

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Honorable August B. Landis
United States Bankruptcy Judge



Entered on Docket
January 24, 2023

STEVEN T. GUBNER – NV Bar No. 4624
SUSAN K. SEFLIN – CA Bar No. 213865 – Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
JESSICA S. WELLINGTON – CA Bar No. 324477 – Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
BG LAW LLP
300 S. 4th Street, Suite 1550
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Telephone: (702) 835-0800
Facsimile: (866) 995-0215
Email: sgubner@bg.law
sseflin@bg.law
jwellington@bg.law

Attorneys for Province, LLC, solely in its capacity as
the Liquidating Trustee of the Front Sight Creditors Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

Front Sight Management LLC,

Debtor.

Case No. 22-11824-abl

Chapter 11

Hearing Date: January 9, 2023
Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

**ORDER SUSTAINING OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM 844-1
FILED BY STEVEN D. CASTON**

On January 9, 2023 at 9:30 a.m., a hearing was held before the Honorable August Landis,
Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Nevada, for the Court to consider the

1 *Objection to Proof of Claim 844-1 Filed by Steven D. Caston* [ECF No. 566] (the “Objection”)¹ filed
2 by Front Sight Management LLC, the chapter 11 debtor herein (the “Debtor”). Pursuant to the
3 Debtor’s confirmed chapter 11 plan of reorganization and order thereon, Province, LLC, solely in its
4 capacity as the Liquidating Trustee of the Front Sight Creditors Trust (the “Liquidating Trust”), has
5 standing to pursue the Objection. Appearances were as duly noted on the record at the hearing.

6 The Court, having read and considered the Objection and all evidence filed in support of the
7 Objection; the Court having considered the argument and representations of counsel at the hearing
8 and other matters which the Court may properly take judicial notice, including, without limitation,
9 the record in this case as reflected on the docket; the Court having set forth its findings and
10 conclusions on the record pursuant to Rule 52 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 7052
11 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure; the Court having found that notice of the Objection
12 was sufficient under the circumstances and no other or further notice is required; the Court having
13 determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief
14 sought therein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Objection is sustained in its entirety.

16 **IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED** that Proof of Claim 844-1 (the “Claim”) filed by
17 Steven D. Caston (“Claimant”) shall be RECLASSIFIED as a \$4,500 GENERAL UNSECURED
18 CLAIM, and Claimant shall have no other claim against the Debtor, its estate, or the Liquidating
19 Trust.

20 **IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED** that any further claims filed or asserted by the
21 Claimant, including any amendments, shall be deemed disallowed without further Court order.

22 **IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED** that pursuant to Civil Rule 54(b), made applicable
23 in contested matters through Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, this Order shall be treated as a final
24 judgment with respect to Claimant and its Claim.

25 **[Remainder of Page Intentionally Blank]**

26
27
28 ¹ All initial capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the Court clerk is hereby directed to modify the claim register in this case consistent with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Prepared and Submitted By:

BG LAW LLP

By: /s/ Susan K. Seflin

Susan K. Seflin

Jessica S. Wellington

Attorneys for Province, LLC, solely in its capacity as
the Liquidating Trustee of the Front Sight Creditors
Trust

LR 9021 CERTIFICATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In accordance with LR 9021, counsel submitting this document certifies as follows:

- The Court waived the requirement of approval under LR 9021(b)(1).
- No party appeared at the hearing or filed an objection to the motion.
- I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, and any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and each has approved or disapproved the order as stated above.
- I have certified that under Chapter 7 or 13, that I have served a copy of this order with the motion pursuant to LR 9014(g), and that no party has objection to the form or content of the order.

###