | opposition to the motion to appoint a receiver as well. |
| 10:16:52 | 20 | So, I guess, before $I$ do that, so 1 would |
|  | 21 | say -- this is what I would say: With regard to their |
|  | 22 | motion to dissolve the TRO, in summary, they are |
|  | 23 | nowhere close. It's just a supplemental opposition |
|  | 24 | anyway. They're nowhere close to showing that they |
| 10:17:10 | 25 | need -- it should be dissolved. And, in fact, as the |
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| 10:17:12 | 1 | evidence has shown as we've gone through the hearing, <br> the Court will remember we -- I did talk to Mr. Dziubla |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | for two days where he admitted things like he had no |
|  | 4 | experience in EB5. And he hedged a little bit. He |
| $10: 17$ : 24 | 5 | worked on a project in 1990 as a lawyer. And then had |
|  | 6 | the San Diego project, but it didn't go to -- come to |
|  | 7 | fruition. But he was truthful that he has no |
|  | 8 | experience in EB5. That's hugely significant in all of |
|  | 9 | this. |
| $10: 17$ : 44 | 10 | And the Court has heard a lot of evidence -- |
|  | 11 | and I want to go back over it, but I won't because the |
|  | 12 | Court has been patient with letting me lay some of this |
|  | 13 | out. But over and over and over again, things are |
|  | 14 | happening that were not appropriate. Took \$20,000 from |
| 10:18:00 | 15 | my client for a study and then kept the \$20,000 and |
|  | 16 | instead gave a 20 percent interest to the guy doing the |
|  | 17 | study in the regional center in an entity that he told |
|  | 18 | my client he had to fund, but couldn't be the owner of |
|  | 19 | because the government wouldn't allow it. |
| 10:18:19 | 20 | Not okay. Okay? All part of the fraud. |
|  | 21 | And then, like I said, where we are right now |
|  | 22 | I think the Court can just simply grant the preliminary |
|  | 23 | injunction and expunge the notice of default. |
|  | 24 | I also note along those lines we've addressed |
| 10:18:44 | 25 | every single alleged breach in this notice of default. |
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We've addressed every single one.

And we have come in here and we have provided the Court with evidence the jobs have been created, everything that the court needs to literally get rid of this thing right now and grant that motion -- grant our motion for preliminary injunction. There's not even a need for more testimony on the issue.

And $I$ want to address the motion for appointment of receiver. And the Court is aware of the case law that talks about it's a harsh and extreme remedy if another remedy is available to achieve the same outcome, the Court can't do it, can't appoint a receiver. And I've gone through and talked about this, but there's another -- another thing that's really significant in this instance, and that is the case law talks about how difficult it is when you appoint a receiver, especially if it's a unique business, it actually harms the business. We have a unique business here. This is a firearms training center, and it is - it's huge, and it is run by people who have run it as it has grown. Not just anybody can walk in there and take care of that.

And $I$ will add -- $I$ will remind the Court of the evidence -- well, there isn't any evidence that would require a receiver be appointed. We have some
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| 10:20:08 | 1 | stuff in here like welve got an allegation that - - <br> about money and loans and all these different things. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | But what don't we have? We don't have a forensic |
|  | 4 | accountant. We don't have an expert of some sort who |
| 10:20:26 | 5 | says any of this is true. It's, oh, we've looked at |
|  | 6 | these and then we threw some numbers out there, and |
|  | 7 | it's not adequately capitalized. We don't know that it |
|  | 8 | will -- that -- that it's worth more than -- than the |
|  | 9 | \$6.3 million. |
| 10:20:39 | 10 | Well, I've got somebody, as I've provided the |
|  | 11 | Court, Romspen, who's willing to loan \$30 million on |
|  | 12 | this property. There is just no evidence to back any |
|  | 13 | Of that up. |
|  | 14 | The other thing is as I walk through all the |
| 10:20:55 | 15 | elements as $I$ was looking for appointment of |
|  | 16 | receivership motions, they have to show -- they have to |
|  | 17 | show that the property is insufficient to discharge the |
|  | 18 | mortgage debt, which I just addressed. They can't. |
|  | 19 | They haven't. And it's because it doesn't exist. |
| 10:21:11 | 20 | And, again, this is the second time this |
|  | 21 | motion has been brought for a receiver, and there was a |
|  | 22 | special master one brought in the middle of the two. |
|  | 23 | And we're seven, eight months down the road from the |
|  | 24 | first one and we still have nothing. |
| 10:21:26 | 25 | The other thing, when I walk through these |
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cases, over and over and over again as they get more and more into what elements there are that should be considered, then it becomes even more apparent that a receiver is absolutely not justified here.

And I've quoted cases, this Charmicor, I quote on page 24, says:
"Although appellant alludes to many facts in its brief which suggest that the property in question is suffering from waste, those facts are substantiated nowhere in the record before us. The record is void of any evidentiary matter proffered to the court below in support of appellant's motion for the appointment of a receiver."

That's exactly what we have right here. No evidence that supports it. And it is more than fully collateralized which really, by itself, makes that go away.

Now, another thing that $I$ wanted to point out is that there were several citations in the defendant's brief to cases that allegedly held that -- or they quoted certain things that they were supposed to be in support of their motion. I went through every single one of those. And as $I$ went through those, $I$ saw over and over again, some of them were a correct quote, but
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it was like a quote of the facts below or something. They really don't justify or support the holding that they say it does.

And then $I$ spent some time -- I'm sure the Court read it -- analyzing the Sterling Savings Bank case, and it listed nine factors. And $I$ - that was - the Sterling Savings Bank case was one of those cases where they quoted a little piece of it as if it supported their position, and $I$ walked through it. There were nine factors. Some of those factors applied here. I walked through and explained why there's no basis at all for appointment of a receiver.

Anyway, $I$ won't belabor the point. I had it in a brief, but it was too long; I had to take some of it out. I went through and addressed every single one that just simply don't support it.

So as $I$ said, we've addressed every breach. This -- we should -- the motion for preliminary injunction should be granted right now as we're standing here. The motion to dissolve the TRO should be denied. The motion for appointment of a receiver should be denied.

Let me just take one second and make sure. One of the things that -- a couple things that came up. So Mr. Greer mentioned unclean hands and said that
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| 10:24:16 | 1 | unclean hands does not apply here and he talked about where innocent third-party investors are involved. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | Back to my point from earlier: The jobs |
|  | 4 | requirement for the -- for whatever investors there are |
| 10:24:32 | 5 | was met more than a year ago. Their |
|  | 6 | should have been submitted more than a year ago. |
|  | 7 | The defendant's hands are unclean as to their |
|  | 8 | investors. The doctrine of unclean hands does not bar, |
|  | 9 | though it is -- it still applies in this instance. |
| 10:25:03 | 10 | Let me just, one second, check my notes. |
|  | 11 | Just a couple of points. I think I made them, |
|  | 12 | but one was they complain about access to documents. |
|  | 13 | I'm back to where is a financial expert or somebody who |
|  | 14 | says that they -- we haven't provided sufficient |
| 10:25:21 | 15 | information. Our experts have everything that they |
|  | 16 | needed from what we had given them. |
|  | 17 | Mr. Greer mentioned Cathy Holmes' article, |
|  | 18 | this article she had written and the red flags. |
|  | 19 | While -- again, while defendants continue to deny that |
| 10:25:38 | 20 | they have enough financial information, those red flags |
|  | 21 | that Ms. Holmes identified in her article aren't here |
|  | 22 | as evidenced again by the fact that we gave the |
|  | 23 | information to the experts and they provided a report. |
|  | 24 | They have also alleged that proceeding with |
| 10:25:57 | 25 | foreclosure protects the investors. And a couple of |
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comments on that. Number one, I asked how. If the -if the 1 -829 application should have been sent in over a year ago, $I$ ask how that happens.

Number two, nobody on this side of the room is qualified to answer that question.

We already know from the testimony, Mr. Dziubla doesn't have expertise in EB5; Mr. Fleming doesn't have expertise in EB5.

And so, again, it's one of those things that gets thrown out there. But, again, we're a year into the litigation, four months or - sorry - seven months since $I$ submitted the first expert report, and we have nothing from the other side to refute what our expert says.

Does the Court have any questions?
THE COURT: Not at this point. I was just
listening to what you were saying, sir.
MR. GREER: What was that?
MR. ALDRICH: Can $I$ have the Court's
indulgence for one second?
THE COURT: Yes, You can.
MR. ALDRICH: Thank You, Your Honor. Just a couple other quick things.

