

1 GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP
 2 GREGORY E. GARMAN, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 6665
 E-mail: ggarman@gtg.legal
 3 TALITHA GRAY KOZLOWSKI, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 9040
 E-mail: tgray@gtg.legal
 4 TERESA M. PILATOWICZ, ESQ.
 Nevada Bar No. 9605
 E-mail: tpilatowicz@gtg.legal
 5 7251 Amigo Street, Suite 210
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
 Telephone (725) 777-3000
 6 Facsimile (725) 777-3112

7
 8
 9 *Attorneys for Reorganized Debtor*
 10 *Front Sight Management LLC*

11 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
 12 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

13 In re: 14 FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT LLC, 15 Debtor.	Case No.: 22-11824-ABL Chapter 11
---	--------------------------------------

16
 17 **EX PARTE MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT ON OMNIBUS OPPOSITION TO: (I)**
MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND/OR MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
 18 **[AECF NO. 141]; AND (II) MOTION TO ALLOW AMENDMENT TO**
PROOF OF CLAIM [ECF NO. 665]

19 Reorganized Debtor Front Sight Management LLC (“Front Sight”), by and through its
 20 counsel, the law firm of Garman Turner Gordon LLP, respectfully submits its request that the
 21 Court grant Front Sight leave pursuant to LR 9014(e)(i) for an extension of the applicable page
 22 limit for its *Omnibus Opposition to: (I) Motion for Clarification and/or Motion for*
 23 *Reconsideration [AECF No. 141]; and (II) Motion to Allow Amendment to Proof of Claim [ECF*
 24 *No. 665]* (the “Omnibus Opposition”).

25 Good cause exists to extend the page limitation for the Omnibus Opposition as Front Sight
 26 is responding to two separate motions filed by Las Vegas Development Fund, LLC (“LVDF”): (i)
 27 *Motion to Allow Amendment to Proof of Claim [ECF No. 665]; and (ii) Motion for Clarification*
 28

1 *and/or Motion for Reconsideration* [AECF No. 141]. Front Sight submits that filing an omnibus
2 opposition is prudent because of the significant factual and legal overlap between LVDF's two
3 separate motions. Front Sight anticipates that its Omnibus Opposition will not exceed twenty-
4 seven (27) pages in length, which is less than the forty pages Front Sight would be afforded if it
5 filed two separate oppositions. Thus, Front Sight respectfully requests that the Court extend the
6 permissible page limit up and including twenty-seven (27) pages.

7 WHEREFORE, Front Sight respectfully request that the Court enter an order granting
8 Front Sight leave pursuant to LR 9014(e)(i) to file the Omnibus Opposition up to and including
9 twenty-seven (27) pages in length.

10 DATED this 21st day of February, 2023.

11 GARMAN TURNER GORDON LLP

12
13 By: /s/ Gregory E. Garman
14 GREGORY E. GARMAN, ESQ.
15 TALITHA GRAY KOZLOWSKI, ESQ.
16 TERESA M. PILATOWICZ, ESQ.
17 7251 Amigo Street, Suite 210
18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
19 *Attorneys for Front Sight Management LLC*
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28