UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RECEIVE	DLS
---------	-----

_		
3		
4		
_		
5		

1

In re:

Frontsight Management LLC,

7 8 9

6

10 11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

Debtor

Chapter 11

Case No. 22-11824-abl

U.S. BAMIRUPTCY COURT MARY A. SCHOTT, CLERK

7077 NOV -4 P 12: 04

Hearing: November 18, 2022; 9:30AM

CLAIMANT 217'S REPSONSE TO DEBTOR'S FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION (1) REDUCING AND ALLOWING CERTAIN MEMBER CLAIMS AND (2) DISALLOWING AND EXPUNGING CERTAIN OTHER MEMBER CLAIMS; CLAIMANT 217'S MOTION TO ALLOW COUNTING OF BALLOT UNDER RULE 3018(a)

James Harriss ("Claimant 217"), a creditor in the above-captioned bankruptcy case, hereby files this Claimant 217's Response to Debtor's First Omnibus Objection (1) Reducing and Allowing Certain Member Claims and (2) Disallowing and Expunging Certain Other Member Claims; Claimant 217's Motion to Allow Counting of Ballot Under Rule 3018(a) (this "Response"). Claimant 217 maintains that the objections of Front Sight Management LLC (the "Debtor") to Claim 217 raised in Debtor's First Omnibus Objection (1) Reducing and Allowing Certain Member Claims and (2) Disallowing and Expunging Certain Other Member Claims ("Debtor's Objection") are without merit and should be rejected. Claimant 217 submits that Debtor's Objection does not comply with the requirements for Omnibus Objections under Bankruptcy Rule 3007. Additionally, Claimant 217 maintains that even if the facts presented in Debtor's Objection are true, they do not affect the validity or value of Claim 217. Finally, Claimant 217 requests that the Court approve the counting of Claimant 217's ballot in any vote held in connection with this matter. As such, Claimant 217 submits the following:

I. Jurisdiction and Venue

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Local Rule 1001(b)(1).

1 2	2.	This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
3 4	3.	Venue of this proceeding in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and
5		1409.
6	4.	Debtor predicates the relief requested in Debtor's Objection on Section 11 U.S.C.
7		§§ 105 and 502, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and 3018(a), and Local Rule 3007.
8		II. Facts
9 10	5.	Per Debtor's Objection (Statement of Facts, General History of Debtor, Item 8),
11		Debtor was founded in 1996 by Ignatius Piazza. Dr. Piazza owns, either directly or
12		indirectly, 100% of Debtor. In 1998, Debtor purchased 550 Acres of raw land and
13		began building what Debtor claims is now the "finest and largest private firearms
14		training facility in the world."
15	6.	Per Debtor's Objection (Statement of Facts, General History of Debtor, Item 11),
16		Debtor provides firearms training courses.
17	7.	Per Debtor's Objection (Statement of Facts, General History of Debtor, Item 12),
18		Debtor claims to be "the most successful firearms training facility of its type in the
19		United States." And that "Debtor is considered to be the leader in its field, and
20		provides additional training and instruction for numerous city and state agencies
21		seeking to improve performance of their respective law enforcement departments."
22	8.	In or around early 2011, Claimant 217 purchased a lifetime "Diamond"
23		membership from Debtor. The primary benefit of that membership was that
24		Claimant 217 could attend courses "held at Front Sight Las Vegas and Alaska free
25		of charge and as many times as you wish for the rest of your life." The letter from
26		Ignatius Piazza detailing these obligations of Debtor is attached hereto as "Exhibit

1 A".

- 9. In late 2011 (believed to be December 27, 2011), Claimant 217 purchased eight more of these Diamond memberships. These were "TBD Memberships", which allowed Claimant 217 to transfer those memberships to other people ("To Be Determined"), each of whom would have the same rights as were described in the letter from Dr. Piazza attached as Exhibit A.
- 10. Thereafter (but prior to the filing of the Petition in the instant case), Claimant 217 transferred three of these memberships to other people. This left Claimant 217, at the time of the filing of the Petition, with six memberships (Claimant 217's original membership, and five TBD memberships).
- 11. At some time prior to the filing of the Petition, Debtor claimed that the "Diamond" memberships had become "Founders" memberships. This was done without the consent of Claimant 217, although Claimant 217 has no knowledge of what the difference would be; the two memberships seemed identical. To any extent that Debtor claims that there was a difference that would adversely affect Claimant 217's rights hereunder, the Claimant 217 would object to the reclassification of the memberships from "Diamond" to "Founder".
- 12. On May 24, 2022, Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. Claimant 217 has attached hereto as "Exhibit B" the printout from Debtor's website showing the memberships of Claimant 217 as of the Petition date. This confirms that six "Founder" memberships (one in the name of Claimant 217, and five TBD memberships) were held by Claimant 217 as of the date of the filing of the Petition. This was attached as an Exhibit to Claim 217.