The Court may recall from Mr. Dziubla's testimony on the first day he testified that - he
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testified that $I$ had asked him about a plan $B$, and he said he is going to take over the project and raise the money and finish it.

And I asked, "Why didn't you raise the money before?" They can't raise money to finish it or they would have. They don't have any expertise in doing that. And the only person really protecting those EB5 investors right now is Front Sight as they continue to move forward to find the additional financing they need to go forward and protect them.

We've shown that the jobs were created and that they can -- they can submit their application. We've met everything we need to do.

And - and I'll note that the experts that we used for the jobs reports were - also our Ms. Holmes mentioned - some of the best out there in the whole country. Hundreds of reports. Okay? The other side is saying we haven't created the jobs from a guy who is an owner in the - in one of the defendant entities here.

So all that again $I$ just reiterate the court should grant the preliminary injunction right now and expunge the notice of default.

I don't know if $I$ raised any questions for the Court when $I$-- with my additional comments.
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THE COURT: I was just thinking about some of your discussions. And as it relates to this project, I think one of the statements you made that the EB5 investors were protected, $I$ guess, they could be protected by the deed of trust based upon priority, right, that's been filed on the property? would that be true or not true?
MR. ALDRICH: Are they protected by the deed of trust?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. ALDRICH: Well, there certainly is a deed of trust.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. ALDRICH: And the issue -- what we're hearing, though, is that they -- they don't want to lose their ability to submit this 1 -829 application for this visa. That's -- that's the part we keep hearing about.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. ALDRICH: Okay? That money -- the money has to be at risk. okay? And one of the things - - by the way, $I$ put in my supplement yesterday, one of the things we will be proposing to the court shortly is that we will put -- Front Sight will put $\$ 7$ million in an account. Okay? We want to fight about the $\$ 700,000$
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| 10:30:53 | 1 | that they want to claim, but their $\$ 6.3$ million, we can put it someplace so that we can move forward on the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | rest of the claims. We're willing to do that. |
|  | 4 | I have a commitment letter -- I'm going from |
| 10:31:06 | 5 | memory here, so -- but l believe it said the money can |
|  | 6 | come in by the 2nd of October. That -- again, that's |
|  | 7 | another reason why we would expunge the notice of |
|  | 8 | default. They're fully protected. It's almost like |
|  | 9 | bonding around it. But - so but the issue - - |
| 10:31:23 | 10 | THE COURT: Why couldn't Front Sight do this? |
|  | 11 | I mean, it might sound somewhat simplistic, but I would |
|  | 12 | anticipate the property is fairly valuable. Why |
|  | 13 | couldn't they refi and take this whole issue off the |
|  | 14 | table and still seek their damages? |
| 10:31:41 | 15 | MR. MEACHER: That's what we're doing. |
|  | 16 | MR. ALDRICH: That's what's happening, your |
|  | 17 | Honor. That's what the commitment letter -- that's |
|  | 18 | essentially what we're trying to do. We got a |
|  | 19 | commitment letter for money. The commitment letter |
| 10:31:49 | 20 | says right in there -- |
|  | 21 | THE COURT: So, I guess -- |
|  | 22 | MR. ALDRICH: -- they're going to take the |
|  | 23 | first 7 million and we're going to -- but what we're |
|  | 24 | asking is to put it -- I'll do a motion. We're going |
| 10:31:57 | 25 | to put it someplace so that it's protected while we |
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| 10:32:01 | 1 | finish litigating the claims. <br> THE COURT: I was just thinking. I mean, you |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  |
|  | 3 | could still litigate the claims by just paying off the |
|  | 4 | deed of trust. You still reserve the right to seek |
| $10: 32: 11$ | 5 | your damages if so; right? And this whole EB5 issue |
|  | 6 | becomes a nonissue. |
|  | 7 | MR. ALDRICH: Well, we -- |
|  | 8 | THE COURT: Potentially. |
|  | 9 | MR. ALDRICH: -- would agree. I think the |
| 10:32:22 | 10 | defendants probably disagree, because they're trying to |
|  | 11 | move forward on a construction loan agreement that |
|  | 12 | requires completion which, you know, our position is we |
|  | 13 | got duped into that particular provision. That's for |
|  | 14 | another time, but -- |
| 10:32:35 | 15 | THE COURT: I understand. |
|  | 16 | MR. ALDRICH: Okay. Anything else? |
|  | 17 | THE COURT: $\quad$ was just thinking -- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ was just |
|  | 18 | thinking about that would have a significant impact on |
|  | 19 | the litigation if that was to occur, l would think. I |
| 10:32:44 | 20 | don't know. |
|  | 21 | MR. ALDRICH: Yeah. |
|  | 22 | THE COURT: But I'm not a real estate investor |
|  | 23 | and I don't get involved in EB5 all the time. You |
|  | 24 | know, this is my first case involving this. But I was |
| 10:32:5125 |  | just listening. |
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| 10:32:53 | 1 | MR. ALDRICH: Any other questions? <br> THE COURT: Your client wants to talk to you |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | for a second. |
|  | 4 | MR. ALDRICH: All right. So we are -- there's |
| 10:33:32 | 5 | a couple of things. We are proposing to set aside the |
|  | 6 | \$ 7 million. But the issue is the money is going to be |
|  | 7 | at risk for these people while they're -- for the |
|  | 8 | application for the I-829. It's going to need to stay |
|  | 9 | that way until those get filed. So that's part of the |
| 10:33:47 | 10 | issue that we're having here. |
|  | 11 | I'll have a motion coming, but -- that will |
|  | 12 | explain that even more. But the jobs have been |
|  | 13 | created. They're good. And so we have the contractual |
|  | 14 | issue still to fight about. But all that, we've done |
| 10:34:01 | 15 | everything we need to do and we're asking the court to |
|  | 16 | expunge this notice of default. |
|  | 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, sir? |
|  | 18 | MR. ALDRICH: No. That's all. |
|  | 19 | MR. GREER: Your Honor, been a lot of new |
| 10:34:24 | 20 | things injected into the venue here, into the forum |
|  | 21 | today. |
|  | 22 | Could we take a break at this time? I'd like |
|  | 23 | to meet with my clients and ingest all of what just |
|  | 24 | happened. |
| 10:34:33 | 25 | THE COURT: Oh, of course, you can. You know |
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| 10:34:35 | 1 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | involved in this matter. And you've noticed I've been |
|  | 4 | very cautious as we've approached and gone through this |
| 10:34:48 | 5 | litigation. |
|  | 6 | And one of the primary reasons I asked |
|  | 7 | Mr. Aldrich that question is this: Ultimately, at some |
|  | 8 | point I might have to make some very difficult |
|  | 9 | positions -- $\quad$ mean decisions. But I've always been a |
| 10:35:05 | 10 | firm believer that parties should try to control their |
|  | 11 | own destiny. And I realize sometimes that can't happen |
|  | 12 | and I have to do what $I$ have to do. |
|  | 13 | But I don't know if we're at that point yet. |
|  | 14 | Depending on how the day goes, I mean, I might have to |
| 10:35:17 | 15 | make some tough decisions. I mean, I'm just going to |
|  | 16 | tell you this. There's a lot of documents here on my |
|  | 17 | plate. I'm going to go back and review everything |
|  | 18 | before I make a decision, because I never -- I can't |
|  | 19 | remember making any decisions in haste. That's the |
| 10:35:30 | 20 | best way I can say that. In 14 years I never do that, |
|  | 21 | you know, because there's -- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ get what's at risk. |
|  | 22 | But irll let you take a break. And when you |
|  | 23 | call -- when you need me, just let the marshal know, |
|  | 24 | and we'll get started again. |
| 10:35:44 | 25 | THE MARSHAL: All rise. |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR <br> (702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM |
|  |  | Pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment |

Pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment.

11:20:49

MR. GREER: About 20 minutes?

THE COURT: That's fine.

$$
\begin{gathered}
-000- \\
(\text { Recess }) \\
\text {-000- }
\end{gathered}
$$

THE COURT: All right. So $I$ guess we can continue on.

MR. GREER: We are back.
THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GREER: I mentioned when we -- when we took the break some new information that was interjected here, and that was the wire transfer, which we were able to confirm for about $\$ 109,000$ which is three months' worth of regular interest payments.

