	Muyate Bloom In	
Honorable August B. Landis United States Bankruptcy Judge		ANTRICTOF NE VIDE

Entered on Docket September 15, 2022

5	Т	ľ
J		l
		l

1 /

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

<u> </u>		
In re:))	Case No.: 22-11824-abl
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC,))	Chapter 11
Debtor.))	Adv. Proceeding No.: 22-01116-abl
FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC, A) NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,		Hearing Date: September 9, 2022 Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.
Plaintiff,))	
v.))	
LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET. AL.,)))	
Defendants.))	
	FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC, Debtor. FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, Plaintiff, v. LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET. AL.,	FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC, Debtor. FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC, A) FRONT SIGHT MANAGEMENT, LLC, A) NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, Plaintiff, V. LAS VEGAS DEVELOPMENT FUND, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET. AL.,)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR REMAND

On September 9, 2022 the Court issued its oral ruling on a Motion to Remand ("Remand Motion") (ECF No. 4). The Remand Motion was filed on behalf of defendant Las Vegas Development Fund, LLC ("LVDF").

¹ In this Order, all references to "ECF No." are to the numbers assigned to the documents filed in the above-captioned bankruptcy case as they appear on the docket maintained by the Clerk of the Court.

Case 22-01116-abl Doc 107 Entered 09/15/22 09:14:59 Page 2 of 2

At the September 9, 2022 oral ruling on the Remand Motion, issued by videoconference, attorneys Brian D. Shapiro and Andrea Champion appeared on behalf of LVDF. Attorneys Susan K. Seflin, Steven T. Gubner, and Jason B. Komorsky appeared on behalf of plaintiff, Front Sight Management, LLC. Other appearances were noted on the record.

To the extent that the Court made findings of fact and conclusions of law in the course of its oral ruling on September 9, 2022, those findings of fact and conclusions of law are incorporated into this Order by this reference pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. 52, made applicable in this adversary proceeding pursuant to FED. R. BANKR. P. 7052.

For the reasons stated on the record:

IT IS ORDERED that the Remand Motion is DENIED.

Copies sent to all parties via CM/ECF Electronic Filing.

###