14. Additionally, Claimant 217 has attached as "Exhibit C" a printout from Debtor's website as of May 25, 2022, marketing the "Founder" memberships. Dr. Piazza states that "the VALUE of an All-Inclusive Founder Membership, with over 50 courses you can attend as many times as you wish, for as long as you wish, exceeds \$100,000." He then offers the membership for \$60,000.00 (both the valuation and the offer are at the top of the second page.) This document was also attached as an exhibit to the Claim.

Note that at under the terms of this offer, someone purchasing one of these new "Founder Memberships" would be required to pay a \$50/month annual membership maintenance fee, a \$25 per day facility fee, a \$25 per day staff support fee, and \$25 per day for personal liability insurance. None of these payments were required under the "Diamond/Founder memberships" held by Claimant 217 (as per the Welcome Letter attached as Exhibit A). This would make Debtor's prepetition obligations to Claimant 217 (under each of Claimant 217's memberships) more valuable than each membership in this newer version. However, for the purposes of eliminating confusion, this Response will disregard these additional charges at this time.

- 15. Finally, Claimant 217 has attached as "Exhibit D" a spreadsheet printed from Debtor's website showing credits of Claimant 217 as May 25, 2022 (no changes occurred from the date of Debtor's filing of the Petition to the date of printing of this spreadsheet). This spreadsheet shows that as of the date of filing of the Petition in this action, Claimant 217 had \$691 in credits with Debtor. This document was also attached as an exhibit to the Claim.
- 16. Claimant 217 filed a claim in the amount of \$360,691, a copy of which was attached

1		to Debtor's Objection. As the documents which make up Exhibits B, C and D to
2		this Response were attached to the claim, it was clear that these represented six
3		memberships and the credits shown in the spreadsheet from Debtor's website. For
4		valuation purposes, Claimant 217 used the lower offered \$60,000 price for each
5		"Founder" membership, rather than the higher \$100,000 valuation that was claimed
6		by Dr. Piazza.
7		
8		III. Debtor's Omnibus Objection Does Not Comply with 3007
9	17.	Debtor's Objection is an omnibus objection to the claims of 19 individuals (one of
.1		whom seems to have filed six claims). Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) provides the
2		grounds upon which omnibus objections are allowed:
3 4 5 6	. •	(d) Omnibus Objection. Subject to subdivision (e), objections to more than one claim may be joined in an omnibus objection if all the claims were filed by the same entity, or the objections are based solely on the grounds that the claims should be disallowed, in whole or in part, because:
7		(1) they duplicate other claims;
		(2) they have been filed in the wrong case;
18		
19		(3) they have been amended by subsequently filed proofs of claim;
20		(4) they were not timely filed;
21 22		(5) they have been satisfied or released during the case in accordance with the Code, applicable rules, or a court order;
23 24 25		(6) they were presented in a form that does not comply with applicable rules, and the objection states that the objector is unable to determine the validity of the claim because of the noncompliance;
26		(7) they are interests, rather than claims; or
27 28		(8) they assert priority in an amount that exceeds the maximum amount under §507 of the Code.
29	18.	None of these grounds apply to Claim 217. Debtor's Objection claims that "Each
30		of the Claimants appears to be a member or former member of the Debtor who is
31		asserting a Claim or Claims against the estate based either on nothing or based on

19.

account of member benefits or member rewards." (Page 6 at Line 1). The substance of this statement will be dealt with hereafter, but whatever merit that statement may or may not have, it does not fit within the categories of 3007(d).

An alternative avenue towards an allowed omnibus objection would be 3007(c):

(c) Limitation on Joinder of Claims Objections. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted by subdivision (d), objections to more than one claim shall not be joined in a single objection.

This would allow such an omnibus objection if "otherwise ordered by the court." The only such order Claimant 217 could find in the record was contained in the Order entered on October 3, 2022. This Order provides "To the extent members file a proof of claim in response to the Rejection Bar Date Notice and assert a claim based on promotional offers relating to member rewards (versus the amount of money actually spent by the member at the Debtor's business), the Debtor is authorized (i) to file omnibus objections to such claims prior to the Confirmation Hearing..." (page 8 at lines 12-16). Claimant 217's claim is not based on a "promotional offer relating to member rewards".