What was not received was because those payments were not paid on time, it was event of default for which Front Sight was given notice; welve given copies of those notices to the court. So, therefore, there's default interest owed on those three months of about another $\$ 100,000$. So there is still now monetary default not just for default interest due because of the performance failures but also now because of a monetary default.

Interestingly, one of the arguments was that the notice of default that's been filed with Nye county is inaccurate or technically inadequate because it does
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not identify the past due payments as being part of the default.

Well, now that those payments have been paid, and the only thing remaining is the default interest on those payments, it actually makes that notice perfectly on point because the notice does request default interest and gives Front sight notice that is being requested in the default and the foreclosure process.

The EB5 evidence, your Honor, we contend is really just -- the number of jobs that are created here at this time is not relevant to the proceedings on the breach of contract or the defaults under the construction loan agreement.

Whenever Front Sight, Mr. Aldrich was going through the list of the defaults, whenever there was a default that they had to admit there was a default under the construction loan agreement, they then shifted over to, But EB5 doesn't mean this and EB5 doesn't mean that. You can't do that. The EB5 regulations don't supplant the language of the construction loan agreements.

And so $I$ would like to address, though, to the extent just to -- because the evidence is before the Court, first of all, your Honor, this is exactly why hearsay evidence, which is what this is, should not be
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allowed in court. We don't have the author of the EB5 economic analysis here, so we don't even know what that person relied upon. That's not included in the exhibit. We just have these numbers. And in several places that says based on information per client or, you know, per Front Sight.

But if your Honor could look, here's page -- I can direct the Court if the Court is interested in seeing it. $I$ don't think it matters, but if the Court does wrong -- the Court does believe it matters, $I$ would direct the Court to a couple of the documents which show that our position on this is correct.

The only page of the economic analysis that even comes close to mattering would be page 3 of the supplemental report. All the other parts of the - of the initial analysis which was done in 2013 and of the supplemental report subsequently cover periods of time that are inappropriate.

For example, from July 2016 forward, it doesn't really matter. From July of 2015 forward doesn't really matter.

The only time it starts to matter is once the construction loan agreement is signed and the EB5 money comes in to front sight.

Now, there is an exception and this is, again,
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11:26:12 25 be created by spending $\$ 6.758$ million on hard construction. That would be true if that money was
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spent on hard construction. How do we know how much of that money was spent on hard construction creating jobs and how much was spent paying off other loans which doesn't create jobs?

Remember, these aren't -- these aren't bridge loans. These are preexisting loans well before 2013 namely. And this where $I$ refer the Court to page 5 through 7 of their brief.

If you look at page 5, line 20 in the chart there, they say these are -- they're analyzing how much was spent on the project, and they call it expense category and then the totals. There are only two items that go into construction here, $I$ believe, and they're the first two items on that chart, one for 994,000 , one for a million. And then on the next page, maybe the consulting fees for 82,000 might go into that. Everything else is 500,000 , pay off class action lien. 1.8 million, pay down class action lien. 6 million, pay down Holochek note, which has been around all the way back since the class action. That note's that old. It wasn't new money that was spent on the property as a bridge loan. 1.4 million, pay off Holochek loan.

So of the 12 million they identify there, all but about 2.4 million is spent on paying off other loans. That doesn't create jobs. It has to be spent
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| 11:27:45 | 1 | on hard construction. <br> So we have -- and these numbers, according to, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  |
|  | 3 | You know, Mr. Dziubla and LVD Fund's calculations, we |
|  | 4 | come up with about 2.5 to 2.7 million dollars of that |
| 11:27:57 | 5 | 6.7 that was spent actually on construction. So that's |
|  | 6 | consistent with their table -- plaintiff's table on |
|  | 7 | page 5. |
|  | 8 | Then if you go to page 6 and 7 there is the |
|  | 9 | second table that talks about construction categories, |
| 11:28:08 | 10 | and it identifies where the money's spent. The first |
|  | 11 | entry is construction costs from June 30, 2017, through |
|  | 12 | July 1, 2018, 2 million. |
|  | 13 | And then the next expenses 1.9 million, the |
|  | 14 | Holochek note. You've got 600,000 in another Holochek |
| 11:28:30 | 15 | note. |
|  | 16 | Other construction costs, 60,60 -- if you add |
|  | 17 | up just the construction costs and deduct the amount |
|  | 18 | spent paying off the Holochek loan, you come up with |
|  | 19 | about \$2.7 million of hard construction costs. That's |
| 11:28:45 | 20 | what was spent. |
|  | 21 | And here is -- and this -- and Mr. Aldrich |
|  | 22 | actually read the most important part of Ms. DeBono's |
|  | 23 | report. And this is -- this is -- I just want to make |
|  | 24 | sure the Court gets this and make sure that Front sight |
| 11:28:59 | 25 | gets this, is she says: | gets this, is she says:
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"As long as the investors can show that their capital was invested in the project generally described in the business plan filed with the USCIS, whether there were changes in size of the project or changes in the budget or construction timeline, EB5 investors will receive their visas so long as the number of jobs created as a result of the work on the project are sufficient for each investor in the project, you know, to meet their requirements. The USCIS does not deny visas to EB5 investors in projects where there's been a change in construction or change in construction budget." What's that saying in there is getting the number of jobs is what's essential, and that money is fungible. Front sight by the contract construction loan agreement could take that money and pay off a loan. They could do that.

But what that means is the next money that comes in needs to go into construction because eventually -- and the dates here, the cutoff date we had in the contract is two weeks away -- but eventually they had to put enough money into the project, in construction, in new construction, when the EB5 money came in and in order to create those jobs. And it's - -

Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR
(702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM
pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment.

11:30:13

11:30:30

11:30:4710

11

12

11:31:0315

16

11:31:4025
it winds up being about seven and a half, \$8 million total expenditures to create the jobs. And by Front Sight's own papers, they show that only about 2.5 to \$2.7 million was spent on construction.

Now, if there had been additional construction, we should -- LVD Fund needs to know about it. One of the breaches is giving monthly - reports of monthly construction costs to LVD Fund.

And in that same paragraph $I$ just read to you and Mr. Aldrich read to you earlier they say that USCIS doesn't deny investors, EB5 investors, you know, visas when the number of jobs are created even if there's changes in the project or, you know, changes in the budget or changes in the timeline.

What's happened -- has to happen, she doesn't address there and Mr. Dziubla has, is LVD Fund needs to know that. They have - the contract gives them a right, if they get -- it gives Front sight the obligation to keep LVD Fund apprised of these changes because LVD Fund has to report this to the USCIS.

Front $S i g h t$ says, $O h$, we made these changes, but it's not material. The Patriot Pavilion, you know, meant 85,000 square feet for the whole project. And all we're doing is changing the size of the classroom. No big deal.
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going to be enough construction there if it's completed to create all those jobs, but he can't keep a secret. He can't just say, Trust me. The contract is designed so that Mr. Dziubla and LVD Fund can meet its obligation to its EB5 investors and -- and to the USCIS to report these changes, to report changes in the schedule, to report changes in the budget, to keep the USCIS apprised of all these things. And that's where these performance breaches have some into play where there are no plans, no Nye County plans ever received.

That's the bank statements and Mr. Aldrich said, What are we asking for? We never asked for anything specific. We've asked for bank statements every time welve filed papers with this Court, your Honor. We've asked for Nye County-approved plans every time we've come into this court.

And so $I$ hope the Court has had enough time with all these variances here to understand that the general nature of the EB5 process is that money has to go into construction. It can't go off just to be used to pay off another loan elsewhere. And if that money is used to pay off another loan, other money has to come in in order to make the construction and meet the jobs.
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. credit for more than a year. They've done nothing with
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it. What makes -- what makes any of us think that now getting this new line of credit is going to change anything at all?

Your Honor, it's -- we've been screaming and Mr. Aldrich brought that up, we've been in this Court $I$ don't know how many times asking for a receiver, you know, for -- for relief for, you know, court intervention of some type. Now a whole year has almost gone by and there has been no activity on front sight, and now the time is past and now we're getting up against the wall for these EB5 investors.

I think that -- that at this point in time we need to do -- I would be asking for two things. At the minimum we got to do one, your Honor, because we've -because right now we've got no progress reports, we have no EB5 documentation including bank statements, no plans.

The changes they say they've made, we've got no documentation as to what those changes are. The changes in the schedule which have clearly been made, we've got no documentation showing why they changed, how they're going to remedy the situation, when the new due date is. We're just in the dark here with a report coming up in just a couple of months here.