Claimant 217 purchased lifetime memberships from Debtor, which Debtor valued at \$100,000.00 per membership (and advertised for sale at \$60,000 per membership). That value was based solely or primarily on the value of the training courses included with the memberships. Claimant 217 is aware that there were many "membership rewards" programs under which other Claimants claimed value for "FrontSight Bucks" or promised firearms, or various and sundry other types of rewards and incentives for prospective members. A review of the register of claims would indicate that some claimants seem to claim millions (and in some cases,

billions) of dollars based on such "member rewards" as "Frontsight Bucks." However, Claimant 217's purchase of memberships did not include any such "member rewards."

The term "member rewards" is not a defined term in the Order (or in the related motion by Debtor), but it seems that once the October 3, 2022 order was granted relating to "promotional offer[s] relating to member rewards", Debtor has attempted to reclassify the value of Claimant 217's memberships as "member rewards." This defies both logic and common convention. The price paid by Claimant 217 to Debtor was for the training to be provided by Debtor for the rest of the Claimant 217's life (and the lives of any transferees of the TBD memberships).

To claim that the purchase of the memberships (and thereby, the access to firearms training) was a "promotional offer relating to member rewards" would be akin to an airline claiming that when a consumer purchases a ticket on a commercial airline, both the right to travel on the plane and the frequent flyer miles are "promotional offer[s] relating to member rewards." Absent a separate definition of the term, it seems obvious that the right to travel on the plane is the essence of what was purchased, while the frequent flyer miles are a "promotional offer relating to member rewards". In the instant case, Claimant 217 purchased a lifetime of training from a firearms academy in the business of firearms training. The value of that training is not a "member reward" related to a "promotional offer" – it is the primary service sold by the Debtor in the course of its business, and the primary service purchased by Claimant 217. Claim 217 does not include any value for promotional rewards included with Claimant 217's memberships, as his

20.

21.

memberships did not include the "rewards" included with many other memberships: firearms and accessories or "Frontsight Bucks". Based on the welcome letter from Dr. Piazza, it did include a "first family hat" (a baseball cap of de minimus value), a free locker rental in the Frontsight armory (which was never built), and a few other items of either de minimus value or minor in value that were never delivered. Claimant 217 has assigned no value to such items in his Claim.

As Debtor's objection to Claim 217 does not fall within any of the grounds listed in 3007(d), and as it does not fall within the parameters of the October 3, 2022 Order allowing omnibus objections for "promotional offer relating to member rewards", Debtor's Objection should be dismissed with regard to Claimant 217 because such an objection on those grounds is not permitted in an omnibus filing.

IV. Debtor's Objection is Not Substantively Valid

Even if Debtor's Objection is found to be permissible under Rule 3007, the substance of Debtor's Objection is not supportable. Debtor's Objection states:

Each Claimant apparently purchased or was given memberships to the Debtor and subsequently purchased or was given membership rewards. These rewards have no value outside of the Debtor's business. While the Debtor believes that most, if not all, of the Claimants received services from the Debtor at least equal to what was paid, the Debtor does not object to the Claimants retaining a claim in this case for the amount each Claimant actually paid for their respective memberships and membership rewards. (Page 6 at lines 1-8)

Claimant 217 cannot find any support for valuing Claim 217 in the way suggested by Debtor. Claimant 217 did not loan money to Debtor, with an agreement to be paid back that amount of money. In such a case, the amount paid

by Claimant 217 to Debtor would seem relevant to the valuation of the claim. Instead, Claimant 217 purchased the right to attend training courses for the rest of Claimant 217's life, as well as the right to sell, give or bequeath to five other people the right to those same benefits for the rest of THEIR lives. The obligation to provide this training, at no further cost to Claimant 217 or transferees thereof, was an obligation of Debtor as of the date of the filing of the petition. While some member's claims may be based on "rewards" that had "no value outside of debtor's business" (such as those members that make tremendous claims based on "Frontsight Bucks"), Claim 217 is based on the value of the training Debtor is obligated to provide under the membership that Debtor is choosing to reject under the plan.

Debtor, in the most recent plan, has indicated that all memberships will be rejected. Rejection makes other party to the contract simply an unsecured creditor. NLRB v. Bildisco and Bildisco, 465 U.S. 513 (1984). The nondebtor party has (1) a claim against the debtor for damages for breach of contract, which claim is deemed to have arisen immediately before the filing of the petition and is a prepetition claim, and (2) an expense of administration claim for any benefits received by the debtor in possession prior to rejection. In re Bridgeport Plumbing Prods., Inc., 178 B.R. 563 (Bankr. M.D. Ga. 1994).

To determine damages for breach of contract, one does not look back to determine what the non-breaching party has paid to the breaching party, and simply give them a refund. Were this to be the case, every contract in which one party paid "up front" would be a one-way option. The paying party would make payment, and if the other party found it profitable to make delivery, then they would

make delivery and keep the payment. If it became unprofitable to make delivery, then they would simply return the payment, because that would be the limit of damages.

Instead, we must look at the value of the remaining obligations of the parties as of the date of the breach. *In re Bridgeport Plumbing Products* guides us to do so "immediately before the filing of the petition". Claimant 217 had (in 2011) made all payments required to avail himself of the benefits outlined in Dr. Piazza's 2011 letter attached as Exhibit A. At the time the Petition was filed, Dr. Piazza valued those benefits at over \$100,000 on the Debtor's website, although he was offering them for sale for \$60,000 (so Claimant 217 used the lower number in Claim 217). Additionally, Claimant 217 had made all payments required to entitle Claimant 217 to transfer these rights to five additional people.

Debtor did not hold any right to cancel these benefits by the payment of a particular sum (such as by reimbursement of Claimant 217's payment). If Debtor had held such a right, then it would be reasonable to limit the damages for breach to that sum. Debtor states that "Debtor believes that most, if not all, of the Claimants received services from the Debtor at least equal to what was paid..." (Page 6, Line 5 of Debtor's Objection). This is a red herring. Claimant 217 purchased the right to receive training at no cost for the remainder of his life. Had Claimant 217 suffered a fatal heart attack the day after purchasing that membership, Debtor would have had no obligation to reimburse Claimant 217's estate for value of the training that was never received. On the other hand, Claimant 217 cannot "use up" his rights by receiving services equal to what was paid; the "Welcome Letter" from Dr. Piazza clearly states that Claimant 217 can attend classes "free of

charge and as many times as you like for the rest of your life."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The fact that these memberships were prepaid in full, with no future obligations on the part of the member, distinguishes the instant situation from country club memberships and from health clubs. In both of those situations, there is typically an ongoing requirement to fulfil obligations on behalf of the member. Most importantly, the member must generally continue making payments. A country club membership doesn't generally allow the member to play unlimited golf and eat unlimited meals at the clubhouse without any further payment. A member at a gym (even a lifetime member) is generally still obligated to pay monthly dues. Those were not the case here – Claimant 217's only obligation was to pay Debtor a fixed sum in 2011. There was not a single ongoing obligation on the part of Claimant 217 to remain a member. Even in such cases as in re Sea Oaks Country Club. 20-17229 (Bankr, D.N.J. Nov. 10), in which many of the benefits of membership were prepaid, those members signed a membership agreement obligating them to perform certain obligations even after making the payment for their membership purchase. No such membership agreement was signed in the instant case: Claimant 217 paid the amount required, and Debtor issued the letter confirming obligations of Debtor without any further obligation by Claimant 217.

Debtor and Claimant 217 made their agreement in 2011. They agreed on a price to be paid by Claimant 217 (paid in 2011) and on the obligations to be met by Debtor (a lifetime of training courses at no further cost to Claimant 217). There were significant gaps between the value that Debtor claimed that the memberships were worth at the time of the filing of the petition (\$100,000 each), the price at which Debtor advertised them on the website at the time of the filing of the petition

22.

(\$60,000 each) and what Debtor accepted from Claimant 217 back in 2011. Claimant 217 does not claim to know why Debtor entered into the transaction at the price Debtor did. Perhaps Debtor believed Claimant 217 would die soon. Perhaps Debtor believed Claimant 217 would rarely, if ever, avail himself of the training courses. Perhaps Debtor was in a desperate position for cash. In any event, the day-of-petition value of ongoing obligations of Debtor in favor of Claimant 217 is the proper amount of Claim 217. These obligations stemmed from an agreement formed over a decade prior to the filing of the petition, and should not be limited by the amounts paid by Claimant 217 over a decade prior. Inflation, market forces, and changes in the relative position of the parties would all have impacted values since that time.

V. STANDARD FOR RELIEF

Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) provides that a "proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with these rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim." Debtor's Objection cites Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage), 178 B.R. 222 (9th Cir. BAP 1995, aff'd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir.1996), to set forth the position that "a proof of claim must have a writing attached and include supporting documentation to qualify for presumptive validity" and "the prima facie validity of such a claim does not attach unless the claim sets forth the facts necessary to support the claim." (Page 6 at 18-23).