And so we need two things, your Honor. We
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need to either let -- ask the Court to take off our handcuffs and let us go forward with the remedies that are allowed for in the contract, which is the foreclosure process. I think that the foreclosure process, it may not lead to a foreclosure, but it may compel resolution in a fair way. $\quad$ think that this particular defendant isn't going to do anything until he's made to, and we need to get to that point.

So either let us do it, let LVD Fund do its job, protect its investors. And if the Court somehow does -- for some reason doesn't feel comfortable with that, then jump in, intervene, get a receiver, get a special master in there. You know, do you believe Mr. Aldrich, Mr. Greer, Mr. Piazza, Mr. Dziubla? There's a lot of moving parts here. I can tell you that one thing $I$ really want to get across is the court needs to protect people. That's what the court is here for.

And, you know, these issues of the EB5, you know, which jobs are created, which aren't, and what documentation is available, and what needs to be given, et cetera. That may be - the only way to get to that is to get somebody appointed in here as a receiver who the Court can trust, who can look at all this, talk to both sides, look at the documents, and recommend to the
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pay their attorney's fees. So we did a motion to ask the Court to allow us to continue to make those payments into a separate account. We expressed the intention that we would continue to make the payments. When the court ruled on that, that order was entered last Friday. Front Sight made the payment on Tuesday.

So it's our position we had sought the -we're not in default on that. Default interest is not warranted because that money -- we had made that request to the Court. Once the court denied it and the order was entered, they paid it. We're good. so that's - -

THE COURT: Well, here's my question: $I$ mean, filing a motion with the Court asking specific relief pursuant to the contract doesn't stay the obligation to make payments; right? And so -- and that's my point. Just because you ask the Court for something whether you win or lose, you still have that obligation pursuant to a contract to perform, and that's how things are done. And so $I$ don't think that's necessarily an adequate explanation as to why timely payments were made. Because what you do is you make them. Then you continue to seek some sort of relief from the Court. Or, for example, the TRO, you might - or a preliminary injunction, you might disagree with
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it. You follow the court order and then you appeal it. I mean, that's how processes work, you know.

MR. ALDRICH: Um-hum.
THE COURT: And so --

MR. ALDRICH: Some - -

THE COURT: I'm not sure about that one. But then I'm looking here -- and here's the thing. And, Mr. Aldrich, you've been in front of me many times before. You know I don't -- I don't proceed cavalierly. $\quad$ I always take my time, especially in cases like this. And what's unique about it is, I mean, I've never had an EB5 case, and $I$ have a much better understanding than the first day you walked in here. And so ultimately at some point, you know, because I try to give the parties an opportunity to control their own destiny. Sometimes $I$ feel parties don't necessarily appreciate that. And then it's like my first law clerk who used to say, Well, Judge, you have to come down with a velvet hammer and you have to make tough decisions. And $I$ don't mind doing that as long as I've had time doing it, $I$ mean, to assess the circumstances. So we are where we are today; right? And it appears to me that on some level I'm going to have to make some decisions over the next few days regarding this matter.
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And, in fact, an issue will resolve that, the 5, 000-dollar issue. But, again, our position remains on that.

A couple of things that are important to under -- to remember here: These economists know what they're doing. They're among the best in the country. And we've heard today that they need -- defendants need information that they claim we're not getting. The information we've given to the experts was plenty, but they need this information to be kept in the dark because they need to give it to the USCIS for these reports.

I remind the court of the testimony that Mr. Dziubla gave, $I$ believe it was the first day he testified. He did not tell the USCIS in his report last year that this was in litigation.
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When $I$ asked him why, he said, Well, there wasn't a field for that on the form.

So $I$ questioned that the -- that there's this issue of wanting to, you know, get information to make sure USCIS knows what's going on.

And $I$ think that there was a statement there was no -- there have been no evidence of misrepresentations. $\quad$ would, obviously, strongly disagree with that. $I$-- I'd be happy to go through the misrepresentation chart $I$ made. The only problem is it's about 40 pages long of things that were said that turned out not to be true based on the testimony that we've had here.

THE COURT: And $I$ do remember you going through that in some detail.

MR. ALDRICH: And $I$ have.

THE COURT: Here's my point: Ultimately, is this still a jury trial or not? $I$ don't know.

MR. GREER: NO.

MR. ALDRICH: Well, that's its own dispute, I suppose, at this point, but --

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, I don't have to that's a ground we don't have to plow right now, $I$ think.

But my point is this: There's two issues
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the EB5 and compliance. I understand that.
But then we have the three payments, and now there's an outstanding issue as to whether or not there is interest money due and owing at this time. And so, I mean, those are things $I$ have to consider too.

MR. ALDRICH: Sure.

THE COURT: Yeah. Is there -- and I don't want to overlook whatever point you want to make at this stage.

MR. ALDRICH: I just want to - a couple - I guess a couple other things. The Court mentioned one of the facts. We've listed a whole bunch of factors. We've walked through all those factors. And this is all fully collateralized. We also, like $I$ said, are have got a situation where we can essentially post the amount. We're going to ask the Court to do that in $a-$ - in $a \operatorname{blocked}$ account, but we can do that to fully resolve anyway.

THE COURT: No. Here's my question, though: Why would $I$-- why would a court do that? And the reason why I'm asking that is this: Regardless of the squabbles, and maybe that's -- or the allegations as to fraud in the inducement and those types of things, $I$ get that. But my question is this: It seems to me, unless I'm missing something -- and you can tell me if
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I'm wrong or not -- if those monies were paid to satisfy the first deed of trust, that would impact your client's abilities to still proceed with all their claims they're making as it pertains to fraud and the like, wouldn't it?

And the reason why $I$ think that's important, because at the end of the day, one thing -- $I$ guess this is one of the issues where there doesn't appear to be any issues of material fact would be this: There was a certain sum of money transferred; right? And we can all agree to that.

MS. HOLBERT: Right.
THE COURT: I mean, that's not a controversy on any level, is it?

MS. HOLBERT: No, I don't think so. Not by
investors.

THE COURT: And I'll state it another way:
EB5 money was invested; right?
MS. HOLBERT: Right.
MR. ALDRICH: Yes, your Honor. So here's the issue. And the way we get to that, remember that there's this I-829 application that's supposed to happen when the jobs are created. The money is supposed to be at risk until that's approved. And so the money is going to need to be at risk.
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Unfortunately, it's not a situation where my client can just walk in and pay it off and have the defendants go away.

THE COURT: Why -- why -- well, yes and no, I guess. I guess it all depends. I mean, your client has their claims, and I'm not even talking -- it might -- because remember my original question was this: $W$ ould satisfaction of the underlying first deed of trust impact or preclude your client from still proceeding on their claims as it relates to fraud in the inducement and all those claims that are part of this case? I don't think they would, you know, but nonetheless -- and they could still make claims for damages and the like. But that would definitely change the posture of the case in many respects.

And the reason why $I$ bring that up, how is that any different than taking 7 million and setting that aside in an account versus satisfying the deeds of trust? There's no more EB5 reporting requirements. All that's off the table, $I$ think.

MR. GREER: Your Honor, here's the -- the problem is, your Honor, the contract forbids that. THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GREER: And it isn't - payment of the loan isn't something that resolves the problem. It's
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the jobs have to be created.
It has -- we need to have Front sight put
about another 5 or $\$ 6$ million into construction. That will create the jobs. And after the jobs are created, then we could -- then they can pay off the loan.

So depending on how fast Front Sight gets moving on this, they might be able to pay it off in a year, you know. But it's -- it's really not a situation where they just pay off the loan and walk away.

MR. ALDRICH: And that's why we're proposing to put it someplace where it protects everybody and it sits over there, but --

THE COURT: SO, I mean, hypothetically if the first deed of trust was satisfied, what would be the damage calculation? I mean, I don't know. I'm just thinking -- I mean, $I$ can't call you up on the phone; right --

MS. HOLBERT: Right.
MR. GREER: Here's --
THE COURT: -- and tell you what I'm thinking about.

MR. GREER: I guess.
THE COURT: But in open court, $I$ can express my thoughts to everyone; right? Because I do listen.
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(Unreportable cross-talk)

THE COURT REPORTER: I need one at a time.

MR. GREER: Your Honor, here's where the damages would be.

You would have 13 people whose dream of becoming citizens is now squashed and the emotional damages that go along with that and the impact on their families. That's -- that's the damage of paying off the loan and not meeting their obligations to work on the project.