Claim 217 did contain a writing and supporting documentation. It contained printouts from the Debtor's own records as to the memberships held by Claimant 217. It contained the Debtor's own valuation and pricing for those memberships and

the obligations of Debtor represented thereby (in the form of a printout from Debtor's website). As such, the claim was "executed and filed in accordance with [the] rules" and is entitled to presumptive validity.

Debtor's Objection points out that Bankruptcy Code section 502 authorizes the Debtor to object to claims, and that once the objector raises "facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claims themselves," then the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence. (Page 6, Lines 24-27)

Claimant 217 would posit that Debtor did not raise any "facts tending to defeat the claim". Debtor did not present any facts whatsoever regarding the prepetition value of Claimant 217's claim, which is the only value that matters. The Debtor did not deny that Claimant 217 was the owner of six lifetime memberships. The Debtor did not deny the accuracy of the valuation of those memberships, or deny the offering price from Debtor's website. The Debtor did not even present a proposed alternate value for Debtor's obligations under the membership agreements. Instead, Debtor provided an amount that Debtor claimed represented the amount of payments made to date by Claimant 217 to Debtor. As this amount is not relevant to the value of Claim 217 on the date of the filing of the petition, it is difficult to see how it represents a "fact tending to defeat the claim".

As but one example of the irrelevancy of the amount shown as being a "books and records" reflection of the amount various members paid to Debtor for Memberships and other benefits, one need only remember that many of the membership sales by Debtor also included the transferring of firearms to the purchasing member. One could apply this recollection to the logic of the Objection,

in which the value of a member's claim is limited to the amounts they paid in. Imagine that Member A paid \$20,000 for a given level of lifetime membership, but received no firearms, and Member B paid \$30,000 for the same level of lifetime membership, while receiving \$10,000 in firearms. In the "books and records" listing of the Debtor, Member A will have shown an "amount paid to Debtor" of \$20,000, while Member B will have shown an "amount paid to Debtor" of \$30,000. While Member B will have already received \$10,000 in firearms at the time of his purchase, both of their claims against Debtor should be identical (the value of Debtor's obligations represented by that membership). But the method suggested in Debtor's Objection would entitle Member B to a much larger claim.

Or imagine that Member A purchased such five memberships for \$20,000 each, and Member B purchased the same number of memberships for the same amount. Then Member B sold four of his memberships for \$20,000 each, as he is permitted to do. Again, both Member A and Member B would show the same "amount paid to Debtor" in the books and records (\$100,000 each). But, under the logic of the Objection, Member A would be permitted a \$100,000 claim for five memberships, and Member B would be permitted a \$100,000 claim for one membership. The Debtor's prepetition obligations to each of them are obviously different. Member B has sold the bulk of the Debtor's obligations to him to third parties, which should reduce the value of his claim (and entitle those third parties to their own claims against the Debtor, as Debtor now has those prepetition obligations to them instead of to Member B). But under the logic of Debtor's Objection, Members A and B would be treated the same, and the purchasers of Member B's four transferred memberships would have no claim against Debtor.

This obviously does not comply with general theories of contract law. The Debtor had explicitly made these memberships transferrable. What is being transferred, if not the obligations of Debtor to these transferrees?

The Objection does not make clear the rationale behind this logic, and does not cite any cases supporting it. But given the arbitrary and uneven nature of the data it seems to employ and its inapplicability to the value of Debtor's obligations to members on the petition date, it is difficult to see how it could represent "facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claims themselves." This is especially true in the case of Claim 217, where significant information was submitted with the Claim.

- 24. Claimant 217 submits that because Debtor has not raised any facts tending to defeat the Claim, the Claim should remain entitled to its presumption of validity in its valuation on form 410. However, should the Court allow this objection to stand and mature into a valuation dispute regarding Claim 217, Claimant 217 would request that time be granted to conduct discovery of Debtor as to the books and records referenced, as well as to other information needed to value Claimant 217's membership as of the date of the petition.
- 25. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the value for Claim 217 proposed by Debtor seems preposterous on its face. Debtor claims that it operated the "largest and finest private firearms training facility in the world" (Debtor's Objection, Page 3 at Lines 20-21), that it is "the most successful firearms training facility of its type in the United States (id, Page 4 at Lines 6-7), and that it is "considered the leader in its field, and provides additional training and instruction for numerous city and state agencies seeking to improve performance of their respective law

enforcement departments." (id. Page 4 at Lines 8-10)

Yet somehow, the Objection posits that being able to attend courses "held at Front Sight Las Vegas and Alaska free of charge and as many times as you wish for the rest of your life" (the exact language from Dr. Piazza's welcome letter to Claimant 217, dated April 8, 2011) would be properly valued at \$1250.00 for all six memberships. That would be \$250 per membership. That amount would not generally cover a day of training at schools considered "the [other] largest and finest firearms training facilities in the world" or the "leaders in [their] fields" (to paraphrase the earlier language from Debtor's Objection). If Debtor's Objection were to be granted, Claimant 217 requests that a separate hearing be scheduled so that appropriate comparable training costs can be submitted into evidence, as that seems the appropriate way to value a contractual obligation to provide training in the future.