The -- these investors would then have to go find another project. Perhaps, go to the back of the line, if they can find the space. The laws are changing here fairly quickly and they're doubling the amounts of -- required to get in, so that each one of those investors would have damages of an amount just about equal to their investment, because now to get back in line it's going to cost them $\$ 1$ million rather than half a million dollars, so you'd have damages of \$6.75 million even after the loan is paid off is the problem. This thing has to get done.

MR. ALDRICH: The jobs are created. We have proof right here.

THE COURT: Well, here's the thing. I mean, now -- and $I$ won't even go there. $I$ mean, $I$ listened
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to that, and $I$ thought about $s i x$ or seven legal issues, and I'm not going to bring those up because they've been brought up. But $I$ understand the position. But continue on, sir.

MR. ALDRICH: Well, obviously, it's important for the Court to understand how this works. And that is that when the jobs are met that have been created, then the approval process has to be started. Mr. Dziubla is the one who has to do that. If he's here really looking out for all these people, he should have submitted that information last year. And it makes no sense that they're coming in here now and saying, $O h$, no, we don't have the job creation. We absolutely do have the job creation. It's right here. Two of the country's biggest experts have said so. What's the counter? Huh-uh. From who? From the person who admitted this was his first EB5 project.

Let's be serious here. It's exactly what we have. We have done everything that we need to do. Everybody is fully protected.

And so anyway, we've -- and then, I guess, the Court's already heard me, but I'm -- I'm - obviously this -- this fraud issue, the mention there's no fraud, it's over and over and over again. I've been over this. I know the Court is aware of that. But that is
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not an accurate representation.
THE COURT: Remember, it's not my job unless
we have a trial on the merits to make it a factual determination; right?

MR. ALDRICH: Sure. But to the extent that it goes to we've been hearing reasonable likelihood of success on the merits and all that, that's all out there.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. ALDRICH: Any other questions, your Honor?
THE COURT: NO.

MR. GREER: One minute if $I$ could, your Honor.
THE COURT: Yeah.
MR. GREER: First of all, as I stated before, the document that's -- the 2013 economic analysis is hearsay, should not be considered by the court at all. And so Mr. Aldrich says, Well, these experts got enough to make the decision, you know. That's got to be good enough. Well, what did these "experts" review? What did they rely upon? What were they given?

MR. ALDRICH: It's in there.
MR. GREER: It is in there?
MR. ALDRICH: It's listed.
MR. GREER: It's comments from Mr. Piazza.
That's what it is. And if you look at the table that
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really matters, all it says is the loan amount, 6.75 times the multiplier, and that number is just per client. There is not -- if -- your Honor, here, this may be the best reason to get a receiver in place is: How do you make that decision if it's an issue - -

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear.
MR. GREER: I don't think it's an issue.
But if the Court does really want to hammer that down, appoint a receiver. Have a receiver. That is the type of accounting issue and assessment issue receivers are perfect for. And the receivers can get those reports from both sides, ask for the supporting documentation, and actually analyze the two competing interests with regards to the number of jobs that have been created. That's exactly why a receiver should be -- should be appointed in this place and this time.

And also, your Honor, with regard to who's making the decisions on questions of fact, it's your Honor. We put in our brief that -- we've referenced the part of the plaintiffs all -- in all capital letters in the construction loan agreement, "Borrower waives a right to jury trial on all issues relating to the loan or the loan documents." That means all the breaches, everything leading up to this is going to be heard before your Honor and also --
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| 11:54:52 | 1 | THE COURT: But one - and I'm not necessarily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | disagreeing with that - - |
|  | 3 | MR. GREER: Um-hum. |
|  | 4 | THE COURT: -- per se if that's in the |
| 11:54:57 | 5 | contract, and we can -- when it comes to the waiver of |
|  | 6 | right to a jury trial, regardless of whether a jury |
|  | 7 | demand is made, we can go to the AT\&T v. Concepcion |
|  | 8 | case which originated out of California that was heard |
|  | 9 | by our United States Supreme Court as it relates to, |
| 11:55:16 | 10 | for an example, mandatory arbitration. |
|  | 11 | And I think everyone probably remembers what |
|  | 12 | they did with that, and that was in a simple consumer |
|  | 13 | contract situation regarding cell phones, and it was a |
|  | 14 | class action litigation case. And I think it was |
| 11:55:36 | 15 | Justice Scalia that heard that matter. And at the end |
|  | 16 | Of the day, based upon the Uniform Arbitration Act, he |
|  | 17 | said arbitration was mandatory pursuant to the |
|  | 18 | contractual agreement. And that was a consumer setting |
|  | 19 | where typically you have issues regarding |
| 11:55:56 | 20 | unconscionability, both procedural and substantive; |
|  | 21 | right? And the US Supreme Court said no. |
|  | 22 | Here we have -- here we have sophisticated |
|  | 23 | businesses and you have a contractual provision. And |
|  | 24 | unless there is a specific waiver at the end of the day |
| 11:56:13 | 25 | as a trial court, I would probably feel obligated to |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR <br> (702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM |
|  |  | Pursuant to NRS 239.053, illegal to copy without payment. |

Pursuant to NRS 239.053 , illegal to copy without payment.

11:56:17

11:56:23

11:56:3910

11

12

13

11:56:5315

16

17

11:57:0520

21

11:57:1325
follow the intent of the contract. So I just want to be very clear on that, although that hasn't been addressed. But $I$ understand what the law is in this area.

And so we can kind of move back away from that for now. But understand this: There's different standards regarding Rule 65. I know that probability of success on the merits. But when it comes to ultimate factual determinations, that happens at a trial. $W e$ can all kind of agree with that, you know. Unless certain issues of fact are uncontroverted, then it would be appropriate for the trial court to make a determination pursuant to Rule 56. And I think everyone knows that too.

But anyway --
MR. GREER: You know, that same decision, your Honor, shows this -- this waiver -- this jury waiver will withstand a fraud in the inducement argument because you have to show that there is a specific frad in inducing that provision of the contract in order - in order for fraud in the inducement to --

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. GREER: -- affect that waiver; right?
And here, they're making an issue that all these prior misrepresentations, alleged
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2 3
 the Court may order the trial of the action on the merits be advanced and consolidated with hearings of the application. Right?

MR. GREER: Um-hum.
THE COURT: And we didn't -- did we talk about
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| 11:58:54 | 1 | that? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | MS. HOLBERT: NO. |
|  | 3 | MR. GREER: Your Honor, in passing once. |
|  | 4 | There was just -- not substantively. |
| 11:59:00 | 5 | THE COURT: I read these cases. |
|  | 6 | MR. GREER: Early on. |
|  | 7 | THE COURT: I think I 'm a good listener. But |
|  | 8 | I do read the rules and $I$ do think about cases. |
|  | 9 | And -- and the reason why I -- here's what's |
| 11:59:11 | 10 | important about that, because to me that stands for the |
|  | 11 | position of efficiency. |
|  | 12 | And so we -- we spent a lot of time in this |
|  | 13 | case. And I've heard a lot of testimony. We have a |
|  | 14 | lot of transcripts. And so I thought -- I think that |
| 11:59:25 | 15 | goes to efficiency because you can have your tro |
|  | 16 | hearing, you can have -- or preliminary injunction, |
|  | 17 | you've heard all this testimony. And then what the |
|  | 18 | rules at least -- and understand this: I haven't |
|  | 19 | looked at the historical perspective of the rule. But |
| 11:59:37 | 20 | by its plain meaning, the trial court can say, okay, |
|  | 21 | I've heard all of this now, let's have some finality, |
|  | 22 | and make a determination, and it turns into a trial on |
|  | 23 | the merits. |
|  | 24 | And that's what it appears to me. And |
| 11:59:55 | 25 | understand, I'm not -- |
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MR. GREER: Right. Right. Right.
THE COURT: -- sometime in September or October.