As a final consideration for valuation, it seems worthwhile to reference the pricing anticipated by PrairieFire under the proposed plan. They indicate that their training will cost \$250 to \$500 per day, AFTER the payment of thousands of dollars in initiation fees and annual fees (see Exhibit B to the proposed plan – the Exhibit isn't page numbered but page 111 out of 116 of the entire filing contains the pricing). The memberships currently held by Claimant 217 include all of the benefits of the PrairieFire training: Debtor is obligated to provide unlimited training at the "largest and finest firearms training academy in the world" at no further cost to Claimant 217, with no requirement to pay any further initiation fee, annual fees, or daily fees. The logic of Debtor's Objection would seem to indicate that a lifetime of prepaid training at the "largest and finest firearms training academy in the world"

1		would be worth less than one day at the proposed PrairieFire training facility.
2		VI. MOTION TO ALLOW COUNTING OF BALLOT UNDER RULE
3	3018(a)
4	26.	Claimant 217 requests that any Ballot (as defined in the Order in this matter dated
5		October 3, 2022) cast in connection with Claim 217 be counted in the full amount
6		of the claim (as submitted on Form 410), for the reasons outlined in this Response.
7		VII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS
8	27.	Claimant 217 specifically reserves the right to amend this Response, file additional
9		papers in support of this Response, or take other appropriate actions.
10		VIII. NOTICE
11	28.	Claimant 217 will serve a copy of this Response upon the Debtor's counsel at the
12		address (or email address, as appropriate) listed in Debtor's Objection.
13		IX. CONCLUSION
14	29.	Claimant 217 respectfully requests that the Court reject Debtor's objections to
15		Claim 217 as contained in Debtor's Objection.
16 17 18	Dated: Nover	nber 3, 2022 Respectfully submitted,
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		By: James Harriss 1513 Line Avenue; Suite 345 Shreveport, LA 71101 (225) 755-8475 jgharriss@hotmail.com

EXHIBIT A



April 8, 2011

Dear James Harriss, number 46105AKD250 Diamond,

Thank you again for your support through participation in Front Sight's Diamond Lifetime Membership. Your Diamond Membership gives you the following benefits:

	ATTEND ANY COURSE HELD AT FRONT SIGHT Las Vegas and Auska FREE OF
	CHARGE AND AS MANY TIMES AS YOU WISH FOR THE REST OF YOUR LIFE.
	Attend Annual, Two-Day Secrets of the Ultra Successful Event free of charge.
	Guaranteed Placement in any course with two weeks advance enrollment.
	40% Savings On Any Products Purchased from Pro Shop, Gunsmith, and Armory.
	Free Use of Front Sight's Private First Family Ranges.
	Free Locker Rental in Front Sight's Armory.
	Invitation to annual July 4th Lifetime Members' Reunion Celebration.
	Name etched in First Family Monument.
	Password to access exclusive and restricted First Family areas of web site and e-mail forum.
П	First Family Card and First Family Hat.

Enclosed you will find your new Diamond Lifetime Membership card.

When you attend a course that is free of charge under your First Family membership, please mail or fax or e-mail, via the web site application process, an *Application for Training* with your First Family number listed in the course cost section. The first course you attend requires that the *Application for Training* be fully completed including the *Statement of No Criminal History* and *Character Witness Statement*. Once you have attended a course, subsequent courses only require completion of the application down to the *Statement of No Criminal History*. A criminal background check is required once per year. The current charge for the annual criminal background check is \$50 each year.

Once again, thank you for your support. I look forward to training you and those you refer to Front Sight.

If you have any questions or need any assistance please feel free to contact me.

Thanks you again for your support.

gnātius Piazza

EXHIBIT B

Case 22-11824-abl Doc 491 Entered 11/07/22 11:04:00 Page 21 of 26 Case 22-11824-abl Claim 217 Part 2 Filed 08/01/22 Page 1 of 3

My Front Sight Assets

Here is a full summary of your Assets, including your Memberships and all Certificate Types (Course, Hotel, Gun Rental). You can sort the list by any of the columns.