MR. GREER: Right.
THE COURT: Right?
MR. GREER: Right.
THE COURT: I've said that.
MR. GREER: Yeah.
THE COURT: Because here we have competing interests. And at the end of the day, finality is required for the plaintiff and the defendant on some level, you know. And -- and sometimes, you know, 1 try to be patient, let everyone control their own destiny,
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| 12:00:51 | 1 | but $I$ don't mind making decisions. $I$ don't. But $I$ want to give everybody a first run at it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | MS. HOLBERT: Right. |
|  | 4 | THE COURT: Especially under the facts of this |
| 12:00:57 | 5 | case which, to me, was a significant issue of first |
|  | 6 | impression. I don't -- I don't know what the fallout |
|  | 7 | from a policy perspective could be. But all l can do |
|  | 8 | is deal with what's on my plate; right? So that's why |
|  | 9 | I kind of asked that, because I'm sitting here thinking |
| 12:01:13 | 10 | about it. And yes and no as far as your -- an answer |
|  | 11 | to your question. You say, Well, Judge, you're the |
|  | 12 | ultimate finder of fact. Potentially under Rule 65 I |
|  | 13 | could be because it can be consolidated into a trial on |
|  | 14 | the merits. |
| 12:01:31 | 15 | And that's my initial impression. I'm not |
|  | 16 | saying that's the final impression. Of course, if |
|  | 17 | something like that occurred, $I$ don't rule sua sponte. |
|  | 18 | I would demand briefing and stuff like that. And the |
|  | 19 | reason why $I$ do that is for appellate purposes, because |
| 12:01:45 | 20 | I like to get affirmed on appeal. I don't mind saying |
|  | 21 | that. |
|  | 22 | MR. GREER: Thank you. |
|  | 23 | I don't have anything more on those issues. |
|  | 24 | Any other questions for scheduling, though. I know we |
| 12:01:57 | 25 | have witnesses here. We have Mr. Fleming. We've got |
|  |  | Peggy Isom, CCR 541, RMR <br> (702)671-4402-CROERT48@GMAIL.COM |
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| 12:02:47 | 1 | talking about it and the Court has mentioned previously |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | how long this has taken -- |
|  | 3 | THE COURT: Yes. |
|  | 4 | MR. ALDRICH: -- and the Court wished it set a |
| 12:02:54 | 5 | trial date. |
|  | 6 | THE COURT: Yes. |
|  | 7 | MR. ALDRICH: That's all fine. But I'm - |
|  | 8 | we're not going to move the motions are going to allow |
|  | 9 | me to get discovery to a different day. |
| 12:03:01 | 10 | I issued discovery on time. They were |
|  | 11 | objected to untimely. $\quad$ want them heard today. |
|  | 12 | THE COURT: I -- |
|  | 13 | MR. ALDRICH: But I do have a couple of |
|  | 14 | comments on that prior motion when we get there. |
| 12:03:12 | 15 | THE COURT: I'm - Mr. Aldrich, I'm a big |
|  | 16 | believer in due process. And I can't remember ever |
|  | 17 | circumventing the processes that are in place. So I |
|  | 18 | wouldn't worry about that. We will be able to talk |
|  | 19 | about that. But we can handle that in two ways. |
| 12:03:27 | 20 | I mean, number one, because this is -- this is |
|  | 21 | kind of how I look at it, because it's a matter of |
|  | 22 | convenience. And we can handle -- what do - what do I |
|  | 23 | have Monday morning? Because, remember, this is |
|  | 24 | business court, so $I$ can accommodate everyone in ways $I$ |
| 12:03:47 | 25 | normally don't do in general civil litigation. |
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| 12:03:51 |  | THE COURT CLERK: You have an appointment at |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 1:00 and 2:00, and that's it. |
|  | 3 | THE COURT: Okay. And the reason why I say |
|  | 4 | that is this: From an efficiency perspective it seems |
| 12:03:59 | 5 | to me we can do two things: |
|  | 6 | Number one, since time is of the essence |
|  | 7 | potentially as it relates to the discovery, demands and |
|  | 8 | responses, we can hear that today and we can also hear |
|  | 9 | that Monday morning; right? So we -- and -- and, |
| 12:04:17 | 10 | Mr. Greer, you've been here many times. I know you |
|  | 11 | argue -- you can appear telephonic on that. That's not |
|  | 12 | $a \mathrm{big}$ deal. It really and truly isn't. |
|  | 13 | MR. GREER: Actually a week would be good. |
|  | 14 | THE COURT: Yeah. But I'm just saying I want |
| 12:04:27 | 15 | to get this -- |
|  | 16 | MR. GREER: Here's - first of all, your |
|  | 17 | Honor, Mr. Aldrich is worried about discovery. We're |
|  | 18 | worried about a TRO that should have been done in 15 |
|  | 19 | days. It's now been nine months. So there is a lot of |
| 12:04:37 | 20 | competing interests here. But I would like to get |
|  | 21 | these witnesses on the stand today. If we have time at |
|  | 22 | the end of the day when they were done, then we can |
|  | 23 | hear the motions. Otherwise I know -- |
|  | 24 | THE COURT: It's my - and I don't want to cut |
| 12:04:4725 |  | you off, but it's my recollection you said, Judge, I |
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| 12:04:49 | 1 | can do this really efficiently as far as what $I$ want to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | do, no more than an hour or so. |
|  | 3 | MR. GREER: Um-hum. Yes, sir. Still planning |
|  | 4 | on that. |
| 12:04:56 | 5 | THE COURT: I remember. |
|  | 6 | MR. GREER: Still planning on it. Might even |
|  | 7 | be that short amount of time. |
|  | 8 | But then I'm gone and I'm out of the country |
|  | 9 | for the week. But $I$ know that a week from monday I'm |
| 12:05:05 | 10 | available. $\quad$ can fly right back in here Monday |
|  | 11 | morning. |
|  | 12 | THE COURT: So, Mr. Aldrich, why can't we |
|  | 13 | recess until 1:15, one hour of testimony, and then |
|  | 14 | after we are done with that hour, we can argue your |
| 12:05:15 | 15 | motions? |
|  | 16 | MR. ALDRICH: So we're going to go to lunch |
|  | 17 | and come back and do one hour of testimony? |
|  | 18 | THE COURT: Yes. |
|  | 19 | MR. GREER: Mr. Piazza-- |
| 12:05:21 | 20 | THE COURT: And then we argue your motions. |
|  | 21 | MR. GREER: I can't-- |
|  | 22 | MS. HOLBERT: Yeah. Whose testimony? |
|  | 23 | MR. ALDRICH: That's the next question. |
|  | 24 | (Unreportable cross-talk) |
| 12:05:27 | 25 | THE COURT REPORTER: I need one at a time. |
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5
and understand this: $I$ mean, $I$ listen. And it's my recollection that unfortunately we had to continue the last hearing. And one of the thrusts and focuses of the last hearing was to hear at least one hour of testimony from Mr. Piazza. And if you want to look at the record, I'm quite sure the record would reflect that.

MR. ALDRICH: I'd be happy. I have it with me if the Court wants to see it. It's actually 30 minutes is what he said he needed. And --

THE COURT: I think he said --

MR. ALDRICH: I remember --

THE COURT: Wait. Wait. I think he said, Judge, $I$ can get it in 30 minutes, but $I$ just - -

MR. ALDRICH: "All I need is 30 minutes."
That's what he said. And so $I$ brought my - luckily I have a timer on my watch, on my phone.

MR. GREER: I'm good with 30 minutes. MR. ALDRICH: But we - THE COURT: He said he's good with 30. MR. ALDRICH: There you go. So -- but, remember, my objection to the fact that we've been waiting to talk to Mr. Fleming too. And so that - you know, we -- we think he should go first, but
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| 12:06:25 | 1 | nonetheless we'll figure it out. Werll -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | THE COURT: Yeah. But, I mean, we have -- |
|  | 3 | it's - and you know what? And that's my point, and I |
|  | 4 | think -- and once again I go back to the drafters of |
| 12:06:38 | 5 | Rule 65, because I really never paid that much |
|  | 6 | attention to that specific provision. But when you |
|  | 7 | think about it, it really makes a heck of a lot of |
|  | 8 | sense, because what has happened over time, we haven't |
|  | 9 | just had testimony, but some of the -- some of the |
| 12:06:56 | 10 | testimony at times has been deposition-like. |
|  | 11 | Right? Right? It has. |
|  | 12 | MS. HOLBERT: Right. Right. |
|  | 13 | THE COURT: You know, I was a litigator. |
|  | 14 | Filed over a thousand lawsuits in this jurisdiction. I |
| 12:07:10 | 15 | don't mind saying that. $\quad$ d did. |
|  | 16 | MS. HOLBERT: Right. |
|  | 17 | THE COURT: You know, and they weren't |
|  | 18 | collection cases. They were all tort cases, med mal, |
|  | 19 | products liability, and those types of things. And I |
| 12:07:20 | 20 | was -- I've been listening, you know. |
|  | 21 | And so anyway, I think we're going to recess |
|  | 22 | until 1:15, and we'll have our testimony from both |
|  | 23 | sides, and then we'll have enough time -- we're not |
|  | 24 | going to overlook you, sir. We're going to make sure |
| 12:07:32 | 25 | you say your piece as far as the outstanding discovery |
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12:08:3125
requests.
And that way welve been efficient today.
Mr. Aldrich, did you want to add something else?
MR. ALDRICH: I do, Judge. Very briefly on the motion for $T R O$ and stuff we were talking about. But $I$ wanted to just address a couple things. The economic report, Mr. Greer said there's not really information in there about it. Appendix $A$, the economic report goes through what was looked at. Okay? That's just information that we've provided already to the defendants.
The default interest issue we would love to know -- maybe over lunch we can get a calculation of how they came up with $\$ 100,000$ for the default interest because we don't think it's nearly that high. And then we'll take a look at - maybe take care of it as were standing here, but --
MR. GREER: We can do that on the record, I guess. It's default is defined as 5 percent additional interest. And so if you take 5 percent of 6.75 million times three months.
MR. ALDRICH: So you're calculating it as 5 percent of --
MR. GREER: And that's stretched out over
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five --
THE COURT REPORTER: Your Honor, can we go off the record?