Primary Membership

An Andrea on antique association of the control special specia	Application of Charles and Cha	Connection reserves reserves reserves reserves de la connection de la conn	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	_	Primary Beneficiary	iciary	Second	Secondary Beneficiary		Case
46105250UF	Frc	Front Sight Founder Member	er Member		Specify	- Andrews - Andr	Specify			22-118
Other Assets									Search	324-abl C
	Name	TBD (To Be Determined)	Canceled	Traded	Transferred	Used	ln Cart		Andrew many of the control of the co	Claim 217
Memberships:	Front Sight	5	0		0	0	0	Transfer	History	Part 2
	Founder									Filed 08
	Copyris	tht 2022 Front Siș	tht Firearms	Training	nstitute All Rig	zhts Rese	rved Clear S	Copyright 2022 Front Sight Firearms Training Institute All Rights Reserved Clear Site Cache Clear User Cache	User Cache	3/01/22
	· ·	Find out more	about Front	Sight (/fr	ont-sight.php) a	and Ignat	ius Piazza (/i	Find out more about Front Sight (/front-sight.php) and Ignatius Piazza (/ignatius-piazza.php)	(dı	Page

EXHIBIT C



Front Sight All-Inclusive Founder Membership

CONTACT US

WORLD CLASS INSTRUCTORS
TESTIMONIALS
IN THE NEWS
FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS
COURSE DESCRIPTIONS
MULTI-COURSE MEMBERSHIPS
COURSE SCHEDULE
COURSE APPLICATION
Subscribe to Free Gun

Primary Email:

First Name

Training Reports

Enter your first name and primary e-mail address to receive 15 Special Gun Training Reports written by Front Sight's Founder and Director and Four Weapons Combat Master, Dr. Ignatus Piazza

Your into is safe. We don't self or transfer to anyone. Privacy Policy



<u>LegalShield Independent</u> Associate Solving Problems 2 & 3...and MORE!

Link to our website! Help spread Front Sight's message throughout the Internet. Link to our website with your choice of banners or hyperlink.

Front Sight All-Inclusive Founder Membership

FROM: Dr. Ignatius Piazza Front Sight's Founder and Director <u>Four Weapons Combat Master</u> Your host of *Front Sight Challenge* Reality TV Ser<u>ies</u>

Here are the generational, positively-life-changing benefits you get as a Front Sight *Founder* Member...

- · Will Your Front Sight Founder Membership to a Deserving Heir!
- LIFETIME FREE ACCESS to <u>FrontSight.TV</u> Subscription. When everyone else is paying a fee every
 year to watch Front Sight's educational and entertaining original content, YOU will have free
 access for life! You will also have the opportunity to suggest content, participate as an extra or
 featured member in the ongoing, original content, we will be filming at Front Sight each and every
 week!
- LIFETIME FREE ACCESS to ALL Front Sight Courses delivered directly to your home through the
 FrontSight.TV. Handgun, Shotgun, Rifle Submachine Gun, Empty Hand Defense, and Edged
 Weapons Courses, from entry level through intermediate and advanced training, ALL available to
 you free of charge on FrontSight.TV so you can keep your skills fresh in the event you must use
 your weapons to defend yourself or others and also prepare yourself to get the most out of your
 on-site training when you visit Front Sight Las Vegas and move up faster through Graduate and
 Distinguished Graduate levels in all our courses!
- As a Front Sight Founder Member you can attend ANY and ALL regularly scheduled Front Sight Courses without paying the Course Fee...

Attend ALL of these courses...

2 Day Defensive Handgun. 4 Day Defensive Handgun. 1 Day 30 State Concealed Weapon Permit. 2 Day Handgun Skill Builder. 2 Day Tactical Handgun. 2 Day Advanced Tactical Handgun. 2 Day Handgun Combat Master Prep®. 4 Day Handgun Combat Master Prep®. 1 Day Tactical Awareness. (Night) 2 Day Defensive Handgun. (Night) 4 Day Defensive Handgun. (Night) 2 Day Handgun Skill Builder. (Night) 2 Day Tactical Handgun. 2 Day Tactical Shotgun. 4 Day Tactical Shotgun. 2 Day Shotgun Skill Builder. (Night) 2 Day Tactical Shotgun. (Night) 4 Day Tactical Shotgun. (Night) 2 Day Shotgun Skill Builder. (Night) 2 Day Practical Shotgun. (Night) 4 Day Precision Rifle. 2 Day Rifle Skill Builder. 4 Day Precision Rifle. 1 Day Precision Rifle Fundamentals. 2 Day Precision Rifle Skill Builder. 2 Day Rifle Marksmanship. 4 Day Rifle Marksmanship. 2 Day Rifle Marksmanship. 3 Day Rifle Skill Builder. (Night) 2 Day Practical Rifle. (Night) 4 Day Practical Rifle. (Night) 2 Day Rifle Skill Builder. 2 Day Uzi Submachine Gun. 2 Day Select Fire M16. 4 Day Select Fire M16. (Night) 2 Day Uzi Submachine Gun. (Night) 4 Day Uzi Submachine Gun. (Night) 2 Day Select Fire M16. (Night) 4 Day Armorer's Course - 1914 Day Armorer's Course - Glock Pistol 1 Day Armorer's Course - Springfield XD Pistol. 1 Day Armorer's Course - AR15 Rifle. 1-Day Defensive Handgun Skills Test Course. 5-Day Front Sight Instructor Development Course