THE COURT: We'll go off the record. Welll go to lunch. See you at 1:15.

MS. HOLBERT: Thank you, your Honor.

(Recess)
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THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and go back on the record. And let's state our appearances.

MR. ALDRICH: Good afternoon, your Honor.
John Aldrich on behalf of the plaintiff. My assistant, Traci Bixenmann, is next to me at counsel table. And Ignatius Piazza and Mike Meacher on behalf of Front Sight are in the room as well.

MS. HOLBERT: Good afternoon, your Honor.
Kathryn Holbert on behalf of the defendants.
MR. GREER: Keith Greer, your Honor, on behalf of the defendants also. We have with us clients Jon Fleming and Robert Dziubla.

THE COURT: All right. So we're going to go to the testimony portion; right?

MS. HOLBERT: Yes, please.
THE COURT: So who's up first?
MR. ALDRICH: The Court has indicated he
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MR. ALDRICH: I'm - -

MR. GREER: We're going to call out of order.
MR. ALDRICH: So we're calling Dr. Piazza out of order right now?

THE COURT: Right. Well, you said --
MR. ALDRICH: I must have misunderstood.
THE COURT: NO. You said you didn't want any time limit. He says he can get it done within a half an hour or so. And we specifically, from a historical perspective, had set this up -- I think at the last hearing we had an unfortunate event. So --

MR. ALDRICH: So then to be clear, is the Court -- is Dr. Piazza going to take the stand and Mr. Greer is going to ask questions? Is that what I'm understanding?

THE COURT: That's - -
MR. GREER: It's not brought - we can do that, but technically it's going to be -- you're going to call him in your case in chief. I don't want to step on his rights.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. GREER: I just want to make sure our calendar is used fairly. So he was going to call him in his case in chief and ask him to do so, and then I'll just do cross with, like $I$ said, less than half an
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THE COURT: Yes.

MS. HOLBERT: Sorry.

MR. ALDRICH: Sorry.
MR. GREER: Who's on first? What's on second?

THE COURT: And before we get started, I mean, this is kind of how $I$ look at this, because $I$ wasn't being cavalier in my comments. A lot of this questioning throughout this case has been more discovery-like than anything.

MS. HOLBERT: Right.
THE COURT: So after we're done -- I mean, I've been thinking about this case. And, for example, yesterday we had business court, a bench bar meeting. And one of the big issues there was trial to
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| 01.32.35 1 | 1 | disposition, right, as far as time is concerned. And |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | as we all know under -- in business court, there is a |
|  | 3 | big push to get these matters resolved in an efficient |
|  | 4 | manner timely. And so that's what I'm looking at, and |
| 01:32:48 | 5 | that's why I brought up Rule 65 and so on. We can talk |
|  | 6 | about that a little later. But we can't - this case |
|  | 7 | has been going on for a long time right now and -- and |
|  | 8 | to be candid with everyone, as far as a non-jury trial, |
|  | 9 | I've given this case more time than any other case I |
| 01:33:09 | 10 | can think of except for one when 1 had an 11-day |
|  | 11 | evidentiary hearing. The only other case I can think |
|  | 12 | Of. And that was a fairly complex case involving a |
|  | 13 | medical expert, and it dealt specifically with |
|  | 14 | peer-review issues. I had to conduct a hallmark type |
| 01:33:31 | 15 | Of analysis and the like and so on. It was a Rule 35 |
|  | 16 | medical examiner. That was all part of it, too. |
|  | 17 | So anyway, I just want to just kind of keep us |
|  | 18 | moving forward as far as this matter is concerned |
|  | 19 | because we spent a lot of time, for the record. |
| 01:33:48 | 20 | MR. ALDRICH: Okay. Mr. Piazza. |
|  | 21 |  |
|  | 22 | IGNATIUS ANTHONY PIAZZA, |
|  | 23 | having been first duly sworn to testify to the truth, |
|  | 24 | the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined |
| 01:33:51 | 25 | and testified as follows: |
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BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. Dr. Piazza, are you the owner of Front Sight?
A. I am.
Q. Would you please tell the Court what Front Sight is.
A. Front Sight is a firearms training institute located approximately 45 minutes from the Las Vegas strip on 550 acres in Nye County.
Q. What kind of training do you do out there?
A. We provide firearms training for private citizens, law enforcement, and military.
Q. Okay. And at some point did you come to meet Mr. Dziubla?
A. I did.
Q. And can you please tell the Court how that happened?
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A. Mr. Dziubla contacted us through Mike Meacher and was interested in providing us with an EB5 funding opportunity.
Q. And what was your initial response to that?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Number one, \(I\) didn't fully understand it. I didn't want to be involved with foreign investors. Those were the two main reasons.
But he persisted and stated that we would have no real contact with the foreign investors, that he would handle all of that.
And he assured us that he was an expert in the field and would be able to, at the time, raise \$150 million at 6 percent-ish interest with no personal guarantee.
And we questioned that. We asked questions like --
MR. GREER: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
THE COURT: I'll overrule it. It's just
foundational, \(I\) think; right?
MR. ALDRICH: Yes.
BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. Go ahead.
A. We questioned that. We questioned his
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1
experience. We questioned what it would cost us. We questioned how we would be sure that we weren't wasting money and time. And he continued to tell us of his expertise in the foreign markets and that he was a previous partner of the biggest law firm in the world, and that he could raise this money for us and it would be the greatest deal that we ever did.
Q. There should be some binders with exhibits in there if you want to the grab Binder Number 1 .
A. Is this Evidentiary Hearing Joint Exhibits Volume I?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.
Q. Turn to Exhibit 2 for me.
A. The one that starts, "Hi, Mike. Happy

Saturday evening"?
Q. I've got --
A. Or - -
Q. -- it should be --
A. "I hope you're doing well and surviving the summer heat."
Q. That one.
A. Okay.

MR. GREER: Which exhibit?

MR. ALDRICH: Exhibit 2 .
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BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. All right. Take a minute to look at that for me.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. I -- in the third paragraph there, are those some of the representations that Mr. Dziubla made to you to try and convince you to enter into this loan agreement?
A.
"For quite some time now I've been working on developing investment platforms taking advantage of my long experience in China working with Chinese and other Asian investors - -
(Reporter clarification)

THE COURT REPORTER: Can You just slow down a little bit for me.
A.
"For as you know, the Chinese have large surplus capital stemming from their large trade balance in the US. Those efforts have come to fruition. $I$ think that we may well be able to put together a financing package for some or perhaps all of the 150 million you are seeking.
"The salient terms of financing would
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likely be as follows: Five-year term loan bearing 6 percent interest with two-year extension possible and origination fees of 2 to 3 percent payable out of each drawdown on your loan. Depending on several factors, we might even be able to arrange for the first two years of interest to accrue. Also, the loan would be nonrecourse which would, we expect, be of tremendous importance and value to Mr. Piazza. Please give me a ring if you have any interest."
BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. So are those some of the representations that were made to you about what this loan would be?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you understand from this that the -- that they were going to raise \(\$ 150\) million?
A. This is what they were proposing.
Q. And did they give you any time frame representations related to how quickly they could do it?
A. Not in this particular email, but in subsequent conversations they were talking four to five months.
Q. Okay. And did you have a lot of conversations
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about how quickly it could be done?
A. We had a number of conversations leading up to the memorandum of understanding that we signed. And all indications were that Dziubla and Fleming had significant experience in this field, had the contacts in Asia, and upon us signing this agreement in funding the regional center, they could deliver the funds.
Q. Okay. And if you would, in that same exhibit turn ahead a couple of pages to the one that's Bates labeled 0004 at the bottom. If you'll just - you don't have to read it out loud. Just read the paragraph -- the second paragraph down that's four lines long starting out, "We would enjoy."
A. This is the one where he says:
"It's on a success-fee basis, so we don't get paid unless we raise the financing. We are confident enough in our ability to raise the money that we are willing to invest our time, energy, credibility, and resources without compensation but, in turn, expect to be appropriately paid when we do succeed."
Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that they would not be paid unless they raised the money?
A. This was -- this was one of our concerns from the start. They stated that they could raise the
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money, that the cost would be minimal, that we would only be charged actual costs, and that they wouldn't take any money until the project was fully funded. This is what conned us into doing the deal with them because our concerns were, Hey, we're giving you this money. What guarantee do we have that you're actually going to raise the money?