- Private Training at greatly reduced rate... You'll be able to attend Private training with your friends and family at a greatly discounted rate! Your friends and family will share your discounted rate.
- Placement in your courses with two weeks advance enrollment... No worries about whether your can
 "get into a course." As a member just complete your Application for Training online prior to two weeks of
 the course date! Like everything else we offer, no other school can offer you such flexibility with your
 scheduling!
- Name etched in future First Family Members Monument... So generations from now, your grandkids
 will know you were part of the patriotic group who built Front Sight!
- Front Sight Founder Members card, hat and pin... Identifying you to all students and staff as or
 most elite members in the Front Sight organization assisting us in positively changing the image o
 ownership in our lifetimes!

5/25/22, 11:42 PM

• Invitation to the Annual July 4th Front Sight Members' Reunion Celebration...

CENTIFIED SECURE

Invitation to attend the annual July 4th Front Sight Advisory Meeting.

The VALUE of an All-Inclusive Founder Membership, with over 50 courses you can attend as many times as you wish, for as long as you wish, exceeds \$100,000.

So What's it Going to Cost YOU to Become an All-Inclusive Founder Member?

Single payment of \$60,000 plus a \$50/month Membership Maintenance Fee.

Every Student or Member must pay a \$50 Annual Criminal Background Check Fee for their First course of the new year.

Every Student or Member must pay a \$25 per day Facility Fee, \$25 per day Staff Support Fee, and \$25 per day for Personal Liability Insurance for the number of days of their course attendance.









Call my office between the hours of 8am and 6pm PST at 1.800.987.7719 to enroll over the phone to secure your Front Sight Founder Membership.

I look forward to seeing you at Front Sight as a Founder Member and look forward to seeing your friends and family attending with you as well.

Sincerely,

Dr. Ignatius Piazza

Dr. Ignatius Piazza
Founder and Director
Front Sight Firearms Training Institute
Four Weapons Combat Master

PS: To see video testimonials of your fellow students sharing why a Front Sight Membership has made such a positive impact on their lives, click on <u>testimonials</u>.

All Front Sight Memberships offered in the past, the present, and future, including any special memberships not listed here, are NON REFUNDABLE.

Home | Ignatius Piazza | In the News | Front Sight Memberships | Brochure

Testimonials | Gun Training | Firearms Training Manual | Map | FAQ | Contact Us | Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Site map

Pro Shop | Front Sight Nevada | Facilities | Front Sight Instructors | Free Stuff | Self Defense Offers Gourse Descriptions | Firearms Training Schedule | Safety & Incident Reports | Firearm Training

Trusted Site

© 1996-2022 Front Sight Firearm Training Institute, All Rights Reserved.

EXHIBIT D

History
redits
Sight (
Front

	+ Received	- Osed	Balance	
01/08/2018	+ \$500.00		\$500.00	2018 New Year's Gift from Dr. Piazza
01/26/2018	+ \$500.00		\$1,000.00	2018 New Year's Gift #2 from Dr. Piazza
06/12/2018		-\$50.00	\$950.00	2018 BGC payment
07/03/2018		- \$9.00	\$941.00	Payment for rental for Harriss, James on 7/3/2018.
05/24/2019		- \$50.00	\$891.00	2019 BGC payment
01/23/2020	5	- \$50.00	\$841.00	2020 BGC payment
03/09/2021		- \$50.00	\$791.00	2021 BGC payment
06/22/2021		- \$100.00	\$691.00	Late fee for 6/30/2021
TOTALS:	+ \$1,000.00	- \$309.00	\$691.00	

Copyright 2022 Front Sight Firearms Training Institute All Rights Reserved Clear Site Cache Clear User Cache Find out more about Front Sight (../front-sight.php) and Ignatius Piazza (../ignatius-piazza.php)