And they were very persuasive in their abilities and confidence to do it.
Q. And were there - were there a lot of meetings leading up to entering into the loan agreement?
A. Entering into the loan agreement?
Q. Yes.
A. Oh, that was negotiated for months for the loan agreement.
Q. But - -
A. But there was a memorandum of understanding. We had some meetings there. After the regional center was approved, we had meetings. Yeah, there were a number of meetings.
Q. And why - why create a new regional center or have a new regional center created?
A. Well, initially they pitched us that they were going to use a regional center that they had all kinds of experience with. And then they came back to us and
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01:43:2715
said, No, we need to create our own regional center. And they told us what the cost would be. $\operatorname{l}$ believe it was 177,000 in direct costs. They would only bill us what they paid to create the regional center. It was 177,000 was the estimate. Then they wanted $\$ 100,000$ to market the project abroad to raise the funds.

And we -- we -- again, after asking where's this money going to go and them giving the explanation Of, again, direct costs, they make no money, they take nothing out of it until the project is completely funded. We said okay. And they started the process of the regional center.

But even before that, we said, "Well, if we're paying for all this, why don't we just own the regional center?"

And they told us, MYou can't. If you were to own the regional center, the federal government would look unfavorably on that and they wouldn't approve the project or the regional center."

So we gave them the money based on them saying, okay, we've done this, and now you need to give us money.

They never would give us any receipts or any invoices for what the costs were even though we asked for them. They just said this is what -- based on, you
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know, this memorandum, you need to pay us 177,000.

So we took the assumption that, you know, being an ex-partner of Baker McKenzie he was telling the truth and that when he said it cost $\$ 177,000$, that's what it cost, and that's what we paid. And when he said we now need $\$ 100,000$ to market abroad, that when we gave him the $\$ 100,000$, he was going to market it abroad. We were not the experts here. We were completely relying on what they represented and how they represented it and were completely relying that they would actually follow through with what they said.
Q. Whose idea was it to create a regional center?
A. Robert Dziubla's idea. And I'd have to say Jon Fleming was right there with him because they were partners in the whole thing.
Q. And were they together at the meetings that you had?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you have an understanding now as to whether Front sight could have been an owner of the regional center?

MR. GREER: Calls for speculation. Lacks foundation. BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. The question is: Do you have an understanding
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now as to whether that was true?
MR. GREER: Also as a question of law.

THE WITNESS: Could I answer?
THE COURT: You just want to know what he understands? Is that --

MR. ALDRICH: I do.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll overrule.
You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Again, we were relying completely on the expertise of these two knuckleheads who said they had all kinds of experience in EB5.

We believed them when they said we couldn't own a regional center.

It wasn't until this frivolous notice of default and this fraudulent foreclosure action was thrown on $u s$ that $I$ then had to go out and start asking questions of true experts in the industry. And that's when we actually learned how this whole EB5 program was supposed to work and all of the lies and misrepresentations that Dziubla and Fleming gave us in order to induce us into giving them all this money. And the bottom line is we could have owned the regional center and it's quite common for the developer to own the regional center. We didn't have to pay them. We could have hired other experts in the
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industry, although we thought they were the experts, and we could have -- we could have created it ourselves.

BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. So you mentioned a little bit about the process of how this is supposed to work. How is the EB5 process supposed to work?
A. All right. MR. GREER: Your Honor, lacks foundation. He's an expert in the -THE WITNESS: I'm pretty much an expert now. THE COURT REPORTER: I need one at a time. THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait. Just hold on, sir.

Go ahead. What's the objection?
MR. GREER: Lacks foundation that he is an
expert in the EB5 process.
MR. ALDRICH: I'm asking - - I haven't qualified him as an expert. I'm asking him as to his understanding based on what he just said was research he's done since this litigation started as to how the process is supposed to work.

THE COURT: I'll permit that.
THE WITNESS: Okay. On the outside it appears pretty complicated. And that's one of the ways Dziubla
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and Fleming conned us into this deal, because it's rather convoluted. But once you start asking the right questions of the right people and they tell you the truth, the way this eB5 program is supposed to work is a regional center is supposed to get a project approved. The regional center has a contract with the developer if the developer himself is not the regional center.

The regional center then goes out and markets the project abroad to immigrant investors who want to apply for a visa.

If they decide that they want to apply, then they provide -- at the time they provide $\$ 500,000$ and an I-526 or temporary visa is applied for. Of that $\$ 500,000$, the regional center provides $\$ 375,000$ of that money into the project for the developer to start putting that money to work in the project, and the regional center holds back $\$ 125,000$ as reserve and this reserve builds up in the event that any of the I-526 temporary visa applications are denied so that the regional center can then give the $\$ 500,000$ back.

When the project has delivered the construction or the - based on the - based on the construction loan agreement has provided using that money the different aspects that the construction loan
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agreement allows for, and $\$ 65,000$ of that money has been used, that creates for every $\$ 65,000$ that's used, that creates one job. Each of these $\$ 500,000$ investors at the time needed to create ten jobs in order for them to then apply for their permanent visa, which is an I-829.

So in the case of an EB5 raise, money comes in. It's applied to the project, based on how that money is applied to the project which is outlined in the construction loan agreement and the PPM and all the documents that USCIS received in approving the project, once ten jobs have been created either through direct hiring, either through full-time salaried people, hourly full-time people, hourly equivalent full-time positions, and the formula that they calculate construction costs of approximately $\$ 65,000$ per one job, when those ten jobs are created, the regional center is supposed to then apply on behalf of the immigrant for their permanent visa status, the I-829. Once that $I-829$ has been approved, then the developer can pay back the loan.

That's the way it's supposed to work. In this particular case, it didn't work like that. It didn't work like that at all. And, in fact, with the jobs report that we've already provided, it's very clear at
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just be patient. That's all. Be patient.
THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm going to listen to everything you have to say.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: I understand. But I'm going to listen. And I'm taking notes and I'm listening. And I know you have a position, and you have a right to express it. But you don't have to -- just take your time.

THE WITNESS: All right.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. So was the objection more narrative in form? I'm trying to make sure $I$ understand what the objection is.

MR. GREER: It's just nonresponsive and he veered off into another dialogue on something that wasn't asked.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We can continue on. Go ahead. What's the next question? BY MR. ALDRICH:
Q. So in terms of the network of agents that Mr. Dziubla and Mr. Fleming said that they had, what kind of information did they give you about that?
A. Dziubla stated that he had worked in Asia for many, many years on a lot of big projects and that he
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had this vast resource of Asian and Indian connections that would be able to fund this rapidly. And he mentioned one in particular, sinowel, who had as he - as $I$ recall, had over 10,000 high net worth individuals that they represent.

And he brought them out to the US for us to meet them and stated that they would be able to fully fund this entire project internally within their group Of, for lack of a better term, it's like wealth managers that they represented.

And this was all -- this was all part of, you know, we're going to fully fund this project for you rapidly.
Q. And when you say fully fund it internally, what do you mean by that? What was your understanding of what he was telling you?
A. Well, my understanding is that he asked us for $\$ 100,000$ to market this project abroad, and that he was going to go abroad, and he was going to market this and generate the individual investors. And he stated that he had this company, sinowel, that was going to do this as well. So, again, this was all part of the con that he had all these people waiting and ready to fully fund this exemplar project and that we would have our funding.
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